How the exposure of the Nazi roots of the Brussels EU will pave the way to a better world.

What you always wanted to know about the ‘Brussels EU’ – But no one dared to tell you!
What you always wanted to know about ‘Brussels EU’
But no one dared to tell you!
Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it.

Georg Santayana
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We can find friendship in different ways.

Happy is he who finds friendship with TRUTH.

Happy, because above Faith, Hope and Love there is the value of RIGHTEOUSNESS – which is TRUTH. It often appears amidst the rubble of our naivety and ignorance – but it becomes a driving force of our ‘new’ thinking and a necessity for action.

And then, in the universe of humanity, there appears someone or those who know.

In fact, they either know or they only pretend to know. If our lives and our universe are filled with history, inscribed into our lives, into our existence, then there is a chance that we can quickly recognize those who merely talk.

For once, we can recognize the voices heard some time ago, the voices of those who already deceived the TRUTH. Lied, cheated, interpreted. Sometimes these are the voices of those who have been killing, sometimes those who have been giving the orders – to kill! And after successful disguise they are raising their fingers towards heaven and shout “do not kill.”
And sometimes, from behind the drapes displaying the inscription of the CEuropean Commission, emerge faces which I know personally but not by their last or first names.

This is the bench of those accused and sentenced in the Nuremberg Tribunal, these are the directors, legal advisors and engineers from the construction site of Oswiecim – the chemical plant IG Farben Auschwitz.

They all saw death and the agony of those who were dying but they turned their eye away towards a distant horizon.

They heard piercing screams of the bandit supervisors, their curses and thuds of beating, quite often deadly; strangely, however, they were deaf to the calls for help or for mercy.

Quietly they were monitoring their corporate results and guarding profits. Their only concern was the underperformance of this evil enterprise.

On June 14, 2003 – the day of the 63rd anniversary of the first transport of Poles tattooed with the numbers from 31 to 728 to KL Auschwitz – in The Hague, the capital of Holland, I heard the words simple and deeply enlightening because of their simplicity and historic reality.

These words were spoken by Dr. Matthias Rath, the President of the Health Foundation. This Hague meeting gathered people from
around the world who came in order to testify to the words expressed by him. This meeting ended with a complaint to the International Criminal Court in The Hague.

This complaint, as any other complaint, contained many arguments, but it also taught. And then it appeared that the curtains hiding the TRUTH had fallen; or perhaps, not fallen yet, but just opened revealing the facts about money, cartels, the pharmaceutical industry, and about my personal debtor the IG Farben Cartel.

Until today, I have not received the compensation I am entitled to for my slave labor which I conducted from April 1941 to May 1942 at the construction site of IG Farben Auschwitz.

The structures, which almost always are the opposite of freedom, took again the side of an executioner not a victim.

I supported the complaint to the International Tribunal in the Hague with my signature – August Kowalczyk, former prisoner of the German Nazi concentration and extermination camp Auschwitz, my number 6804.
From 2003 on, our common actions have led to the program ‘Europe for the People and by the People’ which forms the basis for the ‘People’s Project for a European Constitution.’

This book is a description of how TRUTH – its reality, its understanding and above all its knowledge and trust – are searching each other.

And how from personal experiences even those most subjective experiences and through a ‘relay of remembrance and health’, we can reach social openness and undertake political efforts to abandon rhetoric mostly election rhetoric and as such unbinding in the name of TRUTH.

And only then we can become friends with TRUTH.

*August Kowalczyk*


Further information: GB1AK479
Introduction

This book tells a story that many readers may, for obvious reasons, initially reject. In doing so, they may say that if the far-reaching historical information documented here were true, they would surely have heard about it before.

Mindful of this, we, as the authors, consider it our responsibility to encourage our readers not only to read this book, but also to visit and study the source documents noted at the bottom of its pages.

For almost three-quarters of a century, the world has been told that WWII was caused by a psychopath, Adolf Hitler, and his entourage of racist hooligans, the Nazis. The facts are, however, that WWII was a conquest war conducted on behalf of the Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel with the goal of controlling the multi-trillion dollar global markets in the newly-emerging fields of patented chemical products.

Official documents from the U.S. Congress and the Nuremberg war crimes tribunals unequivocally show that WWII was not only prepared, but also logistically and technically facilitated by the largest and most notorious oil and drug cartel at that time, namely, the German IG Farben cartel, composed of Bayer, BASF, Hoechst and other chemical companies. The summary of the indictment from Nuremberg proves that without IG Farben, WWII could not have taken place.

You will also learn in this book that WWI, the second largest tragedy of the twentieth century, was actually the first attempt at world conquest by these corporate interests. Moreover, after both these military attempts to subjugate Europe and the world had failed, the Oil and Drug Cartel invested in a third attempt: the economic and political conquest of Europe by means of the ‘Brussels EU’.

Not surprisingly, therefore, the key architects for the Brussels EU were recruited from among those technocrats who had already de-
signed the plans for a post-WWII Europe under the control of the Nazi/Cartel coalition. This book will introduce you to these stakeholders of the cartel – dressed not in military uniforms, but in grey suits – the most notorious of whom was Walter Hallstein, the first president of the so-called EU Commission.

The answer to the question as to why you have probably not heard about these ground-shaking facts before is straightforward. After 1945, the Cartel invested hundreds of billions of dollars with one goal only: to rewrite history and cover its criminal past. This cover-up, with regard to the corporate origins of two World Wars, was obviously the precondition for the Cartel’s third attempt – this time via the Brussels EU – at the conquest and control of Europe.

Evidently, the Cartel interests had hoped to cement their control over Europe via the Brussels EU without these dark roots ever being exposed. However, this plan has failed. The publication of this book and the tens of thousands of authentic documents it references mean that the Brussels EU experiment is over. No democratic person, organization or party can possibly now support this third attempt at the conquest of Europe.

This book is also a unique opportunity for all those politicians and political parties who have been lured into supporting the Brussels EU without knowing its background or understanding its true purpose. This includes the 27 heads of state who have signed the ‘Lisbon Treaty’, the majority of whom did not understand that their signatures constituted an ‘Enabling Act’ for the Cartel and its stakeholders to seize control over Europe. These politicians and political parties now have the chance to make a complete turnaround and to publically revoke their support for a model of Europe that has been built upon decades of lies and deception.

For citizens of the UK, the exposure of the historical roots of the Brussels EU is a particular provocation. Having twice previously – in WWI and WWII – played a critical role in saving Europe from takeover by the Cartel and its political stakeholders, they are now
faced with the possibility that the great human sacrifices of their countrymen were in vain. With their subjugation under the Lisbon Treaty and the Brussels EU, the lives of sixty million Britons living today, and countless more as yet unborn, would come under the yoke of the very same interests that have tried to conquer and seize control of the UK twice before.

We therefore encourage you, the reader of this book, to help disseminate this important information among your family, friends, work colleagues and in your community. Moreover, we encourage you to confront your political representatives – at the local, regional, national and European level – with the information contained in this book. In doing so, you must urge these politicians to make a choice: Either they can close their eyes to the truth and, thereby, recklessly support the takeover of Europe by a dictatorship of corporate interests, or they can act to protect democracy and the health and life interests of millions of people. The choice these politicians make with regard to their position on the Brussels EU will be the most important decision of their political careers.

After the last attempt of the Cartel to control Europe had failed in 1945, one of the most widely used excuses by its political stakeholders was that they “didn’t know”. After the publication of this book, however, no politician today can make such a claim in defense of his or her continued support for the ‘Brussels EU’.

From a historic perspective, what is most urgently needed now is a movement of the people. This is particularly important because politicians in many countries across Europe have become influenced by the corporate interests of the Oil and Drug Cartel; thus, they are no longer independent defenders of the interests of the people. A movement of the people will therefore be the only guarantor of freedom, democracy and independence for the people of both Britain and Europe.
The ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ – Platform for the Oil and Drug Cartel’s attempt at the Takeover of Europe
Chapter 1

Summarizing the evidence
Democracy Turns into Dictatorship
How the European Union is controlled by corporate interests

The Brussels EU is portraying itself to the world as a shining example of a 21st century democracy. In reality, however, nothing could be further from the truth.

In a true democracy, all power resides with the people. The principle of ´separation of powers` between the three levels of government – Executive, Legislative and Judicial – establishes checks and balances to protect against abuse. This principle has been universally accepted after mankind fought for it for thousands of years.
In contrast, the Brussels EU lacks this fundamental principle of ‘separation of powers.’ Moreover, the people of Europe have neither control over the Executive level nor over the Legislative process. Worse, the parliament they elect has no means of effectively controlling these branches either.

To hide this impotence, Article 225 – the so-called ‘Fig Leaf Article’ – was inserted into the ‘Lisbon Treaty.’ This clause offers a possibility for the EU Parliament to “request the Commission to submit a proposal” for legislation. The Commission, of course, can simply refuse to do so. (See: http://europa.eu/lisbon_treaty/index_en.htm).

Operating outside the basic principles of democracy, the Brussels EU – by definition – is a dictatorship. The power of the people to determine their government has been transferred to corporate interests.
How the Oil and Drug Cartel Attempts to Control Europe

The Brussels EU portrays itself to the world as a parliamentary democracy with the EU Parliament playing the decisive role. However, the executive decisions and the drafting of all legislation is carried out by the EU Commission and its staff of over 54,000 people.

From their offices in the Commission headquarters – the Berlaymont building – and other locations, this paid army of career bureaucrats craft the laws of Europe on behalf of corporate interests.

In contrast, the 754-member EU Parliament is composed of politicians from 27 nations who have no controlling power over this army of bureaucrats. The EU Parliament functions as little more than window-dressing for the purpose of portraying the Brussels EU as a ‘parliamentary democracy’ to the people of Europe.
Summarizing the evidence

**OIL AND DRUG CARTEL**

Instructs

**EU COMMISSION**

Instructs

**EU Bureaucracy:**
- Over 42,000 unelected bureaucrats and other staff employed directly by the EU Commission.
- Over 12,000 “off the balance sheet” staff.

= A total of over 54,000 people!

The EU Commission and its giant bureaucracy are both the executive and decisive legislative body of the Brussels EU.

In the European Union, no law can be written or passed without the approval of the EU Commission, which is appointed on behalf of corporate interests.

**EU Parliament:**
- 754 members

The elected members of the EU Parliament have no right for independent law making!

Further information: GB1CE843

All 500 Million People of Europe
On the previous pages we emphasised the fact that the power determining the government of Europe has shifted from the people to the corporate interests, namely the oil and drug cartel. This cartel is well characterised. It comprises the multi-trillion dollar chemical, petrochemical and pharmaceutical investment interests. This cartel is by far the largest corporate investment group in the world.

During the 20th century, this cartel has become not only the dominating economic force but has also positioned its political stakeholders in the governments of the leading industrial nations of the world. Leading representatives from the financial circles controlling this cartel are:

- **The Rockefeller group**, representing the U.S.-based oil and drug interests. This is the largest of these financial interest groups. Built from the 19th century monopoly of the Standard Oil corporation, it now controls dozens of chemical, pharmaceutical and oil multinationals around the globe. One of its most prominent ambassadors in recent decades has been Henry Kissinger.

- **Germany and France**, the leading export nations of chemical and pharmaceutical products in Europe. This group had its roots in the late 19th century and comprised Bayer, BASF, Hoechst and later their infamous IG Farben cartel. Their modern-day successor companies are the leading investment businesses in Europe today and have been instrumental in building up the Brussels EU.

As was widely covered in the media at the time, just days before his appointment, current EU President Rompuy was invited for his presidential “job interview” by the Bilderberg group – an elite circle of U.S./European corporate interests under the control of David Rockefeller and chaired by the ex-EU Commissioner and drug lobbyist Etienne Davignon.
And How They Chose the President of Europe

November 19th, 2009: EU President Rompuy poses for the cameras with one of the men who brought him to power.

People of Europe had no vote and no say!

Further information: GB1ST321
For the disbelieving reader, it may be helpful at this point to summarize the selection process of the first President and Foreign Minister of the Brussels EU:

1. The people of Europe were excluded from the decision-making.

2. The new ‘royals’ of Europe were selected by an elite circle of corporate interests.

3. The selection ceremony took place in a lavish aristocratic setting at the Palace of the Valley of the Duchesse, on the outskirts of Brussels.

4. French President Sarkozy (Nicolas Paul Stéphane Sarközy de Nagy-Bocs), the offspring of a petit-aristocrat, was the ‘master of ceremonies’.

5. The ‘queen’s’ crown was bestowed upon Baroness Catherine Ashton.

6. The ‘king’s crown’ went to another person whose name reflects aristocratic descent, Herman van Rompuy. Van Rompuy will act as an interim ‘monarch’ until such time as – possibly triggered by an international crisis – the petit-aristocrat himself assumes the throne.

In fact, the EU system of governance reverses all democratic achievements of European civilization over the past thousand years and throws the entire continent back to Medieval times, when autocratic monarchs ruled Europe outside of any democratic control.
The European Union portrays itself to the world as a role model for 21st century democracy. However, the Brussels EU coronation ceremony should convince even the most skeptical reader that the Brussels EU construct is anything but a democracy.

Palace of the Valley of the Duchesse, the site on the outskirts of Brussels where the selection ceremony for the President of the European Union took place on November 12, 2009.
Reversing the Centuries-Long Struggle of Mankind for Democracy

Birth of Democracy

Magna Charta

1791 Polish Constitution

1776 US Declaration of Independence

1789 French Constitution

Liberation of Developing Nations from Colonialism
Did Millions of People Die in Vain Fighting for Democracy in WWI and WWII?

Destruction of Rotterdam
Bombing of London
Destruction of Stalingard
Warsaw Ghetto Uprising

Over 100 Million Victims in Two World Wars: The Cemetery of Soldiers Fallen in Normandie
Did the People of Europe Give up Centuries of Democracy Voluntarily?

For the Oil and Drug Cartel the ‘Brussels EU’ is merely an operative base from where it intends to conquer the world using political, economic and – if necessary – military force. Towards this end, the Cartel is currently trying to export the undemocratic construct of the ‘Brussels EU’ to other regions of the world. The African Union is already being modeled after the ‘Brussels EU’ – including an ‘AU Commission’!

The political stakeholders of the Cartel are deceptively parading the ‘Brussels EU’ as a model of 21st century democracy and peace. From the sheer scope of this global PR campaign, the people of the world may have the impression that the ‘Brussels EU’ was approved in a democratic election by the people of Europe and that this political body reflects their will.

But nothing could be further from the truth. The actual facts cast a characteristic light on the fundamentally undemocratic nature of the ‘Brussels EU’ – a warning sign for the world:

• The only country where the people were allowed to vote on the ‘Lisbon Treaty’ – the enabling act for the ‘Brussels EU’ – was Ireland, whose population constitutes less than 1% (!) of the total of Europe. And even this vote was the result of a mockery of democracy: In June 2008 the people of Ireland had rejected the ‘Brussels EU’ construct in a resounding ‘No’. But the political stakeholders of the Cartel in Brussels decided to ignore this vote. They bribed the Irish government into holding a second referendum and coerced a ‘Yes’ vote with a staggering amount of money channelled from the stakeholders of the Cartel to Dublin.

• Over 99% of the population of Europe – numbering more than 500 million people – were denied the democratic right to vote on the ‘Lisbon Treaty’ in a referendum.
The Stakeholders of the Cartel Deprived People of their Democratic Rights!

This violation of all principles of democracy by the Cartel is no coincidence. Opinion polls conducted across Europe showed a rejection of the ‘Brussels EU’ construct by a vast majority of voters. The deceptive nature of the ‘Brussels EU’ is best characterized by the fact that whilst it is hailed by the Cartel stakeholders as a hallmark of democracy, the most basic democratic rights are denied – in fear of the people.
The strategic goals of the cartel

On the previous pages, we summarised what the plans of the oil and drug cartel are for constructing the Brussels EU. Obviously, this bold manoeuvre is not a random exercise, but has profound economic motives. This page explains the why behind the Brussels EU.

The financial groups behind the oil and drug cartel are interested in controlling giant global markets that affect literally every human life. Prominent examples of this are the areas of food, health and energy. Over the past century, the oil and drug cartel has expanded its markets in these three areas into multi-trillion dollar investment businesses. The construction of these giant global markets was based on two strategic tools: Firstly, the use of patents as tools for monopolizing markets. Secondly, public disinformation with the goal of keeping the people illiterate about the alternatives. As a result, every person in the industrialized regions of the world today pays around one third of their disposable income as “tributes” to this cartel.

With the beginning of the 21st century, the Cartel faces a fundamentally new challenge: All of its key markets – oil, drugs, agricultural chemicals and GMOs – are being threatened by new technologies that will ultimately replace the existing monopolistic and patent-based global markets.

In this situation, the financial interests behind the Cartel know that their multi-trillion dollar business can no longer survive in a democracy. Thus, the undemocratic construct of the Brussels EU is a strategic step towards the setting up of a global dictatorship on behalf of these corporate interests.

At the beginning of the 21st century mankind stands at a crossroad. We have to decide whether we want to allow the oil and drug cartel interests to continue their domination over our lives – by the imposition of largely antiquated technologies – or whether we are ready to liberate ourselves from that yoke by taking advantage of new, independent and sustainable technologies such as renewable energies, science-based natural health and organic agriculture.
The Global Multi-Billion-Dollar Markets of the Chemical/Petrochemical/Drug Cartel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage expenditures per average person</th>
<th>Global Cartel markets</th>
<th>Cartel’s mechanisms of global control</th>
<th>Sustainable technologies making the people of the world independent from Cartel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition(^1) 15%</td>
<td>• GMOs</td>
<td>• Health illiteracy</td>
<td>Organic food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Agricultural chemicals</td>
<td>• Patents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Synthetic food additives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care(^2) 10%</td>
<td>Synthetic pharmaceutical drugs</td>
<td>• Health illiteracy</td>
<td>Science-based natural health therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy(^3) 10%</td>
<td>Oil, gas, synthetic fuels and other petrochemical products</td>
<td>• Scientific illiteracy</td>
<td>Renewable energies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patents</td>
<td>• water (hydrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• wind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Av.% disposable income on food and non-alcoholic beverages. Source: Eurostat
2 Av. % disposable income on health plus health-related taxes. Source: Eurostat
3 Av. % disposable income on fuels for transport, utilities etc. Source: Eurostat

The strategic goals of the Cartel:

• Turn the lives and bodies of billions of inhabitants of our planet into a marketplace for their patented products.

• Carrying over their multi-trillion dollar benefits from previous century technologies – including environmentally-damaging petrochemicals and toxic pharmaceuticals – into the 21st century.

Further information: GB1BD733
Today Europe – Tomorrow the World

Two months prior to the Lisbon Treaty coming into effect, the political stakeholders of the Oil and Drug Cartel left no doubt about their global ambitions. On October 7, 2009, the Daily Telegraph newspaper in the UK published an article titled: *EU draws up plans to establish itself as ‘world power’*. If the Cartel gets its way, the Brussels EU will be a mere stepping stone towards the cementing of its economic and political conquest of the world.

According to the Telegraph article, the first embassies of this undemocratic construct were being planned for strategic locations, namely: New York (the seat of the United Nations), Addis Ababa (the seat of the African Union) and Kabul (an area of interest due to the ongoing war in Afghanistan) – even before the Lisbon Treaty had come into effect.

But long before the Lisbon Treaty had even been drafted, the Cartel was trying to export the political construct of the Brussels EU as a model to expand its control over other continents:

- **African Union.** The architects of the African Union (AU) have not hidden the fact that the AU was modeled on the European Union – with almost identical institutional structures, including a so-called “AU Commission.” The permanent seat of this commission is Addis Ababa in Ethiopia – apparently, the intended “Brussels of Africa.”

- **ASEAN.** In 2009, leaders of East Asian countries announced that they had laid the groundwork for an EU-style bloc that will cover half the world’s population. Their plans echoed similar ones outlined by Australian prime Minister Kevin Rudd in 2008.
From its new politburo in Brussels the Oil and Drug Cartel is now attempting to take control over the whole world.

Further information: GB1TW421
Enforcing Drug Patents as a Key Element of Global Economic Control

Historically speaking, global wars have been fought using guns and bombs, with the goal of conquering and controlling other countries. Today, however, the key tools to achieve global control are economic and legal instruments, which are used as a means of conquering not only governments and economies, but also, ultimately, societies and people.

The key economic tools of the Brussels EU are patents; in particular, patents on chemicals, pharmaceutical drugs, genetically-modified seeds and other high-tech products. Of these, the most lucrative of all are patents on pharmaceutical drugs. In 2008, for example, total global pharmaceutical sales amounted to 773 billion dollars – an amount that surpasses the combined gross domestic product (GDP) of the world’s one hundred poorest countries.

As such, it is obvious that, when choosing the EU’s first foreign affairs minister, the Cartel would pick somebody who had experience in this field.

Catherine Ashton, in her previous role as EU Trade Commissioner, played a central role in the repeated seizing, at European ports, of pharmaceutical products being shipped from India to African and Latin American countries.1 The only reason for these seizures: the drugs were produced by companies in India who specialise in producing generic (non-patented) drugs. These generic drugs – the only ones that can be afforded by poor developing countries – threaten the profits from patented drugs manufactured by European pharmaceutical companies.

---

1 Economic Times, India. 6 September, 2009.
Catherine Ashton was appointed as the EU trade Commissioner in 2008. In that role, one of her main functions was to police international trade and enforce drug patents as means of global economic and political control – thus ensuring the dependency of the developing world upon patented drugs from European exporters.

Her new appointment, as the EU’s new foreign affairs minister and Vice President of the Commission, will enable her to fulfill this role with even greater impact upon the world.

Further information: GB1PT937
Patents – the key tools to control the world

The significance of patents in the strategic planning of the Brussels EU for world control deserves particular attention. In general, patents are:

• **legal** documents – issued by the relevant government agency in a country – that define the right of ownership of a product or technical process;

• **economic** tools – to control national and international markets;

• **political** instruments – through their role in the critical health sector, pharmaceutical drug patents are also being used as strategic tools to control entire countries.

A particularly malicious aspect of patents is that they are being used to form global corporate cartels beyond any national or international legislative control. Large multi-national companies do not need to formally unite in order to control the market for a certain product across a continent or the entire world – they simply need to stake out their territorial patent claims.

Patents allow multinational companies to control entire sectors of societies across continents without being subject to restrictions such as national boundaries. In some industries, profits amounting to trillions of dollars are being channelled to multinational companies without the public being able to identify the corporate beneficiaries.

The effect of patents with respect to human health has been especially devastating, in that drug multinationals have been seeking to monopolize what is arguably the most critical sector in any society. As a result, for decades, mankind has been prevented from having access to non-patentable medicine that is more effective, safer and more affordable in the fight against today’s most common diseases.

To coordinate their global claims, these multinational companies have established a “central cartel office”: the Brussels EU.
The Brussels EU is attempting to extend its patent strategy to other continents – thereby enabling the Cartel to control the entire world.

Further information: GB1PC887
The key beneficiaries from the Brussels EU

One glance at the graphics on the opposite page should be enough for any reader to understand the key beneficiaries from the political construct of the Brussels EU.

From its inception the Brussels EU was constructed by the economic stakeholders of the chemical/ pharmaceutical cartel. The top illustration on the facing page supports this fact. It shows the relative financial contributions of taxpayer money paid by each of the 27 member states to the Brussels EU in 2006. Considering the fact that the majority of smaller member states joined the Brussels EU only recently, the total ‘investments’ from the founding states over a period of half a century dwarf the financial contributions of the ‘newcomers’.

The graphic at the bottom of the facing page shows the relative number of patents filed annually from within each of the 27 member states of the EU. The largest number of patents are being filed from a group of countries composed of Germany, France, Italy and the Netherlands. Collectively, the number of patents filed from these four countries amounts to more than 70% of all patent applications filed in the EU – that is, more than double the number from the other 23 countries taken together. It is, therefore, no coincidence that:

- Germany, France, Italy and the Netherlands are four of the six founder members of the Brussels EU.
- the six founding members – together with Great Britain, another leading drug exporting nation – hold the majority of votes both in the ‘Council of Europe’ as well as the ‘European Parliament’.

Following the signing of the Lisbon Treaty, the ‘investors’ in the Brussels EU are ready to collect the ‘return’ on their investments.

1 Source EUROSTAT 2005.
There is a remarkable similarity between the ranking of financial contributors to the Brussels EU and the number of patent applications filed from within those same member states. Since patents are valid throughout Europe, this picture shows which nations control high-technology markets – and ultimately life – in Europe.
Questions that urgently deserve answers are: How could the architects of the Brussels EU build such an undemocratic construct from within democratic European countries – and who were these men? In fact, the key architect of the Brussels EU was Walter Hallstein (1901-1982), a prominent attorney in Nazi Germany.

In June 1938, Hallstein participated in the official State negotiations between Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy with the goal of making these aggressive ideologies the basis for a future Europe. In January 1939, only months before the launch of WWII by the fateful coalition between IG Farben – the largest oil and drug cartel at that time – and the Nazis, Hallstein gave a historic speech outlining in detail the legal structure of Europe under Nazi/IG Farben control.

In 1941, Hallstein became Dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics at the University of Frankfurt, Germany. It was no coincidence that Frankfurt was also the headquarters of IG Farben. A few years later, the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals revealed that it was from these IG Farben headquarters that the economic conquest of Europe was planned and implemented – with patents being the key legal tools for economic control.

In 1950, after having lied to the Allies about his Nazi past, Hallstein became advisor to West German Chancellor Adenauer and the principal coordinator of his foreign policy. As a result of his previous extensive experience in the planning for a Europe under the rule of the Cartel and the Nazis, he became the key architect of the Brussels EU.

On March 25, 1957, Hallstein was one of the 12 signatories of the Treaties of Rome – the founding document of the Brussels EU. In 1958 he was appointed the first president of the EU Commission – a post he held for an entire decade.
Walter Hallstein

1936 - 1945
Hallstein was a member of the infamous association of Nazi “Law Protectors,” the organization built to become the legal pillar of a Europe under the control of the Nazi/Cartel coalition.

1941 - 1945
Hallstein was professor of law and economics in Frankfurt, the Headquarters of the world’s largest chemical cartel and main Nazi financiers, IG Farben.

1950 - 1957
Hallstein was the political mastermind of the negotiations that led to the ‘Treaties of Rome’.

On March 25, 1957, Hallstein was one of twelve signatories of these treaties that founded the ‘Brussels EU’.

1958 - 1967
Hallstein was appointed the first president of the so-called ‘EU-Commission’. He built the Brussels EU and ruled Europe for ten decisive years from this giant building with the help of thousands of unelected bureaucrats – on behalf of cartel interests.

Further information: GB1WH799
The Cartel Architects of the Brussels EU

Today’s Brussels EU is built upon a series of predecessor organizations that gradually led to the current construct. The first cycle of organizations was completed in the 1950s and included the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECSC) in 1951, the European Defense Community (EDC) (a project that was rejected by the French Parliament in 1954), the European Economic Community (EEC) and the European Atomic Energy Community (EAEC or EURATOM) in 1957.

All those organizations had several key elements in common:
1. They were driven by the German Oil and Drug Cartel – after the 1945 defeat of the Nazi/Cartel coalition – in an effort to organize its next attempt at the conquest of Europe.

2. The texts of the treaties were prepared by legal stakeholders of the Oil and Drug Cartel, most notably Walter Hallstein, in order to secure the dictatorial structure needed for this conquest plan.

3. Five other European countries, France, Italy and the Benelux countries were lured to join these ‘Communities’ with the deceptive promise of peace, security and economic prosperity.

Further information: GB1CA582
Chapter 1

The ‘Sicily Six’ –
From June 1 to 3, 1955 the decisive preparatory meeting for the ‘European Economic Community took place in Messina, Sicily. The participants at this meeting should become known as the ‘Sicily Six.’

**Gaetano Martino**, MD, Italy. Conference Host.

Formal legal education: None.

Before and during WWII: Member of the Italian Fascist movement under Mussolini.

Post-WWII career as member of the ‘Sicily Six’

**Antoine Pinay**, France.

Formal legal education: None.

During WWII: Member of the ‘National Council of Vichy’ – the French puppet regime established by the Nazi/Cartel Coalition in occupied France.

**Joseph Bech**, Belgium.

Education: Law School

Profession: In the year of his admission as attorney, 1914, he became deputy of a right-wing party. Held various political functions throughout his life.

**Willem Beyen**, Netherlands.

Education: Law School

Profession: Business executive (Phillips, Unilever), banker, various political functions.

**Paul-Henri Spaak**, Luxemburg.

Education: Law school

Profession: Few years of private legal practice. From 1925 onwards various political functions.
And the Nazi/Cartel Law Professor

The limited legal experience of these five ‘founding members’ of the ‘Brussels EU’, contrasts with that of the sixth member, Walter Hallstein.

By the time of the ‘Rome Treaties’ Hallstein had almost three decades of experience in the fields of education, teaching and research at German Law Schools and Institutes with a focus in comparative international law and economics:

- Education at the Law Schools of Bonn, Munich, promotion to ‘Doctor of Law’ at the University of Berlin
- Elite training in ‘Comparative International Law’ at the ‘Kaiser Wilhelm Institute’ in Berlin, financed by the IG Farben Cartel
- Almost 20 years as Dean of the Faculties of Law and Economics at the Universities of Rostock and Frankfurt.

One look at Hallstein’s Curriculum Vitae documents shows us who was really pulling the strings in crafting the legal basis for the ‘Brussels EU’.
Hallstein and Project ‘Scorched Earth’

How could Hallstein – with his dark background in the Nazi/Cartel history – become the chief architect of the Brussels EU’ and the first president of the EU commission?

The answer to this question is strikingly simple: Firstly, Hallstein had to lie to the Allied High Command in post-war Germany about his membership of various Nazi organizations. Secondly, he had to make sure that his numerous publications and presentations as a crusader for the Nazi cartel conquest of Europe were destroyed.

The facing page documents a printout from the German National Library using the search term ‘Walter Hallstein’. This – the most comprehensive online library in the whole of Germany – lists the following publications by him:

• Before 1933 (the year the Nazi/Cartel Coalition seized power in Germany) one finds one single publication.
• After 1945 and the defeat of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition one can find more than 100 books and publications by Hallstein.
• Amazingly, between 1933 and 1945, during the terror regime of the Nazi/Cartel coalition, one can find only one single publication by Hallstein. This absurdly short list of one publication in 12 (!) years deserves an explanation – especially considering the fact that during this period Hallstein was Dean of the Law Faculty of two major German universities.

There is only one explanation for this fact: Hallstein and his Cartel accomplices had tried to make sure that none of his speeches and publications as an advocate for the Nazi/Cartel coalition and its take-over of Europe survived. This ‘Policy of Scorched Earth’ was a precondition for the political rise of Hallstein to become the founding father of the ‘Brussels EU’.

To answer the question ‘Who is the real Hallstein?’ for the people of Europe, we have included a special chapter about him.
Printout from the online search service of the German National Library. The search term ‘Walter Hallstein’ results in one single document during 12 years of Hallstein’s role as promotor of the Nazi/Cartel’s attempt at world conquest.

Further information: GB1WH733
Obviously, Hallstein was not alone in his role as the chief architect of the ‘Brussels EU’. He surrounded himself with legal technocrats who had already been instrumental stakeholders of the Nazi/ Cartel Coalition prior to 1945.

Since the key tools of the Cartel to secure its future control over Europe were patents, it is no surprise that Hallstein chose – as his right hand man – an expert in patent law:

During the Nazi regime, Carl Friedrich Ophuels had been the leading Court expert of the important Patent Court in Frankfurt (Germany), the city of the IG Farben headquarters. In this role, Ophuels was familiar with all patent disputes relating to the spoliation by the IG Farben Cartel of the chemical industries in the conquered countries of Europe. This fact qualified him, of course, as an accomplice for the Cartel’s next attempt at the conquest of Europe.

The 1946 Allied Denazification questionnaire reveals that Ophuels was a member of the Nazi-Party, the NSDAP, with the membership No. 2 399061 (document A). Because of his Nazi past, the Allied Security Office in 1947 classified Ophuels as follows: “This individual was previously found unemployed by Military Government for any position above ordinary labor” (document B).

However, Hallstein’s judgement of Ophuels was in direct contrast to that of the Allied Office. Together with Gerhard Schiedermair – a member of the notorious Schiedermair clan of Nazi lawyers – Hallstein promoted Ophuels within one year (1949) to ‘Doctor’ and ‘Professor’ of Law at the University of Frankfurt. One year later, in 1950, Hallstein appointed Ophuels to the position of ‘Ambassador in Brussels’ and his right hand man for the construction of the ‘Brussels EU’. 
Is Appointed Hallstein’s ‘Man in Brussels’

Further information: GB1CO266
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‘Politically Reliable’ Cartel Nazi Becomes

On December 17, 1941, the Nazi Party head office in Frankfurt, in an official letter to the Rector of Frankfurt University, attests that C.F. Ophuels is a “Politically reliable” [“politisch verslässicher”] Nazi. Only 16 years later, this devoted stalwart of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition signs the ‘Statutes for the European Court of Justice’ as a principal architect.

We, the people of Europe, have to realize that the foundation of the European Court of Justice – the highest Legal Organ of today’s ‘Brussels EU’ – has been crafted and signed into law by stakeholders of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition, including registered Nazi Party members.

For half a century, the Cartel was able to suppress the appalling charade of its political stakeholders. Now that the truth is finally out, however, the democratic legitimacy of the ‘Brussels EU’ is gone. No democratic organization – and no voter – can ignore this criminal past.
On April 17, 1957, C.F. Ophuels – Nazi Party member no. 2 399061, membership duration May 1, 1933 to 1945 (the entire Nazi reign) – became a key ‘founding father’ of Europe’s Highest Court.
IG Farben and the Criminal History of the German Chemical Cartel

Who were the Cartel interests Hallstein, Ophuels and their accomplices in ‘grey suits’ served? The IG Farben Cartel was formed in 1925 by Bayer, BASF, Hoechst and a few smaller German chemical companies. It was the world’s largest company in the emerging fields of dyes [“Farben” in German], chemicals, pharmaceuticals, plastics and other patented synthetic products.

With tens of thousands of patents, IG Farben was the world’s largest patent holder at that time. The only step missing for world control was the extension of its patents to monopolize the giant emerging markets of patented synthetic products throughout the rest of the world. As early as 1904, Bayer chairman Carl Duisberg had already called for the formation of such a cartel, setting the explicit goal for the German chemical industry to “rule the world”.

Towards this end, Bayer, BASF and other German chemical companies were instrumental in supplying explosives and poison gas to the German Imperial army to launch WWI. In 1918, due to the heroic efforts of the world community, the German army was defeated and the first attempt of the German chemical cartel to achieve world conquest had failed. But the Cartel did not give up.

BAYER, BASF, HOECHST – operating since 1925 as the IG Farben Cartel – financed the rise of the Nazi party and prepared it technically and logistically for the next attempt at world conquest, WWII. By 1942, the chemical industries of half of Europe were under the control of the IG Farben cartel. In 1945, the second attempt for world conquest also failed. In 1948, several IG Farben directors were sentenced during the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal for genocide, slavery, plunder and other crimes against humanity.
The economic driving forces behind two World Wars

1914/18

WORLD WAR I
First attempt of oil and drug cartel to conquer the world
By 1914 these 3 companies controlled over one thousand patents, by far the world’s largest number of patents controlled by any corporate group at that time

1925

FORMATION OF ‘IG FARBEN’ CARTEL
The world’s largest chemical/pharmaceutical cartel finances the rise of the Nazis and prepares them for war

1939/45

WORLD WAR II
Second attempt of oil and drug cartel to conquer the world fails

1947/48

Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal
IG Farben executives are tried and sentenced for genocide, slavery, plundering of Europe and other crimes against humanity – including the build-up of the concentration camp Auschwitz.

Further information: GB1DF377
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The IG Farben Cartel Built Up the World’s Largest Extermination Camp

In return for financing the rise of the Nazis and equipping them for WWII, the IG Farben cartel was the primary economic beneficiary from the conquest of Europe. An example of the close collaboration between Farben and the Nazis is the Auschwitz concentration camp. Financed with a credit of 1 billion Reichsmark, from the Deutche Bank and Dresdner Bank, IG Farben built the largest industrial complex in the world at that time in the Polish city of Auschwitz.

The “IG Auschwitz” plant was to produce the synthetic rubber, gasoline and other chemicals for the Nazi/IG Farben conquest of Russia and Asia. For the construction of this giant plant, the nearby Auschwitz concentration camp was extended and became the world’s largest slave labor and later extermination camp.

These and many other crimes committed by the IG Farben cartel, in Auschwitz and elsewhere, are documented in the records of the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal against leading executives of this cartel. Most shocking among these documents are the deadly medical experiments – the majority of which were conducted with patented pharmaceutical drugs from BAYER, HOECHST and other IG Farben companies. The Nuremberg Tribunal also revealed that some of the doctors conducting these deadly experiments, including Dr. Vetter, were – at that time – paid employees of BAYER.

For more information about the role of the Oil and Drug Cartel behind WWII, Auschwitz and other war crimes:

www.profit-over-life.org

More than 50,000 authentic records from the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal against the IG Farben Cartel.
BAYER, BASF, HOECHST (IG Farben Cartel)
The architects of Auschwitz

IG Auschwitz extended over 24 km² (6000 acres). It was a 100% subsidiary of IG Farben (BAYER, BASF, HOECHST).

IG Auschwitz was built with tens of thousands of slave laborers from the Auschwitz concentration camp.

BAYER and HOECHST tested their patented drugs on thousands of inmates, most of whom were killed.

BAYER employees conducted tests with patented BAYER drugs in the Auschwitz concentration camp.

Between 1 and 3 million people were murdered in Auschwitz with Zyclon B – a gas manufactured by the BASF/IG Farben subsidiary Degesch.

In 1948, directors of the IG Farben cartel were tried in Nuremberg. Chairman C. Krauch and others were sentenced for genocide, slavery and other crimes.

Further information: GB1AU388
With the ‘Coup’ to Impose the ‘Brussels EU’
the Cartel Exposes its Own Criminal Past

Over the past half century, the Oil and Drug Cartel has done everything possible to conceal the truth regarding its unscrupulous criminal past as the instigator of two World Wars.

For more than 6 decades now, the stakeholders of the Cartel have:

- paid hundreds of billions of dollars for the cover up,
- built media empires for the manipulation of public opinion,
- had history books re-written and global news censored,
- financed and raised generations of politicians – from left to right – in dozens of countries,
- placed ‘gatekeepers’ in important academic disciplines, including science, medicine, history, political and social sciences as well as other key areas of society such as churches, trade unions etc.,
- and mercilessly hunted those who dared to break the silence.

All of this happened for one reason only: to hide the Cartel’s criminal past until it had successfully completed its third attempt at the conquest of Europe which, this time around, it intends to achieve via the ‘Brussels EU’. The cover-up of the largest crime in history – the Cartel’s role behind WWII – has cost the cartel hundreds of billions of dollars over the past half century.

This staggering amount of money, spent by stakeholders of the Cartel to cover-up its criminal past, can only be explained by one fact: the Cartel is fully aware that the exposure of its past would destroy the plans for its next attempt at conquering and controlling Europe.

The signing of the ‘Enabling Act of Lisbon’, along with the coercion of the people of Europe under the ‘Brussels EU’, make the publication of the historic documents and facts contained in this book a necessary and timely event. The spread of this information across Europe and the world will expose the Cartel’s role behind the ‘Brussels EU’ and prevent the Cartel from launching another attempt at world conquest.
World War II: Conquest War on Behalf of the Oil and Drug Cartel

This is the title page from the official 1945 report that followed Hearings of the US Congress on the causes of WWII. It runs to over a hundred pages and unequivocally proves that WWII was a ‘Conquest War’ conducted on behalf of the Oil and Drug Cartel. The goal of WWII was the same as that of WWI: to control the multi trillion dollar emerging world markets in chemicals, petrochemicals, and pharmaceutical drugs.

These official government records were hidden away in archives for more than six decades. Despite being key documents regarding the greatest crime in human history, they were not even published on the website of the US Government. Now, finally, you can study them yourself at www.relay-of-life.org.
Chapter 1

Made Public After 7 Decades of Silence:
The War Crime Records of the Cartel

We have obtained more than 50,000 pages from the official records of the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal against the IG Farben cartel. For the first time in more than seven decades, the authentic records (including the horrifying exhibits) of this 1947/8 trial against the directors of BAYER, BASF, HOECHST and other IG Farben companies have been made public and are accessible online worldwide.

When studying these historic records, you will realize that you have been systematically deceived about the true cause of World War II, the largest crime in the history of our planet. For example, you will learn that:

- WWII could not have taken place without the financial and logistical support of BAYER, BASF, HOECHST and other IG Farben companies, the world’s largest chemical and pharmaceutical cartel at that time.

- WWII was not primarily fought for national or racial reasons but on behalf of the IG Farben Cartel and its attempt to conquer and control the emerging multi-trillion dollar chemical, petrochemical and pharmaceutical markets across Europe and the world.

- WWII was not the result of a perverted psychopath by the name of Adolf Hitler. In contrast, Hitler and the Nazi hooligans were the political and military puppets of the IG Farben Cartel. According to the Prosecution in the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals, WWII was the result of a coordinated technological, logistical, financial, political and military effort by – what the prosecution called – the “marriage” between IG Farben and the Nazis.

- The Auschwitz concentration camp was not the ‘product’ of some SS lunatics, but a slave labor camp for IG Farben’s giant industrial plant, IG Auschwitz, that was to produce the synthetic fuel and rubber for the conquest of Eastern Europe, Russia and Asia.
The Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal
Against the Oil and Drug Cartel

Title page of the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal Case No. VI “The United States of America vs. Carl Krauch and other IG Farben Directors,” which lasted from August 27, 1947 until July 30, 1948.

Further information: GB1NT954
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Exposing Half a Century of Deception

If the cartel’s decisive role behind WWI and WWII had been exposed in the past, these corporate interests would never have had the chance to launch another attempt at the conquest of Europe and the world.

As such, the hiding of its criminal past was a precondition for the Cartel’s buildup of the ‘Brussels EU’.

On the previous pages of this book, we exposed the Cartel’s deceptive strategy. The facing page summarizes the essential facts: While the Cartel’s political and military stakeholders were sentenced in Nuremberg, its legal and economic stakeholders were commissioned to prepare and implement the Cartel’s third attempt at European and world conquest.
Summarizing the evidence

**OIL AND DRUG CARTEL**
IG Farben / BAYER / BASF / HÖECHST

**STAKEHOLDERS**

**In Uniform**

Sentenced as the ‘main war criminals’ to long-term prison and death in the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals.

Above: Hermann Göring,
below: Joachim von Ribbentrop

“Brussels EU” rebuilds the “Unformed Arm” of the Cartel – which it had sacrificed after the unsuccessful last attempt at world conquest.

**In Grey Suits**

Commissioned by the Cartel to prepare the next attempt at world conquest via the “Brussels EU”

Walter Hallstein  Carl F. Ophüls

Build-up of “European Army” – including nuclear weapons – under the control of the “Brussels EU” politburo of the Cartel
Chapter 1

Third Attempt of the Oil and Drug Cartel to Conquer and Control the World

When reading this book, you may think that the startling information presented cannot be true, for, if it were, you would surely have heard about it before. There is, however, a simple reason why you may not thus far have been aware of these facts.

Since its two prior attempts at world conquest – WWI and WWII – had failed, the stakeholders of the Cartel knew that the only way a third attempt could be successful would be if its involvement in the two prior attempts could essentially be eliminated from the memory of mankind. Otherwise, how else do you explain that more than sixty thousand pages from the Nuremberg Tribunals against the IG Farben cartel were hidden away for more than six decades in international archives? Why did it take the authors of this book to help release this information and publish it online at www.profit-over-life.org?

While the military and political stakeholders of the Cartel during WWII were held responsible for the death and destruction they caused, their economic masters continued their relentless efforts to achieve their ultimate aim. Towards this goal, they simply financed the rise of a new generation of political stakeholders in key countries.

Helmut Kohl, a former BASF employee, was promoted to become German Chancellor – a post he held for 16 years. During that time, he spent billions of dollars of taxpayers’ money to finance the buildup of the Brussels EU. Angela Merkel, his political protegee, holds this post today. In parallel, in France, the ‘heirs of the architects of Auschwitz’ promoted Nicolas Sarkozy to power. After the rejection of the Brussels EU by the people of France and the Netherlands in 2005, these two political executors of the Cartel coerced the heads of the rest of Europe into signing the Lisbon ‘Enabling Act’.
The Heirs of the Architects of Auschwitz
Became the Key Promoters of the ‘Brussels EU’

Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal
Recognizing it as the driving industrial force behind WWII, the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal ordered the dismantling of the IG Farben Cartel into its main component companies.

BAYER
- Fritz Ter Meer
  IG Farben director, sentenced in Nuremberg for slavery, plunder and other crimes. After WWII: Chairman of BAYER company from 1956-1967.

BASF
- Carl Wurster
  Board member of the IG Farben subsidiary that produced the gas for Auschwitz. After WWII: CEO, later deputy board chairman of BASF.

HOECHST

HELMUT KOHL
- Former BASF employee, protégé of Wurster
- Became chancellor of Germany 1982-1998

ANTONIO GENTILE

ANGELA MERKEL
- Political Executor of the German Cartel
- Chancellor of Germany 2005 to today

Nicolas Sarkozy
- Political executor of French Cartel
- French President 2007 to today

Sarkozy and Merkel became the political enforcers of the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ and the Oil and Drug Cartel’s next attempt to conquer and control Europe – and from there the rest of the world.
The World at the Brink of a Global Dictorsip and WWIII

History shows us that there were two preconditions for the attempts at world conquest by the Cartel:

1. The establishing of a dictatorship in the territory from where the conquest of the world is being launched. The Lisbon Treaty provides the legal basis for this.
2. Systematic planning for military actions, including a new world war. The formation of a European army, when combined with open threats by leading political stakeholders of the cartel to use nuclear weapons, is an unmistakable step towards this.

Thus, the historic parallel to the seizure of power by the Oil and Drug Cartel by means of the Brussels EU is the takeover of the German government by the same interest groups three-quarters of a century ago. The year 1933 is paralleled by the year 2009, when the Oil and Drug Cartel enforced its ‘Enabling Act’. The year 1934 is paralleled by the year 2010, when the dictatorship will show its true face by subjecting key sectors of society under its rule. The Sarkozy-backed plan for a centrally-controlled European economy is just the beginning.

In light of these facts, the people of Europe and the world need to take action. The success of their efforts will depend upon the following preconditions:

1. The right political analysis.
   This book was written to provide this.
2. Effective dissemination of this information to the people of the world.
3. The organization of a movement of people who are committed to protecting democracy and peace in Europe and the rest of the world.
Parallels between 1933 and today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartel Enabling Acts</th>
<th>1933 Germany</th>
<th>2007/09 Europe -Lisbon Treaty-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiaries and financiers</td>
<td>Chemical, oil and drug cartel</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Goal</td>
<td>Economic and political control</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Territorial market claims</td>
<td>Europe, then rest of world</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading country/ies</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Germany, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceptive tactics to seize power</td>
<td>False promises of “law, order and peace”</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling Act</td>
<td>German Enabling Act of April 1933</td>
<td>Lisbon Treaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggering event</td>
<td>Economic Crisis of the late 1920s</td>
<td>Today’s global economic crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparations for Dictatorship</td>
<td>Abolition of civil rights Militarization</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartel-controlled Media</td>
<td>Controlling Public Opinion</td>
<td>The same</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 13 December, 2007, these European politicians signed the Lisbon ‘Enabling Act’. By doing so, they delivered the entire European continent into the hands of the Cartel. Just like the German Parliament in 1933, these politicians paved the way for dictatorship and world conquest on behalf of corporate interests. And just like in 1933, they gave this takeover a pseudo-democratic cover.
Summarizing the evidence

 Continent into the Hands of the Cartel

Preventing History From Repeating Itself

Since it is obvious that the political representatives of an entire continent, Europe, failed to protect democracy, we, the people of the world, have to recognise our responsibilities and take action. In order to be able to do so, we need to understand history. The facing page summarises mankind’s experience of the past century in a graphical form.

Twice before, the Oil and Drug Cartel took Europe and the world into the abyss. More than one hundred million people paid with their lives as a result of these corporate interests’ quest for global control. The twentieth century will go into the annals of history as the ‘Century of Death and Destruction on Behalf of the Oil and Drug Cartel’. And now, at the beginning of the twenty-first century, we are faced with the situation that the same corporate interest groups are undertaking a third attempt to subjugate the world under its control.

This third attempt today is particularly malicious because the Cartel is hiding its obvious motives of global control behind the deceptive propaganda of peace. The Cartel’s political stakeholders are trying to convince the people that the Brussels EU is needed to prevent Europe from repeating the dreadful experiences of WWI and WWII.

We now have to make a choice: Either we close our eyes and ignore these historical facts or we recognise our responsibility to prevent history from repeating itself and act. Clearly, the only way forward is to act. The first step to end the centuries of deception – that has kept millions of people illiterate about the real culprits behind the largest crimes in history – is to share this information with others.
A Century of Death and Destruction: The Cartel and its Quest for World Conquest

Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel Seeks World Control

Political executors

German Emperor Wilhelm II

Hitler and the Nazi Party

“Brussels EU”

1914 ‘War Bonds’ Law

1933 Enabling Act

Lisbon Enabling Act

Consequences of ignoring the early warning signs

World War I

World War II

You decide!

1918 Attempt for World Conquest failed

1945 Attempt for World Conquest failed

1914 ‘War Bonds’ Law

1933 Enabling Act

Lisbon Enabling Act

You decide!
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Lingering Shadows from the Past:

For those of our readers who may argue that the modern-day situation cannot be compared to the horrors of the past, we would urge you to consider the following.

The present minister of defence in Germany, Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg, was raised from the age of 14 by his step-father, who was the son of Joachim von Ribbentrop. Ribbentrop, of course, was Hitler’s foreign minister from 1933 to 1945 and coordinated the military component of WWII, the Cartel’s second war of global conquest. In 1947, Ribbentrop was tried in Nuremberg and hanged for his crimes.

One may argue that a mere family relationship does not necessarily predetermine allegiances with corporate interests or political strategies. In this case, however, the facts speak for themselves. German defence minister Guttenberg is an adamant advocate of the militarization of Europe and the launch of a European army. Pressured for resignation after publicly defending the killing of innocent civilians in Afghanistan by German troops, Guttenberg responded in front of a German TV audience: “I will definitely stay, even if a storm is blowing. That is the way I have been educated – and that is the way I will behave.” Clearly, the dark shadows of the Ribbentrop tradition are threatening the future of the world once again.

The aggressive manner in which these European-wide militarization plans are being pursued by Germany and other drug-exporting countries – and imposed on other EU member countries – leaves no doubt as to whose interests Guttenberg and other political stakeholders represent.

There is an urgent need for the people to halt this development, particularly considering the following fact: The last two conquest wars were started by the Cartel before the dawn of the nuclear age. The situation today is fundamentally different. The arsenal of nuclear weapons in France and Great Britain, combined with the political decision-taking in Brussels, would give the Cartel interests direct access to these weapons. The only time to prevent this from happening is now!
From Ribbentrop to Guttenberg

Carl Theodor zu Guttenberg
German Defence Minister since 2009

- Political stakeholder of modern-day corporate Germany – including the IG Farben successors, BAYER and BASF
- Advocate of the militarization of Europe and the launch of a European army – under control of the ‘Brussels EU’

Shadows of the past?

Further information: GB1RG532
“Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it.”

Georg Santayana

In this opening chapter of the book we confronted you with historical facts and information which you may have never heard about.

We are aware that some of this shocking information is hard to accept and we encourage you to conduct your own additional research.

Because all the facts presented here are based on historical records we are convinced that you will find everything in this book confirmed.

Once you have realized to what extent you, your family, friends, and entire generations have been held in complete illiteracy about essential facts of history, you may ask yourself a decisive question:

‘How much longer do we allow Cartel interests to determine the future of our continent’?

The following pages will give you some ideas about what you can do, which will be further elaborated in the last chapter of this book.
Summarizing the evidence

Sacrificing Millions of Lives...

For Billions of Profits

Do You believe that in the 21st century these corporations will stop risking millions of lives to make billions in profit?

Further information: GB1TA748
The Historical Callenge of Our Time

To correctly assess the current geopolitical situation, we must highlight an important difference between the previous two attempts at world conquest by the Cartel and its current attempt. WWI and WWII were attempts by the Oil and Drug Cartel from one country (Germany) to eliminate competition from other nations and multinational companies to dominate the newly emerged market of chemicals, petrochemicals and pharmaceuticals.

In contrast, the present attempt at world conquest via the Brussels EU is being pursued so vigorously for a different reason. Over the past decades, mankind has developed technologies that fundamentally threaten the monopoly of patented synthetic products. The products that are threatened currently form the very foundation of the economic power of the Cartel:

- **Oil.** The monopoly of oil as the principal supply of the world’s energy is being threatened by renewable forms of energy, including water (hydrogen), wind, solar, tidal, geothermal and others. As different as all these technologies are, they have one aspect in common – they liberate mankind from the dependency on oil.

- **Patented drugs.** The monopoly on patented pharmaceutical drugs as the principal answer to the world’s health problems is being threatened by science-based, effective, safe and affordable natural health approaches.

- **Patented food (GMOs).** The attempt to monopolize and control the world’s supply of food, based on patented genetically-modified fruits, vegetables and animals (GMOs), is threatened by the growing popular demand for healthy, fertilizer- and pesticide-free organically-grown food sources.

The meltdown of each of these global markets signifies a loss in the magnitude of hundreds of trillions of dollars. Moreover, the
interests behind the Cartel have realized that the people of the world are determined to defend these new ‘liberating’ technologies, making it impossible for them to defend their global patent-based markets in a democratic system. In this situation, the only option for the Cartel to protect its economic privileges is to escalate international crises and instigate military conflicts with the goal of establishing its dictatorial rule over the world.

The people of the world have to realize that the current attempt of the Oil and Drug Cartel at world conquest is not the battle of one nation against the rest of the world, like in WWI and WWII. The battlelines today are drawn between the financial interests of a handful of corporate shareholders and the health and life interests of billions of people living today and countless others as yet unborn.

This is the challenge of our time. But in this historic challenge also lies a breath-taking opportunity.
A World For the People and By the People

Since it is obvious that the political representatives of an entire continent, Europe, failed to protect democracy, we, the people of the world, have to recognise our responsibilities and take action. What is needed now is a global movement that protects the inhabitants of this planet, today and in the future, from being sacrificed to the interests of the Oil and Drug Cartel. The very essence of this movement is to protect the health and lives of millions of people; therefore, it will be a ‘Movement of Life’.

This book provides the historical analysis for the necessity and urgency for such a movement. The lessons of history documented in this book will end the decades of deception that have formed the basis for the rule of the Oil and Drug Cartel until now.

This book shows that the desperate efforts by the Cartel to cement the Brussels EU and extend its influence around the world are no coincidence. They reflect the fear of the status quo that it may lose political and economic control of our planet. Alternative forms of energy are threatening to end the “Tyranny of Oil”. Similarly, effective natural health approaches are about to end the dependency of mankind upon patented toxic chemical drugs.

The stakeholders of the status quo have realised that they can no longer preserve their economic interests in a democracy. The only way that their multi-trillion dollar markets with largely antiquated technologies can be maintained is by resorting to totalitarian forms of government. Thus, the aggressive attempts of the Cartel to seize power over Europe and the world, as documented in this book, are not a sign of strength, but of desperation.
The Birth of the ‘Movement of Life’

Why the Movement of Life will Succeed

Understanding this historical analysis – regarding the increasingly desperate situation of the forces that have controlled the world in the past – is a precondition for the creation of a new world “For the People and By the People.” The “Movement of Life” that has to undertake this historical mission has two primary goals:

1. Advance new technologies in the fields of energy, health and other key sectors of society that dismantle the monopoly of the status quo in these markets.

2. Combine the democratization of these technologies with the promotion of political democracy, at the local, national and international level.

By working toward these two goals, the “Movement of Life” will protect democracy, preserve peace and, thereby, inevitably overcome the plans of the Cartel for another attempt at world conquest.

More about the urgency of the “Movement of Life” can be found in the concluding chapter of this book.
Chapter 2

WALTER HALLSTEIN:
Prominent Nazi Lawyer – And Key Architect of the ‘Brussels EU’
Walter Hallstein (1901-1982)

Walter Hallstein was a prominent lawyer involved in the legal and administrative planning for a post-WWII Europe under the control of the Nazis and their corporate allies, the Oil and Drug Cartel IG Farben.

Hallstein represented the new breed of members of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition. He was trained by legal teachers whose primary goal was to sabotage the ‘Versailles Treaty’ defining the reparation payments imposed on Germany after it lost WWI. Early on in his career Hallstein received a special training at the ‘Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Berlin.’ This private institute was largely financed by the IG Farben Cartel to raise its scientific and legal cadres for the Cartel’s next attempts at the conquest and control of Europe and the world.

While the rule of the Nazis ended in 1945, the rule of their accomplices, the IG Farben Cartel and its successors BAYER, BASF, and HOECHST had just begun. As a strategic part of their plan to launch the third attempt at the conquest of Europe, they placed – a mere decade after their previous attempt had failed – one of their own at the helm of the new cartel ‘politburo’ in Brussels: Walter Hallstein.

This chapter documents that the fundamentally undemocratic construct of today’s ‘Brussels EU’ is no coincidence. Hallstein, a prominent Nazi lawyer – and expert on the IG Farben concern – was chosen by these corporate interests to become the first EU Commission president with a specific assignment: to model the ‘Brussels EU’ after the original plans of the Nazi/IG Farben coalition to rule Europe through a ‘Central Cartel Office’.

The goal of the oil and drug interests then and now was the creation of a ‘European Cartel Office’ [i.e. the ‘Brussels EU’] with a junta of unelected cartel bureaucrats [i.e. the ‘EU Commission’] ruling over the people of Europe on behalf of global corporate interests, namely the Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel.
No single person had a greater influence than Hallstein on the present-day shape of the ‘Brussels EU’ and, thereby, on the Cartel’s vision for the future of Europe. The facts about Walter Hallstein documented in this book will end decades of illiteracy about this ‘founding father’ of the ‘Brussels EU’.

By learning the background of this man, the people of Europe and the world will be able to identify the true interests and motives behind the construct of the ‘Brussels EU’.
Chapter 2

The Key Architect of Today’s ‘Brussels EU’

On March 25, 1957, Hallstein was made one of twelve ‘founding fathers’ – the original signatories – of the ‘Treaty of Rome’, the founding document of the ‘Brussels EU’, which he had co-authored.

On January 7, 1958, Hallstein was appointed by the political stakeholders of the Oil and Drug Cartel as the first President of the so-called ‘EU Commission,’ the highest office of the European Economic Community (EEC) and the precursor of the ‘Brussels EU’.

In 1963 Hallstein was appointed for a second 5-year term as president of the ‘EU Commission’. To cement its grip over Europe, the cartel and its political stakeholders provided Hallstein – the first ‘king’ of modern Europe – with an appropriate ‘castle’, the giant ‘Berlaymont’ colossus in the center of Brussels (picture below).

For a full 10 years, from 1958 to 1967, Hallstein commanded an army of thousands of bureaucrats – outside any democratic control.

The political leaders of the 27 European nations who signed the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ failed to ask decisive questions:

1. Who conceived the undemocratic structure of the ‘Brussels EU’?

2. Where did the blueprint for the construct of the ‘Brussels EU’ come from?
With the help of this army of obeying servants, largely paid for by the cartel and its political stakeholders, Hallstein modelled the ‘Brussels EU’ after blueprints designed two decades earlier by him and his accomplices – for a Europe under Nazi / IG Farben control.

51 years later, on December 1, 2009, the so-called ‘Lisbon Treaty’ imposed the key elements of Hallstein’s construct of the ‘Brussels EU’ – and thereby the core elements of the Nazi / Cartel master plan – upon the people of Europe.

Thus at the beginning of the 21st century, as a direct consequence of historical unawareness:

• 27 heads of State, by signing the so-called ‘Lisbon Treaty,’ signed an ‘Enabling Act’.

• Similar to 1933, they sacrificed democracy and opened the doors for the rule over Europe by the same corporate cartel interests that failed to accomplish this goal during WWII.

The new plan of the cartel to take control of Europe was simple: Appoint a ‘king’, endow him with a ‘castle’ and thousands of servile bureaucrats to rule – and deceptively portray this construct to the people as a symbol of democracy.

But now that this fraud is exposed in this book – it can no longer be executed.
As part of building their dictatorship and preparations for WWII, the Nazi/IG Farben coalition took care of the ideological alignment of all key sectors of society under their rule. One of the most important sectors was the legal profession, which was used to provide the dictatorship with a legal ‘fig leaf.’ In 1933, immediately after seizing power, the BNSDJ [Bund Nationalsozialistischer Deutscher Juristen, i.e. Association of National Socialist German Lawyers] was founded. In 1936 this organization was converted to the notorious Nazi ‘Association of Law Protectors’ [Rechtswahrer]. ‘Law Protector’ was the Nazi code language for systematically destroying the democratic legal system and replacing it with Nazi / fascist dictatorial law.
Hallstein was a member of both the BNSDJ and the infamous Nazi ‘Rechtswahrer’ Organization. Membership in this organization was restricted to those individuals showing uncompromising support for, and participation in, the implementation of Nazi ideology and planning for the conquest of the world by the Nazi/IG Farben coalition.

The official badge of the Nazi ‘Rechtswahrer’ ['law protectors'] organization with the Nazi swastika in the center.

**Prof. Dr. jur. Walter Hallstein**

Rostock, September 30, 1935
Stephan Str. 15

To the Representative of the [Nazi] government at the University

Rostock

In reference to my oath of office I declare:

in the post-war [WWI, i.e., after 1918] time I was a member of the following organizations:

- during my time as assistant lawyer [Referendar] I belonged to the Referendar Association of the [Nazi] Reich,
- as professor I belonged to the Association of German Universities of the [Nazi] Reich.

Today I am a member of the ‘Association of National Socialist German Law Professionals’ ['Bund Nationalsozialistischer Deutscher Juristen’, BNSDJ], the ‘National Socialist Teacher’s Association’ ['Nationalsozialistischer Lehrerbund’, NSLB] and the lecturers.
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Hallstein – ‘Protector’ of the Nazi Laws

The association of Nazi ‘Law Protectors’ was one of the pillars of the Nazi terror regime. The functions and responsibilities of the members of the Nazi ‘Protectors of the Law’ were defined in the official ‘Proceedings of the NS Law Protectors Association’ [Schriftenreihe des NS-Rechtswahrerbunds] volume 5, 1938, as documented here:

The National Socialist Alliance of the Protectors of the Law

“The National Socialist Alliance of the Protectors of the Law (NSRB) is responsible for the professional organisation of the [Nazi] German legal profession. It is headed by Minister Dr. Frank1, the leader [‘Reichsleiter’] of the Reich’s Legal Office [‘Reichsrechtsamt’, i.e. the breeding ground of the Nazi terror laws] of the National Socialist German Workers’ Party (NSDAP) [i.e. the official ‘Nazi party’]...

Through its leading positions the NSRB is being connected to the central offices of the Reich’s Legal Office of the NSDAP. In this way it is assured that the political direction of the professional activity is identical with the will of the [Nazi party] NSDAP – and thereby with the leadership of the [Nazi] State. Thus, the association of the NSRB with the [Nazi party] NSDAP has a solid foundation.”

1 Frank, the Nazi’s highest-ranking lawyer, was sentenced to death on October 17, 1946 for war crimes and crimes against humanity at the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal.

Hallsteins pledge – under oath – of his reliable support for the Nazi ideology and goals greatly furthered his academic career. On May 18, 1936, merely eight months after his oath of Nazi allegiance, Hallstein was appointed ‘Dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics’ at the Rostock University in Nazi Germany.
The Chancellor
of the University Rostock

Rostock, May 18, 1936

Based on the authority bestowed on me by the Reich Ministry of Education, I am hereby appointing you to the position of Dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics. I am asking you to inform me whom you appointed as your deputy.

Heil Hitler!
The Chancellor

Herrn
Prof. Dr. Hallstein

Here [Rostock]
After the defeat of the Nazi/IG Farben’s attempt at world conquest, one of the first tasks for mankind was to make sure that the responsible criminals were punished and could never again commit such crimes against humanity. Among others, the Allies interrogated the bearers of German public offices – including university staff – about their Nazi past. Below is a facsimile of Walter Hallstein’s official 1945 interrogation sheet (excerpts).

**After the 1945 Defeat of the Nazis**

**Hallstein Suffered Acute Memory Loss**

After the defeat of the Nazi/IG Farben’s attempt at world conquest, one of the first tasks for mankind was to make sure that the responsible criminals were punished and could never again commit such crimes against humanity. Among others, the Allies interrogated the bearers of German public offices – including university staff – about their Nazi past. Below is a facsimile of Walter Hallstein’s official 1945 interrogation sheet (excerpts).
This document, entitled ‘Personnel Questionnaire for University Officials’, was personally filled out by Hallstein in his own handwriting. In this official questionnaire, Hallstein blatantly denied having had any involvement with the Nazi regime – other than being a mere bystander. In contradiction to his public record – including his sworn Nazi allegiance from 1936 – he denied ever having been a member of any Nazi organization or a promotor of their ideology.

Hallstein’s barefaced lies about his Nazi past in official records constitute perjury. For a few decades, it seems, he got away with it.

In 1957, the Nazi ‘legal front soldier’ [Hallstein’s self-portrait in 1939], would be appointed to coordinate the Cartel’s next attempt to conquer Europe by means of a new Central Cartel Office, the ‘Brussels EU’.

Now, half a century later, the fairy tale of Hallstein as a founding father of a democratic Europe collapses and, with it, the cornerstone of the entire ‘Brussels EU’ construct.

---

**Translation of Hallstein’s Official 1945 Interrogation Testimony**

**Given under Oath as Part of the Allied ‘Denazification Program’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Hallstein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. From 1933 on have you been a member of:

   d). A political organization within the University? **No.**

   (If yes, please provide all details.)

12. Since 1933, have you given any speeches, publicly or outside your academic work (e.g. radio, army, Nazi training institutions)? **No.**

13. According to your best judgement, did you ever contribute to

   a) spread Nazi or similar ‘racial’ Ideas (including racial teaching and racial hatred? **No.**

   b) spread fascist and antidemocratic ideas? **No.**

   c) instigate hostility or contemptuousness against the united nations of the world? **No.**

   d) spread militaristic, including Greater-German and German-imperialistic ideas? **No.**

*Emphasis added*
In May 1938, with the Nazis’ power firmly consolidated in Germany, Hitler pays an official state visit to Italy, the other fascist nation in Europe. Little more than one year before the Nazi/IG Farben coalition would launch WWII, Hitler and Mussolini initiated planning for a Europe under their control.

This official Nazi State visit marks the beginning of close preparations for WWII in key areas – including the implementation of dictatorial laws across Europe – between the fascist governments of Germany and Italy. To that effect, a bi-national commission was established with the name ‘Working Group of German-Italian Legal Relations’ [‘Arbeitsgemeinschaft für deutsch-Italienische Rechtz-beziehungen’].

The primary goal of this group was to create the legal basis for the rule of the fascist/IG Farben coalition over Europe, to take effect once Germany and Italy had militarily subjugated the rest of the continent. Priority topics discussed were ‘protection of intellectual property’ [i.e. the extension of patents, particularly from the IG Farben Cartel across Europe] and ‘protection of the race’ [the code word for cementing the Arian race as the rulers of the world].

Only a few weeks after Hitler’s 1938 visit, the first meeting of this group of fascist/nazi lawyers took place in Rome. One of the legal experts representing Nazi Germany at these official negotiations about how the Nazis and fascists would rule conquered Europe was Walter Hallstein.

From June 21-25,1938, Hallstein represented the Nazi government during State negotiations with fascist Italy about the legal framework for a Europe-wide dictatorship under the control of the Nazi/IG Farben Cartel coalition.
Walter Hallstein: Prominent Nazi Lawyer – And Key Architect of the ‘Brussels EU’

Nazi/Cartel Lawyers Plan Future of Europe

After the second meeting of these official negotiations, in the spring of 1939, some of the results were made public:

The ‘Working Group of German-Italian Legal Relations’ at its meeting in Vienna, March 6-11, 1939, has passed the following principles [Thesen]:

• Each people [Volk] as a living community has to solve the race issue [Rassenfrage] in accordance with its spiritual and racial characteristics [geistig und rassischen Eigenart]. On this basis National Socialism and Fascism are both claiming the right to defend the European culture and to perfect it.

• The legal order of the dictatorial State [Führerstaat] has the task to protect the integrity, the health and the racial health [Erbgesundheit] of its people. ... It is the task of National Socialism and Fascism to continuously strengthen the racial awareness by profound spiritual and moral education [geistige und sittliche Erziehung].

• The [supreme] race has to be particularly protected from the Jewish race [Judentum] by eliminating it from society [Volksgemeinschaft] for all time in order to prevent the Jewish race from exerting any influence whatsoever on the life of the two nations [Germany and Italy].

• The universal and cosmopolitan ideologies of world conquest [Weltherrschaftsplänen] of the Jewish race are being opposed by the unequivocal principles of the German and Italian people as determined in the Nuremberg Laws of September 15, 1935 [Germany] and by decisions by the Fascist Great Council of October 6, 1938 [Italy].

Emphasis and explanations [square brackets] added.

Thus, by Summer 1938, Walter Hallstein had become not only a functionary of the Nazi regime participating in high-level international negotiations between two fascist States, Germany and Italy. In fact, he had become a key legal and political strategist for the plans of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition to establish the dictatorial framework for a Europe under the control of the Cartel.
Hallstein – Architect of a New Europe

The city of Rome played a specific role in the European history of the 20th century. In June 1938, this city hosted an international conference of legal and political stakeholders of the Cartel. Under the deceptive cover of a ‘legal working group’ they met to lay the foundation for the dictatorial framework of Europe under Cartel control. The significance of this ‘working group’ was underscored by the resolutions passed as well as by the list of attendees. Hallstein’s group of Cartel technocrats was joined by some of the highest State officials – including Nazi Minister Hans Frank (sentenced to death for his War Crimes in 1947) – and encouraged by greetings conveyed from Hitler and Mussolini.

In 1945, the Cartel planning for the conquest of Europe realized that its Nazi/Fascist military puppets had lost the war. But for the Cartel interests, this defeat was little more than a hiccup. In the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals, the Cartel’s military puppets were presented to the world as the sole culprits. In contrast, Hallstein and the other legal and political experts for the Cartels’s conquest plans were deceptively presented to an unknowing public as the democratic ‘founding fathers’ of a new Europe – with its headquarters in Brussels.

When Hallstein left Rome after the end of the 1938 ‘Conquest Conference’, on June 25 of that year, he could not have known that he would return to this city only 19 years later on the same mission. On May 25, 1957, he signed the ‘Treaties of Rome’ which he had prepared as key legal architect. With his signature under these ‘treaties’, Hallstein completed the assignment he had received in 1938: the subjugation of Europe under the control of the Oil and Drug Cartel via the ‘Brussels EU’.

But let’s return to 1938. At the end of that year, something happened that would bring within reach the ultimate goal of the Nazi/Cartel coalition to control not only Europe – but also the entire world.
Under Control of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition

IG FARBEN (BAYER, BASF, HOECHST)

The Oil and Drug Cartel’s 1938 Plans for Conquest and Control of Europe

Military Conquest Plans

Political Conquest Plans

From Planning to Brutal Reality

The Conquest of Europe by the Nazi/Cartel Coalition
(Countries occupied immediately after Rome Conference)

1936

1938

1939

1940

1941
The Dawn of the Nuclear Age

The turn of the year 1938/39 marked a turning point in human history. For some time, the scientific race had been on for elucidating the structure of atoms and for releasing their giant energy forces. Laboratories in France, the UK, the USA, Germany and in other countries had been competing for years to win this race and to put nuclear energy to commercial and military use.

On December 10, 1938, the Italian physicist Enrico Fermi had received the Nobel Prize in Physics in recognition of his pioneering work in this critical new scientific field – fueling the research activities in nuclear physics and chemistry even further.

Only one week later, on December 17, 1938, German chemist Otto Hahn and his associate Fritz Strassmann were conducting experiments at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Berlin, Germany. On that day they discovered that the bombarding of uranium atoms with small particles (neutrons) resulted in the split of the large uranium atom into two smaller atoms – releasing a vast amount of energy. Nuclear fission had been discovered.

The third scientist critically involved in this research for many years, physicist Liese Meitner, could not be present on that day. Six months earlier, she had been forced to leave Germany and emigrate to Stockholm because of her Jewish heritage and the Nazi racial ‘blood and honor’ laws. Hahn and Strassmann submitted their groundbreaking discovery on December 22, 1939, to the leading German scientific journal ‘Naturwissenschaften’ [Natural Sciences], where it was immediately published on January 6, 1939.

During the first days of January 1939, the scientific community in Germany, Europe and beyond learned that the world would never be the same again. The discovery of nuclear fission, the release of unprecedented amounts of nuclear energy and its use for military purposes, would reshape the geopolitical face of the earth: Those countries controlling nuclear fission would control the world.
8 Weeks that Changed the Course of History

Above: Otto Hahn, Liese Meitner in the Kaiser-Wilhelm-Institute laboratory. Right: The scientific publication reporting about nuclear fission from January 6, 1939.
The Beginning of the Nuclear Age Was Recognized Around the World

By early January 1939, the scientific world had learned about the dawn of the nuclear age. But what about politicians, lawmakers, the media and the public at large? Did they realize the dramatic consequences that the splitting of the uranium atom would have upon world affairs?

They did. Nothing illustrates this fact better than the detailed report about this discovery published on January 30, 1939, in the ‘New York Times.’ By that day, not even 4 weeks after the first publication in a scientific journal, the experimental results of Hahn’s team had already been confirmed by Columbia University in New York and other research institutes. The ‘New York Times’ – a newspaper generally not known for exaggerations – described the discoveries as “Epoch Making.”

The report leaves no doubt about the potential military significance of this discovery: “The splitting of the uranium atom into two parts, each consisting of a gigantic ‘atomic cannonball’ of the tremendous energy of 100,000,000 electron-volts, [is] the greatest amount of atomic energy so far liberated by man on earth.” The detailed description of the generation of two neutron ‘cannon balls’ from each split uranium atom immediately implied the principle of a chain reaction even to the general readership of the New York Times.

The article correctly describes that critical steps of this research had been conducted by Otto Hahn and Liese Meitner at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Berlin. What the article did not mention, however, was the fact that research at this private institute was largely financed by the IG Farben Cartel, the same corporate cartel that had financed the rise of the Nazis to power and was actively preparing the Nazi/IG Farben coalition for military world conquest.

But the IG Farben Cartel had not only invested in the development of key weapon technologies in their quest to control the world.
VAST ENERGY FREED BY URANIUM ATOM

Split, It Produces 2 ‘Cannonballs,’ Each of 100,000,000 Electron Volts

HAILED AS EPOCH MAKING

New Process, Announced at Columbia, Uses Only 1-30 Volt to Liberate Big Force

The splitting of a uranium atom into two parts, each consisting of a gigantic atomic “cannonball” of the tremendous energy of 100,000,000 electron-volts, the greatest amount of atomic energy so far liberated by man on earth, was announced here yesterday by the Columbia University Department of Physics in a statement by Dean George P. Pegram of the Columbia Graduate Faculties.

The splitting of the uranium atom, it was said, constitutes an entirely new atomic process, the possibility of which did not even occur to any of the world’s atom smashers. This new process, it was added, “yields the largest conversion of mass into energy that has yet been obtained by terrestrial methods.”

Small Force Used for Splitting

One of the most startling phenomena in this newly discovered atomic process is the relatively small amount of energy necessary to liberate the enormous amounts developed through the splitting of the uranium atom. The uranium atom is split by means of neutrons, that is, neutral atomic particles carrying no electrical charge. These neutron bullets travel with energies of only one-thirtieth of a volt. Yet they produce two atomic “cannonballs” of a total of 200,000,000 electron-volts, representing an energy 6,000,000,000 times greater. . . .

It was Professor Fermi who first fired neutron bullets into uranium, the heaviest element found in nature. Professor Fermi believed he had succeeded in creating an element heavier than uranium, which, being unstable, disintegrated into an isotope (twin of an element) of radium.

The work was continued at the Kaiser Wilhelm Research Institute for Chemistry at Berlin-Dahlem, Germany, by Dr. Lise Meitner and Professor Otto Hahn, who had been working together for many years. Dr. Meitner was discharged last year for racial reasons and she went to Stockholm, Sweden. . . .
The Cartels’ Strategic Military and Political Preparations for World Control

In 1918, the Cartel had lost its first attempt at world conquest – World War I – and its military puppet, Emperor Wilhelm II, was forced to abdicate. Not so, however, the corporate backers of WWI, namely, BAYER, BASF and HOECHST, whose merchandise – explosives and poison gas – had propelled this devastating war. These corporate interests, after 1925 operating under the name of the ‘IG Farben’ Cartel, harnessed their forces for the next attempt at world conquest.

The Cartel turned a private academic institution – named, amazingly, after the abdicated Kaiser Wilhelm – into a training site for its cadres for future attempts at world conquest. IG Farben not only financed Otto Hahn’s research at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute (KW I) of Chemistry, but also the buildup of an elite of legal technocrats at the KW I of International and Comparative Law. Behind this code name, the Cartel financed the strategic development of the legal framework needed to control and rule a subjugated world. One of the technocrats, specially trained there between 1927 and 1929, was Walter Hallstein.

Above: Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Chemistry in Berlin where nuclear fission was discovered. Right: IG Farben director Carl Bosch, who became President of the Kaiser Wilhelm Society in 1937. IG Farben financed the Kaiser Wilhelm Institutes for Chemistry and International Law – in preparation for the Cartel’s next attempt at world conquest.
Training Elites for the Next World Conquest

**German Chemical Cartel**  
**BAYER / BASF / HOECHST**

Built upon the largest number of patents of any corporate group in the world, the German Cartel plans to conquer the global markets in chemicals, petrochemicals and pharmaceuticals.

---

**1914 - 1918 World War I**

German Emperor ‘Kaiser Wilhelm’ and the German army are ‘commissioned’ to implement these plans for world conquest. BAYER/BASF produce the explosives and the chemical weapons. The plan fails. The Kaiser has to abdicate – the Cartel continues.

---

**Kaiser Wilhelm Institutes (KWI)**

BAYER, BASF, HOECHST – after 1925 operating as the IG Farben Cartel – finance this institution as a training site for their academic cadres, ready for the next two attempts at world conquest.

---

**KWI for Chemistry**  
**Otto Hahn**

---

**KWI for International Law**  
**Walter Hallstein**

---

**Nuclear Arms Technology for World Conquest**

---

**World ‘Reich’**

Cartel rules over Europe and the world by:
- Supranational State
- Central Cartel Office
- Corporate Dictatorship
- Nuclear Threat
Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’: Future Europe under Nazi/IG Farben Control

On January 23, 1939, Hallstein, then Dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics at the University of Rostock, Germany, gave a propaganda speech about the legal restructuring of Europe. His presentation, which may best be characterized as the ‘Conquest Speech’, was part of the war mobilization by the Nazi regime and their corporate partners, the Oil and Drug Cartel IG Farben.

The timing of this speech was highly significant. Only ten months prior, on March 12, 1938, the Nazis had annexed Austria. On October 10, 1938, – only 3 months prior to Hallstein’s speech – the Nazis had also annexed the Czech territory of Sudetenland (Bohemia, Moravia) by threatening war if their territorial claim was not fulfilled. At an international conference in Munich, on September 29/30, 1938, Hitler had assured the world that with the annexation of the Sudetenland his territorial claims would be satisfied. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Most importantly, the dawn of the nuclear age and their perceived monopoly of this technology encouraged the Nazis to accelerate their plans for world conquest. Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ leaves no doubt that he knew about and fully supported the subjugation of Europe – and later the entire world – under the complete economic, political and judicial control of the Nazi/IG Farben coalition. In his speech, he specifically describes the planned conquest of Europe by the Wehrmacht and the subjugation of Europe as an “economic event of sheer unimaginable consequences.”

This speech, of course, reflects the dilemma the Nazis faced in January 1939 – only seven months before the launch of WWII. While Hallstein and the other Nazi propagandists had to prepare – and motivate – the German elite for a full-scale world war, they could not yet openly talk about their war plans in public.
Imagine, you are part of this eerie scenery more than 80 years ago. A cold winter night in January 1939, freezing temperatures have taken hold of the German harbour city of Rostock on the edge of the Baltic Sea. Snow and ice is covering the roads and darkness has filled the air.

Suddenly the silence is broken. The main roads in downtown Rostock seem to move, the cobble stones reverberate under the nailed boots of soldiers, brown shirts and Nazi State officials. They mingled seamlessly with local politicians, university professors and students all dressed in formal attire, signifying the importance of the event. The Nazi elite is heading to one of the largest convention sites in town: ‘Mahn & Ohlrichs Keller’, the event hall of the local brewery.

The keynote speaker for this evening is a 38 year old law professor from the Rostock University: Walter Hallstein. His speech, which you are about to read on the following pages, will change your perspective on the ‘Brussels EU’ forever.
The fact that Hallstein’s lecture was not just a university gathering but an official event of the Nazi State is underscored by the presence of Nazi Minister of State, Dr. Scharf – Hitler’s representative in the State Government of Mecklenburg of which Rostock was the capital – as well as the entire elite of the Nazi State in this region.

The above article in the official Nazi newspaper ‘Niederdeutscher Beobachter’ ['Low German Observer’], published the next day, January 24, 1939, documents Hallstein’s lecture and the State event surrounding it.

The translation of this newspaper article is documented on the facing page. Explanations in square brackets and emphasis are added.
Greater Germany as Legal Entity
Lecture evening of the University of Rostock – Professor Hallstein spoke

Rostock, 24 January

Last night a lecture evening took place in “Mahn & Ohlerichs Keller.” Prof. Dr. Ruickholdt, dean of the university, had issued the invitation. In his opening speech, he welcomed Minister of [the Nazi] State Dr. Scharf, representatives of the [Nazi] party and of its organizations, of the Wehrmacht [Nazi Armed Forces], the “Reichsarbeitsdienst” [Reich Labour Service, the Nazi Trade Union], the city [of Rostock], as well as representatives of the business sector and the student body.

Ruickholdt expressed the hope of the university that the evening may contribute to the public’s trust and understanding in it for, even though it is an old establishment looking back on a long history and old tradition, it is not far from everyday life. The Führer’s [Hitler’s] great appreciation of the German universities as the supporters of a valuable tradition could be seen from the fact that during the “Kulturtagung” [culture conference], the headmasters attended the Nuremberg Rally [“Reichsparteitag”] in 1938 in their historic vestments, said Ruickholdt.

The speaker of the evening was Dr. Hallstein, professor of law, who has gained considerable experience in European legal policies with his comparative research. He discussed the issue of the legal link-up of the “Ostmark” and the Sudetenland [i.e. the Nazis annexation of Austria and major parts of then Czechoslovakia].

Professor Dr. Hallstein’s profound statements were received with much applause. A social get-together rounded off the evening. Apart from the Minister of State, the guests of honour included, amongst others: Mayor Volgmann, [Nazi] garrison commander Colonel Lieb, “Generalarbeitsführer” [third-highest rank in the Nazi Reich Labour Service] Schroeder, a representative of the “Kreisleiter” [head of the Nazi district], “Kreisorganisationsleiter” [head of the Nazi district organization] Degner, “SA-Oberführer” [Brown Shirt-Brigadier] Behnert, Chief [Nazi] Constable Dr. Sommer, [Nazi Wehrmacht] Lieutenant Colonel von Bleffingh, Warnemuende [district of Rostock].
Hallstein and the Nazi ‘Newspeak’

Throughout this chapter, the term ‘Nazi/Cartel Coalition’ will be used to describe the horrifying ‘marriage’ that took place between the Oil and Drug Cartel IG Farben and their Nazi henchmen, who, together, had the goal of conquering and controlling the world. The term ‘Coalition’, and even ‘Marriage’, was used during the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals to characterize the relationship between the Oil and Drug Cartel and the Nazis in preparing and launching WWII.

Walter Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’, given on January 23, 1939, was part of the Nazis’/Cartel’s comprehensive mobilization campaign towards World War II.

To hide their aggressive war plans – and in order to keep the element of surprise – the Nazi/Cartel Coalition perfected the strategy of deception. Walter Hallstein was one of the ‘Masters of Deception’ in the Nazi/Cartel propaganda campaign that led up to WWII.

Now that it is made public, Hallstein’s speech will become a classic example of how the Nazi/Cartel propagandists deceived the entire world about their true intentions. Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ will be studied by generations of students of history, politics, law and other disciplines.

Our publication of this speech does not only serve to expose the interests that Hallstein, as the key architect of the ‘Brussels EU’, served, it will also serve future generations as a warning to stay vigilant about the actions of their own political representatives – and to not fall prey to their disingenuous words.

As part of their camouflaged mobilization for WWII, Hallstein and the other propagandists of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition systematically redefined the meaning of certain words.
“Dark Suit or [Nazi] Uniform”

Even several weeks before Hallstein’s lecture, the Chancellor of Rostock University left no doubt that the ‘Hallstein lecture’ was an official event organized by the university for the Nazi State.

Circular No. 1/39

I am inviting the ‘Herren Kollegen’ [all Professors and assistant teaching staff of the university] to the Mahn & Ohlerichs Cellar, Doberaner Str. 21 on Monday, January 23, 1939, at 20:30 hours for the lecture of ‘Herr Kollege Hallstein’ on the topic of

“The Legal Entity of Greater Germany”

with the request to stay for the subsequent ‘beer evening’.

I am expecting the attendance of all colleagues on that evening and I would be grateful if you would arrive at the latest at 20:15 hours to welcome the guests [of the Nazi elite].

Seatown of Rostock, January 10, 1939.

The Chancellor – Ruickoldt

Dark suit or uniform [requested]

Reply – even in case of non-attendance – requested on the attached card before 1/14/39
The following are some examples of the Nazi/Cartel propaganda terminology used by Hallstein – a ‘Master of Deception’ – throughout his ‘Conquest Speech’:

• **‘Greater Germany’** [‘Grossdeutschland’, the code word for ‘Europe under control of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition’]. Even with the title of his speech – ‘The Legal Entity of Greater Germany’ – Hallstein creates the deceptive impression of merely describing legal changes within the German territory. The term ‘Greater Germany’ was the Nazi/Cartel’s code word for the ‘Entirety of Europe – and later the rest of the world – under its control.’

• **‘Ostmark’** [‘Eastern Territory’, the Nazi/Cartel code word for ‘occupied Austria’]. For annexed Austria, Hallstein uses the Nazi/Cartel propaganda terminology ‘Ostmark’ to create the impression that the occupation of the Austrian Nation by the Nazis was merely the natural extension of the German Reich to the East.

• **‘Anschluss’** [‘link-up’, the Nazi/Cartel code word for ‘subjugation of a country by any means’]. For the annexation of Austria and the Czech territories of Sudetenland (Bohemia and Moravia), the Nazi/Cartel Coalition had invented the term ‘Anschluss’ [‘link-up’] to imply that the annexation of these territories was simply an administrative ‘link-up.’ Hallstein uses this Nazi/Cartel terminology abundantly during his speech, deliberately obfuscating the plans of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition to militarily conquer and annex all of Europe and later the rest of the world.

• **‘Rechtswahrer’** [‘Protectors of the Law’, the Nazi/Cartel code word for the ‘legal storm troopers’ committed to the construction of a totalitarian world under their control]. 1936 – three years after seizing power in Germany – was the year of the Nuremberg Racial Laws and the year when Hallstein became dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics at the University of Rostock.
Dear Chancellor [‘Magnifizenz’ was the form of address at that time]

[Hallstein talks about himself in the third person, like a Medieval king:]... Tonight a scholar will take the floor on the topic of the “Legal Unity of Greater Germany” whose scientific work, on the one hand, was focusing on the comparative research of European legal systems.

On the other hand he is, through the intensive participation in the “Academy of German Law” [the Nazi institution for securing the dictatorial Nazi rule over conquered Europe with the help of barbaric laws and decrees] particularly familiar with the legal-political goals of the [Nazi] Third Reich. Thus he [Hallstein still talks about himself!] seems to be called upon to make a statement from the position of legal science [i.e. the framework of Nazi dictatorial laws] about the melting of the new German territories with ‘old Germany’ [Altreich, i.e. then existing Germany].

Heil Hitler!  
Sincerely devoted  
to your Magnifizenz
1936 was also the year when the Nazis/Cartel Coalition started their “4-Year-Plan” to prepare for WWII and the military conquest of the world. The German lawyers were part of this preparation. The ‘Association of Nazi German Lawyers’ ['Bund Nationalsozialistischer Deutscher Juristen (BNSDJ)'] was reorganized into the Nazi ‘Rechtswahrer Bund (NSRB)’ – the official Nazi Organization of ‘Protectors of the Law.’

The decision to organize major parts of the legal profession in Nazi Germany under the propaganda term ‘Protectors of the Law’ reflects the significance placed by the Nazi/IG Farben coalition on this profession. These ‘legal stormtroopers’ were essential for cementing the dictatorship in Germany – and later for extending this dictatorship to the rest of Europe.

By branding the entire legal profession as ‘Protectors of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’, the Nazis created one of the most impressive examples of their deceptive propaganda. These ‘Protectors of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’ would, of course, not ‘protect’ the democratic laws. To the contrary, they were committed to systematically destroying them. These ‘Protectors of [Nazi/Cartel] Law’ were the fanatic architects of a world under the control of the Nazi/IG Farben coalition. The only laws they were sworn to ‘protect’ were the dictatorial laws cementing the rule of the Nazi/Cartel coalition over the world in a ‘Thousand Year Reich.’

Walter Hallstein was a member of this notorious organization of ‘Protectors of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’.

These examples are merely a few from the long list of deceptive terms used by Hallstein and other Nazi/Cartel propagandists in their quest to gain control over Europe and the world.
Facsimile from Hallstein’s manuscript for his Nazi propaganda speech, given in Rostock on January 23, 1939. The entire manuscript can be reviewed on our website.

Further information: GB2HS241
This Nazi/Cartel propaganda technique of reversing the facts and using deceptive language for propaganda purposes – perfectly exemplified by Hallstein in his ‘Conquest Speech’ – would 15 years later be described as ‘Newspeak’ by George Orwell in his novel “1984.”

The following translation of Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ is based on his original manuscript. Considering the fanatical nature of Hallstein’s speech, some of the readers of this book may, no doubt, question the authenticity of this text. To this effect, to lay any doubts to rest, we have published Hallstein’s entire ‘Conquest Speech’ online (in the original German).

Furthermore, we were also able to obtain a handwritten copy of Hallstein’s speech; a sample from this is documented on the previous page. Explanations of the Nazi/Cartel propaganda terminology used by Hallstein throughout his extremist speech are given in the form of square brackets within the text or as footnotes.

Some sequences in Hallstein’s text, that merely served to mask the otherwise militant nature of his speech, have not been included in this book. They can, however, be studied online in the original German manuscript.

To underscore the relevance of Hallstein’s 1939 Nazi/Cartel ‘Conquest Speech’ for present day Europe, red boxes have been introduced into the text. These red boxes mark those key parts of the speech that subsequently became official parts of the ‘Brussels EU’ with the signing of the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ in 2009 – exactly 70 years after Hallstein, speaking shortly prior to the launch of WWII, gave the speech.

Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ is a perfect example of how the Oil and Drug Cartel exchanges its political stakeholders, but – over decades – continues to pursue its long-term economic and political goals.
With the Nazis gone and Hallstein deceased, the same Oil and Drug Cartel that was responsible for two World Wars during the 20th Century has appointed new political stakeholders for the 21st century.

These political puppets – primarily from the Cartel’s ‘export empires’ of Germany and France – are determined to sacrifice the people of our planet to the Cartel’s third attempt at world conquest.

Most of these documents could have been obtained by anyone interested in learning the truth about the origins of the ‘Brussels EU’ and the roles of its self-appointed ‘founding fathers.’ The fact that Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ was unknown until the publication of this book is simply inexcusable.

This crucial omission tells you, the reader, that either your political leaders failed to inform themselves about Hallstein and the dark Nazi/IG Farben roots of the ‘Brussels EU’ – or, if they knew, they did not dare to inform you about these facts.

Following the publication of this book, the political leaders of Europe can no longer hide behind the excuse that they ‘did not know’. Now it will be up to you, the reader, to confront your political representatives – large and small – with the historical facts.

We must learn from the mistakes of the past, prevent history from repeating itself and help build a new, democratic Europe.
“The Legal Entity of ‘Greater Germany’*

The following is the text of Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’:

“The Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law¹ has three tasks: he has to know the Law, to apply it and to further develop it. The most important among these tasks is the third one, because everything we do is subjected to the Law of [Totalitarian] Development.²

“We not only have to pay attention to the existing law but particularly to the Lawmaking for the [Nazi/Cartel] Future. Our Law Policy Task is not only to maintain the existing order but also to create a better [!] one³.

“I should explain why it is the Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law⁴ who has a particular calling to deal with this task.

“The Leadership of the [Nazi/Cartel European] State⁴ has the unconditional and final power in all decisions relating to the architecture of this Community of the People.⁵

Today, the ‘EU Commission’ has become this “Leadership of the [European] State with unconditional and final power in all decisions”.

* ‘Greater Germany’, i.e. in analogy to ‘Great Britain’ and its control over major parts of the world through the Commonwealth at that time, the Nazi/Cartel Coalition used the terms ‘Great Germany’ or ‘Greater Germany’ to mark their claim for world dominance. The term ‘Greater Germany’ was used as a Code word for Europe and later major parts of the world under Nazi/Cartel control.

¹ ‘Rechtswahrer’, i.e. Nazi ‘newspeak’ for those fanatic Nazi/Cartel lawyers who were determined to destroy the democratic legal system and replace it with the framework of totalitarian law that formed the basis of the Nazi/Cartel dictatorship.

² ‘Gesetz des Werdens’, i.e. Hallstein’s “newspeak” to describe the need to extend the totalitarian Nazi/Cartel legal system across Europe and the world.

³ ‘Eine bessere Ordnung’, i.e. with this ‘better order’ Hallstein describes the legal base for the dictatorial control of the Nazi/Cartel regime over Europe and the world.

⁴ ‘Führung des Staates’, i.e. Nazi terminology to invoke the ‘Führer Principle’, the
In 1957 (above), merely 18 years after his ‘Conquest Speech’, Hallstein incorporated this ‘Leadership-Principle’ into the ‘Treaties of Rome,’ the founding documents of the ‘Brussels EU’.

The ‘EU Commission’ – bureaucrats appointed on behalf of the Cartel – became the new dictatorial “Leadership of the [European Cartel] State with unconditional and final power in all decisions relating to the architecture of this [European] Community”!

In 2009 (below), 27 European political leaders passed the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, thereby completing Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Plans’ – 70 years after his 1939 speech – and advancing the Cartel’s third attempt at the conquest of Europe.

total subordination under the goals of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition.

5 ‘Volksgemeinschaft’, i.e. racially tainted Nazi terminology to describe the ‘superior’ (Arian) people as the dominant race and the only people ‘worthy’ of the term ‘community of people’

6 ‘Befreienden rechtsschöpferischen Tat’, i.e. terminology for imposing Nazi/Cartel
“Does the Leadership of the [Nazi/Cartel] State need lawyers to fulfill this political task? The individual lawyer may wish to see it that way from a professional and psychological point of view, which is understandable. Lawyers are generally busy for their entire life, regulating and controlling the traffic of the Community inside a preserve tightly confined by thousands of legal paragraphs, occupied with daily concerns such as ‘mending the fences’ and ‘cleaning the trenches’.

“Thus, lawyers will strongly feel the temptation deriving from the liberating act of [Nazi/Cartel] Law Crafting, this masculine [!] challenge that characterizes every advance into unknown territories. They will gratefully welcome the opportunity to either become part of a tremendous large-scale [Nazi/Cartel] Planning Effort – or just take care of a modest (legal) garden left for their care.

“However, the reasoning for the responsibility of the Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law for this architectural role derives from the ‘necessities and needs’ of the [Arian] Community.

“Creating this new Law Policy requires the support of those lawyers who command the Field of [Nazi/Cartel] Law, who know the [Nazi/Cartel] Legislation and the Rules of [Nazi/Cartel] Lawmaking, for it is obvious that one needs to know the ‘Good’ in order to be able to find the ‘Better’ [!].

“I am convinced, however, that the justification of this calling to craft New [Nazi/Cartel] Legislation has to be founded even deeper. I think it has to come from the very nature of the order given by the [Arian] People to the Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law:

laws upon the people of conquered European countries.

7 ‘Großartigen Gesamtplanung’, i.e. Nazi/Cartel terminology for conquering and restructuring the European continent.

8 ‘Mitgestalter’, i.e. Hallstein’s call to the legal profession to help construct a Nazi/Cartel-controlled Europe.
Burning Questions about Walter Hallstein:

1946 Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal. Front row, left to right: Göring (who was together with IG Farben Cartel director Krauch – responsible for the ‘Four Year Plans’ of European conquest by the Nazi/Cartel Coalition), Hess (Hitler’s Deputy), Ribbentrop (Foreign Minister of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition), Keitel (Chief of the ‘Wehrmacht’) and other War Criminals.

Question: How did Hallstein escape the Allied ‘Denazification’ and why was he not put on trial in the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals like other Nazi/Cartel lawyers?

Answer: Hallstein lied to the Allies about his affiliation with Nazi organizations. His ‘Conquest Speech’ and other incriminating documents were deliberately omitted by Hallstein in his official report to the Allies. To deceive them, he even presented himself as a mere ‘bystander’ or even ‘victim’ of the Nazi regime.

* For more information on the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal against Nazi/Cartel lawyers (Case No. III of the Trials) see: http://www.mazal.org/archive/nmt/03/NMT03-C001.htm

9 ‘Gemeinschaft’, i.e. terminology for the Arian-based core society in Nazi/cartel-controlled Europe, see above.

10 Hallstein obviously propagates the totalitarian Nazi/Cartel regime as the ‘better’ system, compared to democracy.

11 ‘Volk’, i.e. Nazi terminology for the Arian people.
“Following a law of Nature innate in the profession of the ‘Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’, he must ultimately be the responsible Architect of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law.”

“The relation between the ‘Protector of [Nazi/Cartel] Law’ and the [Nazi/Cartel] Law today is different from the ‘Legal Positivism’ of the late 19th century that has been the prevailing opinion until the turn of the [20th] century:

“The Creation of New [Nazi/Cartel] Law is solely the task of the [dictatorial Nazi/Cartel] Legislators and the role of the Judge is confined to the integration – the ‘Submission’ – of the ‘Facts of Life’ under those facts determined by [Nazi/Cartel] Law.


In 2009, 27 European politicians underwrote the ‘Lisbon Treaty’ – and thereby the key elements of an ‘Enabling Act’ towards the dictatorial empowerment of Cartel interests over Europe. With their signatures, these politicians – deliberately or recklessly – made a mockery of centuries of European democracies.

“He tries to liberate the law from eventualities and exposes the reinforcing framework of a law that ties legal consequences to a random event.

“All branches of legal activity are part of the Purification[!]: The Scientific Theory of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law, the results of which are being condensed to legal advice for the practice of law, and the daily efforts by the Front Officers [!] of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law.”

12 I.e. the Nazi framework of laws subjugating the people of Europe.

13 ‘Läuterungsarbeit’, i.e. terminology that describes the Nazi/Cartel removal of all democratic elements of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition’s New Order for Europe and the world.
Hallstein’s *Internal ‘Support Team’ for the Conquest of Europe via the ‘Brussels EU’*

Konrad Adenauer, Chancellor of West Germany (left) and Herman Josef Abs, CEO of Deutsche Bank and Object of War Crimes Investigation

Hans Globke, Head of Adenauer’s Chancellory, No. 101 on the Allied list of ‘Most Wanted’ War Criminals

Fritz Ter Meer, Director of BAYER/IG Farben, sentenced to Prison in Nuremberg for his War Crimes, by 1956 reinstated as Chairman of BAYER

Further information: GB2WW351
who become advocates of the **Insulted Consciousness [!] for the [Nazi/Cartel] Law**\(^\text{15}\) as well as the judge who – with a verdict – brings final validity to the **Instructions of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law**.

“All these elements develop the [Nazi/Cartel] Law in a meticulous continuous effort that requires the daily **Awareness of the Peoples’ Consciousness of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law**.\(^\text{16}\)

“The true nature of the **Legal Constitution of a People**\(^\text{11}\) can only be recognized by those observers who do not only stare at the laws but take into consideration the lively **synergy of all these forces**\(^\text{16a}\) together. This ‘extensively practiced art’ has to be used when a **General Overhaul of entire Legal Systems**\(^\text{17}\) is due that exceeds the possibilities of daily corrections.

**“This is even more true when a Complete Overturn of a Legal System of revolutionary [!] proportions\(^\text{18}\) calls for the use of all available forces.”**

“**Today we see the entirety of the ‘Protectors of [Nazi/Cartel] Law’**

---

**In 1957**, Hallstein incorporated the strategic legal ‘tools’ for the **‘Complete Overturn of the Legal Systems of revolutionary proportions’** – across Europe – into the **‘Treaties of Rome’**, the founding documents of the **‘Brussels EU.’**

**In 2009**, 27 European political leaders enacted this **‘Complete Overturn of Legal Systems’** of European nations on behalf of the Cartel by signing the **‘Enabling Act of Lisbon’**.

---

\(^{14}\) *Frontoffiziere des Rechts*, a terminology reflecting Hallstein’s submission of the legal profession under the general mobilization for war. Hallstein introduced this military terminology into the legal profession seven months before the Nazi/Cartel Coalition launched WWII.

\(^{15}\) *Rechtsbewusstsein*, Nazi/Cartel terminology for the ‘insult’ the legal system of *democratic* nations poses to the perception of Hallstein and other fanatical supporters of the Nazi/Cartel ideology.

\(^{16}\) *Völkisches Rechtsbewusstsein*, i.e. the Nazi terminology for the submission of the law under the dictatorial system of the Nazis and their racial ideology of a superior (Arian) ‘Volk’.
Hallsteins *External* ‘Support Team’ for the Conquest of Europe via the ‘Brussels EU’

**HALLSTEIN’S OPERATIVES FROM GERMANY’S ‘FOREIGN OFFICE’**

Many officials and ambassadors of the post-WWII West-German ‘Ministry of Foreign Affairs’ had been members of the ‘Nazi Foreign Ministry’ under Ribbentrop. They were decorated agents of the Nazi/ Cartel Coalitions conquest of Europe during WWII. During the 1950’s – the founding years of the ‘Brussels EU’ – these legal and political moles became the Cartel’s operative agents in the countries of Europe. They were commissioned to prepare the Cartel’s next take-over plan via the ‘Brussels EU.’

**HALLSTEIN’S ‘RIGHT HAND MAN IN BRUSSELS’**

Carl F. Ophuels, Nazi Party Member from 1933-45, Membership No. 2399061. During World War II, Ophuels functioned as leading German legal patent expert including thousands of IG Farben patents. After WWII appointed by Hallstein as his right hand man and ‘Ambassador of Germany in Brussels’.

---

16a *Zusammenwirken der Kräfte*, i.e. ‘Synergy of Forces’, the Nazi terminology for the process of Alignment [*Gleichschaltung*] of all sectors of society under the dictatorial ‘Fuehrer Principle’ and to the Nazi/IG Farben ideology of supremacy and world domination.

17 *Generalüberholung ganzer Rechtsgebiete*, i.e. terminology for imposing Nazi laws onto entire countries in occupied Europe.

18 *Rechtsumwälzung*, i.e. terminology for the complete replacement of democratic laws with dictatorial Nazi laws.
– the entire legal profession, if you want – participating in the giant
task of the People’s Renovation [!] of the Law.\textsuperscript{19}

\ldots

“The truth is that no Law comprises the full magnitude of life, and
that every formulation of legal facts can only be an attempt to high-
light the typical elements of a decision. Thus, as has been known
since ancient times, the logic of the lawyer is necessarily analogous.

“The most outstanding characteristic of today’s State of the Law
will forever [!] be the Total [Dictatorial] Policy of the [Nazi/Cartel]
Law.\textsuperscript{20} This means an unprecedented wide and deep revolution of
the antiquated [democratic] legal system to its remotest corners.

“The result of this [Nazi/Cartel] Renewal [!]\textsuperscript{21} will characterize the
Cultural Face of the New Era \textsuperscript{21a} [under Nazi/Cartel control].

\begin{quote}
In 1957, Hallstein laid the legal groundwork for the establishment of
this ‘an unprecedented wide and deep revolution of the antiquated
(democratic, national) legal systems’ in the ‘Treaties of Rome’, the foun-
dation of the ‘Brussels EU’, thereby subjugating the people of Europe –
“to the remotest corners” – under the rule of the Cartel.

In 2009, by signing the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, 27 European politicians
enacted this “Total Policy of [Cartel] Law” that threatens the subjugation
of the people of Europe under the reign of the Cartel for generations.
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{19} ‘\textit{Völkische Rechtserneuerung}’, i.e. terminology of the Nazi takeover of the legal
system.

\textsuperscript{20} ‘\textit{Totale Rechtspolitik}’, i.e. the terminology ‘total’ is used by the Nazis to make con-
tinuous reference to their political system, a ‘totalitarian’ state.
The 1951 European Coal and Steel Community: Building the Cartel’s Dictatorship in Small Steps

On April 18, 1951 the first ‘precursor’ treaty of the ‘Brussels EU’ was signed. On paper, the treaty created a common market for coal and steel in central Europe. In reality, however, it was the Cartel’s first step towards its next take-over attempt of Europe.

Even though the signing ceremony was held on French territory, the treaty itself was largely influenced by Hallstein and his legal team and it carries the distinct language of the Cartel.

Article 9 (below) of the ‘European Coal and Steel Community’ treaty creates the ‘precursor’ of the ‘European Commission’ with all its dictatorial characteristics. It creates a body that operates ‘supranationally’ and explicitly ‘independently’ from democratically elected governments – all hallmarks of a dictatorial rule.

(Article 9 contd.)

The members of the High Authority shall, in the general interest of the Community, be completely independent in the performance of their duties. In the performance of these duties, they shall neither seek nor take instructions from any Government or from any other body. They shall refrain from any action incompatible with the supranational character of their duties.

Each Member State undertakes to respect this supranational character and not to seek to influence the members of the High Authority in the performance of their tasks.
“In the past, this Process was an event within conventional borders”

In 1957, 18 years after Hallstein had publicly announced the plans of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition for a post WWII Europe under its control, this political stakeholder of the Cartel got a second chance for the “Renewal” of Europe that would change the “Cultural Face” of the continent. With the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein laid the foundations of the ‘Brussels EU’ under the rule of the Cartel.

In 2009, seven decades after Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech,’ 27 European politicians inaugurated this “New Era under the control of the Oil and Drug Cartel for millions of Europeans by signing the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’.

– a type of internal affair of a small-size Germany. The Epochal Events however, portray this process in a completely new light.

“All internal problems, all internal tasks are overshadowed by the great impact of the Link-up [of other Nations to the Nazi Reich], they are overwhelmed by the magnificent impulses that the [Nazi/Cartel] Legal Policy Work obtains from the Homecoming of Austria and Sudetenland.

“The Creation of the Greater German Reich [i.e. a European

---

21 ‘Erneuerung’, i.e. Nazi ‘Newspeak’ for the implementation of Nazi ideology in all areas of society.

21a New Era, i.e. Nazi terminology describing the control of the Nazi/IG Farben Cartel over our planet in a ‘Thousand Year Reich.’

22 ‘Welthistorischen Ereignisse’, i.e. the seizure of political power in Germany by the Nazi/IG Farben coalition, the annexation of Austria, the Czech Republic and the preparations for WWII.

23 ‘Anschluss’, i.e. Nazi ‘newspeak’ for annexation.

24 ‘Heimkehr der Ostmark und des Sudentenlandes’, i.e. Nazi terminology for the annexation of Austria and major parts of the Czech Republic.
Hallstein: Key Architect behind the Western European Defense Community

Hallstein was also instrumental in organizing the next ‘coup’ of the Cartel. Merely 7 years after the end of WWII and the military defeat of the Nazi Wehrmacht, the Cartel was making plans for commissioning its next military troops – this time under the Code name ‘European Defence Community’ (EDC).

Hallstein was the key architect of the EDC treaty establishing a ‘European Army’ under the control of the newly formed ‘High Authority’ – and thereby the Cartel. The treaty was signed on May 27, 1952 and ratified by Italy and the Benelux countries.

On August 30, 1954, the French parliament derailed this plan by rejecting the EDC treaty. An important reason was the fact that the highest military advisors of post-WWII Germany included high ranking Nazi generals, including General Hans Speidel (above: with Hitler in Paris, 1940; left: appointment as a General of the newly founded West-German Army in 1955).

With the 2009 ‘Lisbon Treaty’ the ‘Brussels EU’ is authorized to build a European Army under the control of the Cartel. 55 years after the French Parliament rejected such a plan – the Cartel has reached its goal to launch a European Army under its command.
Hallstein’s 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’

**Reich under Nazi/Cartel control** is

- a political fact, a [Nazi/Cartel] ‘Fuehrer Act’ of Epochal Dimension; ²⁶

---

In 1957, in the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein laid the foundations for the ‘Brussels EU’ and the “Creation of a Greater Reich” under the control of the Cartel.

In 2009, 27 European politicians completed this “Creation of a European Reich” by signing the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ and sacrificing the health and life interests of millions of people to the financial interests of the Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel.

- one of those [historical] Acts that change the Landscape of History and fulfill an old Longing of the [Arian] People; ²⁷

- an Economic Event of sheer unimaginable consequences; ²⁸

---

In 1957, Hallstein became the architect of the ‘Treaties of Rome’ – and thereby the ‘European Economic Community,’ (EEC). His ‘Conquest Speech’ of 1939 leaves no doubt that he served the same Cartel interests before and after WWII.

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, 27 European politicians took a decision of “sheer unimaginable economic consequences” and delivered the entire European continent to a handful of multinational Cartel corporations – including BAYER, BASF and HOECHST (today Sanofi) – who had previously authorized the destruction of the European continent in two World Wars.

---

²⁵ ‘Grossdeutsches Reich’, i.e. Nazi term for the entirety of Europe under its control.

²⁶ ‘Eine Führertat von weltgeschichtlichem Rang’, i.e. Hallstein’s glorification of Hitler as divine agent of history.

²⁷ ‘Eine alte völkische Sehnsucht erfüllen’, i.e. Hallstein’s portraying of the imminent military conquest of Europe by the Nazis, WWII, as an act of racially-driven destiny.

²⁸ ‘Wirtschaftliches Geschehnis von kaum noch absehbaren Folgen’, i.e. Hallstein’s reference to the economic control of Europe by the oil and drug cartel IG Farben and other German multinationals.
From June 1 to 3, 1955 the decisive preparatory meeting for the ‘European Economic Community’ took place in Messina, Sicily with participants from France, Italy, Germany and the Benelux countries. With three decades of legal experience in ‘Comparative International Law and Economics’ and as a devoted servant of the Cartel, Hallstein was the mastermind behind this preparatory meeting for the ‘Treaties of Rome’.
• an event of exceptional significance from the perspective of the History of the Law; 29

“The task is no longer just to renovate a dilapidated old house, 30 but to construct a New Building for an Enlarged Family on an Extended Territory [of conquered nations]. 31

In 1957, with the ‘Treaties of Rome’ Hallstein became the chief architect for the “New Building”, i.e. the ‘Central Cartel Office’ of the ‘Brussels EU’ “for an Enlarged Family”, i.e. billions of Europeans living today and in future generations, “on an Extended Territory”, i.e. more than two dozens of European nations.

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ 27 European politicians fell prey to the deceptive rhetoric of Cartel stakeholders that their people would be better off to leave their “delipidated old [national] houses” because they were built on democratic foundations and move into a “New Building” – the ‘Brussels EU’ – under the centralized dictatorial yoke of the Oil and Drug Cartel.

“The question will be: Is it really necessary to erect just one building?

“This brings us right to the first problem of legal policy caused by the territorial annexations: Why should one single legal system form the basis of a Greater [Nazi/Cartel Europe-wide] Germany? 32 . . .

29 ‘Ein rechtsgeschichtliches Ereignis von außerordentlicher Bedeutung’, i.e. Hallstein’s description of his own role as a lawyer in a future Europe under Nazi/IG Farben control.

30 ‘Das baufällig gewordene alte Haus’, i.e. Hallstein’s and the Nazis’ characterization of a democratic Europe.

31 ‘Auf erweitertem Grunde ein neues Gebäude für die größer gewordene Familie zu errichten’, i.e. Hallstein’s remarkable portrait for the imminent death of 60 million people, the devastation of Europe during WWII, and a future Europe under the control of the Nazi/IG Farben coalition.

32 ‘Warum soll ein Recht in Großdeutschland gelten’, i.e. Hallstein asks this rhetorical question to legitimize the extension of the German Nazi laws to all future countries occupied by the Wehrmacht.
The most important military reason why the Cartel’s WWII attempt at the conquest of Europe failed was the fact that the Nazi/Cartel Coalition lost the race for the nuclear bomb, even though they had been leading the development of its key components, i.e. nuclear fission (top left: Hahn) and rocket technology (top right: v. Braun with Nazi officers) and had developed medium-range missiles (right: V1 Rockets found by US Army in Germany).

With the foundation of the ‘European Atomic Energy Commission’ (EURATOM) as part of the ‘Treaties of Rome’, the Cartel ‘corrected’ this deficit. Hallstein and his right hand Ophuels orchestrated this coup through a so-called ‘Inter-governmental Conference’ (right: session of January 28, 1957, with Hallstein in the center).

With EURATOM and the signing of the ‘Treaties of Rome’, the corporate accomplices of the former Nazi/Cartel coalition had gained direct access to nuclear technology through its politburo, the ‘EU Commission’ in Brussels. In 1957, the Cartel was ready to launch its next attempt at world conquest.
"There can be no doubt today about the necessity for a Unified Legal System."\(^{33}\)

In 1957, with the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein laid the foundation for this “Unified Legal System” – the ‘Brussels EU’ – with its political executive, the so-called ‘EU Commission’, operating beyond and outside any democratic control.

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ 27 European politicians put their signatures under a plan that originated from the drawing boards of the Nazi/Cartel coalition. Hallsteins 1939 speech, documented here, leaves no doubt and constitutes sobering testimony to that effect.

“The failure to put this law into action is one of the unfinished tasks and failures of the Second German Reich."\(^{34}\)

“The affirmation arises not only from material convenience. This Greater Germany [i.e.European continent] is increasingly becoming one single economic entity."\(^{35}\)

---

\(^{33}\) ‘Notwendigkeit der Rechtseinheit’, i.e. German Nazi laws must be extended to all conquered countries in Europe and the world.

\(^{34}\) The term ‘Second Reich’, (‘Zweites Reich’) – as opposed to the Nazis Third Reich (‘Drittes Reich’) – describes the epoch of German Kaisers Wilhelm I, Frederick III and Wilhelm II (the period from 1871 to 1918) that culminated in World War I, the first German attempt to conquer Europe and the rest of the world. Hallstein advocates that the Nazis and the corporate interests they represent should finish with WWII what they could not achieve during World War One!

\(^{35}\) Since Germany was already an economic entity, this sentence once again reflects the heinous nature of Hallstein’s propaganda speech. The term ‘Greater Germany’ (‘Grossdeutschland’) is the Nazi code language for the entirety of Europe – and later the World – under the control of the Nazis and the Oil and Drug Cartel.'
1956 was the year when the ‘Intergovernmental Conference’ preparing the ‘Treaties of Rome’ was launched. The same year, Fritz Ter Meer, the former BAYER/IG Farben Director who had been sentenced in Nuremberg to nine years in prison for the enslavement of European citizens and the robbery of Europe companies, was appointed Chairman of BAYER – again.

The motives for the massive support of the ‘Brussels EU’ by BAYER and the other IG Farben companies were obvious: As the world’s largest patent holding group in the field of chemicals and pharmaceuticals, they would essentially control Europe through their new politburo, the ‘Brussels EU’.

17 years after Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ on behalf of the Cartel – and 8 years after his Cartel boss Ter Meer had been sent to prison for his war crimes – the ‘Hallstein/Ter Meer Team’ was united again behind the Cartel’s next attempt at the conquest of Europe.
“Therefore it is obviously not acceptable that the [Nazi/Cartel dictatorial] Legal System – that represents the blood circulation of this Body\(^{36}\) – is being strangulated \([!]\) by the diversity of [democratic] legal systems in its different parts. 

In 1957, with the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein laid the foundation to end the “strangulating effect” of democratic legal systems in Europe which impeded the Cartel’s plan at the conquest of Europe and the World. By designing a dictatorial legal system – without any real separation of constitutional powers – Hallstein made sure that the “legal “blood circulation” of the Cartel’s rule over Europe will no longer be blocked by the democratic will of the people.

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ \(^{27}\) European politicians cleared the last blockages obstructing the Cartels’ “blood circulation” towards the dictatorial take-over of Europe.

“A People\(^{11}\)” are not only formed by common physical conditions, i.e. inherited and external factors. Neither are they formed by the common fate of history alone. A People are primarily shaped by common convictions and values.

“Thus, the [Nazi/Cartel] Leadership of a [Arian] Peoples’ State\(^{37}\), in particular National Socialism, can not do without the tools of law in order to secure this common ground.

\(^{36}\) I.e. Europe under Nazi control.

\(^{37}\) ‘Völkischer Staat’, i.e. Hallstein’s racial term for the superiority of the Arian state.
On March 25, 1957, Hallstein completed the first stage of the Cartels’ assignment: The former crusader of the military conquest of Europe by the Nazi/Cartel Coalition was one of only twelve signatories of the ‘Treaties of Rome’, which created the ‘European Economic Community’ and EURATOM.

By signing these treaties Hallstein (together with German Chancellor Adenauer, picture at left) had created the launching pad for the Cartel’s next attempt at the conquest of Europe, by political pressure, economic bribery – and by EURATOM. From the inception, the Cartel’s plan was to create the political – and ultimately military – base for its 21st Century control of the world.
“The law is the most outstanding means of education\(^{38}\) at the disposal of a community, for there are few things, the authoritative nature of which are so deeply based in the human heart as the belief in Law and Truth[!].\(^{39}\)

“Since we now answered the question of “if” a uniform legal system is needed, we now can turn to the question of “what” such a system must look like, which is even more difficult.

“The Link-Up\(^{23}\) [Annexation of Austria] is initially a process of [Nazi/Cartel] State Law. This process integrates the Territory and the People of the newly linked [annexed] state, creating a New Nationality[!] for the people.

In 1957, with the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein laid the foundation for the Cartel’s next attempt at the conquest of Europe. In his 1939 speech Hallstein described the mechanisms of dictatorial annexation of Austria on behalf of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition. With the ‘Treaties of Rome’ the annexation of Austria in 1938 was no longer an example from history. With these ‘Treaties’, the complete take-over of entire societies, would not be the exception – but the rule for all nations of Europe. The people of Europe would be forced to accept a “New Nationality” – that of servile subjects to ‘Cartel ruled Europe’.

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ 27 European politicians imposed this “New Nationality” on hundreds of millions of their people – without even allowing a referendum to ask for their approval.

\(^{38}\) ‘Erziehungsmittel’, i.e. the term ‘education’ in German also comprises the meaning of ‘discipline.’ Thus, Hallstein’s term here is purposefully chosen to camouflage the disciplinary function of the law in the totalitarian Nazi State.

\(^{39}\) ‘Glaube an Recht und Wahrheit’, i.e. once again Hallstein’s Nazi propaganda terminology deceptively camouflages the horror of reality: the law and the truth are the first values sacrificed by the totalitarian Nazi regime.
Choosing Brussels as the Cartel’s Politburo Seat

After crafting the ‘Treaties of Rome’ the next Cartel assignment for Hallstein was to implement these treaties from the position of ‘chief’ of the new ‘Central Cartel Office’.

On December 30, 1957 – from the vacation resort Alpbach in the Tirolian Alps – Hallstein wrote a three page dossier to German chancellor Adenauer.

This letter – that should become known as the ‘Brussels Final Solution’ – describes in detail the Cartel’s ‘horsetrading’ plans to secure the highest office in the ‘New Europe’ for Hallstein himself: the role as first President of the ‘EU Commission’ as ‘chief’ of the Cartel’s politburo.

Highlights of this ‘Brussels Final Solution Letter’ are:

- “We have to insist on ‘Brussels’ as the “final solution of the location question” [note Hallstein’s analogy to the Nazi propaganda of ‘final solution of the Jewish question’]
- “The Italians have to be compensated [for not having the seat in Rome]. How, we have to see”.
- “The thought of having the seat in Paris emerged – but was dropped after our [Hallstein’s] fierce intervention”.
- “The tasks at hand [as head of the ‘EU Commission’] are tailor-made for a German[!]”.
- “After 7 years of waiting [a German head] is overdue”.

Sehr verehrter Herr Bundeskanzler,

Ihrem Wunsche entsprechend, über die Entwicklung der grossen Personalfragen der europäischen Gemeinschaften auf den Laufenden gehalten zu werden - besonders im Hinblick auf die deutschen Möglichkeiten -, darf ich Ihnen

c) An Brüssel als Endlösung der Sitzfrage muss festgehalten werden, da die Belgier sonst zu eigenen Personalswünschen veranlasst würden.

d) Die Italiener müssen abgefordert werden. Wie - das ist noch nicht absehrn. Bisher haben sie sierlich kategorisch erklärt, dass sie sich mit den Präsidenten der Investitionsbank nicht absprechen lassen würden.
Hallstein’s 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’

“Moreover, this process subjugates the People and the Territories [of the conquered/annexed State] to the Sovereignty of the Mother [Nazi/Cartel] State with its defined Legislative and Executive Constitutional Powers.40

In 2009, with the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ the “People and the Territories” of 27 European nations were subjugated to the “Sovereignty of the Mother State” – the ‘Brussels EU’ – with its “Legislative and Executive Constitutional Powers” defined by Hallstein in the 1957 ‘Treaties of Rome’ – and publicly trumpeted by the Nazi/Cartel legal camarilla already in this 1939 speech.

“In other legal areas, the Link-Up23 leaves the existing legal order intact. In all the Unified [European] Territories41, the old legal system continues – except, of course, where this contradicts the Constitution of the Unified [European] State.42 . . . .

In his 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’, Hallstein uses the same deceptive language by which most of the European nations have been bribed and coerced by the political stakeholders of the Cartel in Brussels – and its puppets inside the European countries – into joining the ‘Brussels’ EU.

With the false promise that the ‘Brussels EU’ would merely be a loose association of European States to promote economic growth, democracy and peace, the ‘Brussels EU octopus swallowed nation after nation across Europe.

Millions of people across Europe will now find their growing suspicions against the ‘Brussels EU’ confirmed. The facts documented in this book will help them to free themselves from the ‘Brussels Octopus’ to protect and preserve freedom and democracy for future generations.

40 ‘Gesetzgebende wie vollziehende Gewalt (die) sich aus dessen Verfassung ergibt’, i.e. Hallstein creates the illusion of the Nazi state as a democratic constitution with separate legislative and executive powers. Here, too, the exact opposite was true: The Nazis’ ‘Enabling Act of 1933’ and the following 6 years of systematic build-up of a totalitarian legal system had deliberately abolished any separation between the legislative and executive powers. Hallstein was one of the architects of this totalitarian legal system. It underscores his unscrupulous nature as a Nazi propagandist that in this
Only eight days after his convincing self-promotion, Hallstein’s ‘horse-trading’ paid out: On January 7, 1958, he was appointed Chief of the first ‘EU Commission’ in the close circle of six foreign ministers.

On that day Hallstein had successfully completed the second assignment of the Cartel: to coordinate its next attempt at the conquest of Europe from the position of Chief of its newly formed politburo.

speech he refers to separate executive and legislative powers and even constitutional rights in the Nazi ‘motherland’ – knowing very well that they don’t exist.

41 ‘Vereinigte Gebiete’, i.e. with this remarkable terminology Hallstein describes a world under Nazi control as one ‘united territory’ – unified by Nazi tanks and Nazi law.

42 ‘Soweit sie der Verfassung des Gesamtstaates zuwiderlaufen’, i.e. another example of the deceptive nature of Hallstein’s speech. This wording implies that the legal sys-
“Thus, for the **Greater German Reich**⁵⁵, a **Common Legal System** is not a fact that automatically results from the **creation of this (future) Reich**⁴¹, but it is a task.

“A naïve observer of this situation could think that nothing is easier to accomplish than this task. Such a person could think that one needs only one Instruction [‘Vorschrift’], namely that all laws of the Old Reich [Nazi Germany] would immediately be **applicable to Austria [Ostmark] and the Sudetenland**.⁴⁴ But the task at hand is not that simple.

“It is impossible to impose over such a Territory at once the entire **Sum of our Written [Nazi/Cartel] Laws**. Such a strategy would already fail due to the sheer **limitations of the mental capacity**⁴⁵ of those people who are to apply these laws.

What **Hallstein** calls for here is the element of **strategic deception** in pursuing the Cartel’s goals of conquering Europe and the world. The main reason for his caution are not the “**limitations of the mental capacity**”[note Hallstein’s arrogant language] of the legal professionals in the annexed countries. The real danger for the success of the Cartel’s strategy lies in the “**Personality of the People**” of the subjugated countries – i.e. their opposition to succumb to the dictatorial rule of the Cartel.

The fact that the stakeholders of the ‘**Brussels EU**’ in the 21st Century had to deny more than 99% of the people of Europe the right to vote on the so-called ‘Lisbon Treaty’ speaks for itself. It shows that **Hallstein’s fears of the intelligence and the democratic “Personality of the People” still bears relevance today**.
Fittingly to the advent of this new political construct – the ‘Brussels EU’ – the 1958 World Fair took place in that city.

The symbol of this ‘World Fair’ – and the hallmark of Brussels ever since – became the Atomium building. The construction of this giant magnification of an atom intended to symbolize the pride of mankind of having ‘tamed’ nuclear energy for peaceful purposes – and as a claim to world power.

The ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ calls for the build-up of a European Army – including nuclear weapons – under the control of the Cartel’s politburo, the ‘EU Commission’. With this 2009 Act, the Atomium symbol has visibly obtained a new meaning: The claim to global control by the ‘Brussels EU’. With its current plans to build new ‘EU Commission’ buildings “in view of the Atomium” the Cartel leaves no doubts about its intentions.

43 ‘Schaffung dieses Reiches’, i.e. the establishment of one Nazi Reich across Europe.
44 Hallstein carefully omits here to include all the other countries yet to be conquered.
45 ‘Grenzen der Geisteskräft’, i.e. Hallstein’s ambiguous formulation that the lawyers in occupied countries have limited mental capabilities – compared to ‘Arian’ lawyers like Hallstein; this sequence in Hallstein’s text reveals his support for the fanatic Nazi ideology of (Arian) ‘Ü bernmenschen’ and ‘Untermenschen’ in the occupied countries.
“Such an attempt would also fail due to the complexity of the structure [‘Verwickeltheit des Gefüges’] of a Modern Social Order.\textsuperscript{46} One cannot simply change a Legal System like a dress that has become unfashionable because every fundamental change also touches – so to speak – the Personality [‘Persönlichkeit’] of a People.

“I am now coming to the concrete questions of the Adjustment of [Nazi/Cartel] Law.\textsuperscript{47} One has to distinguish between certain Norms, the introduction of which cannot be delayed; they represent a certain Emergency Program [‘Sofortprogramm’] within the Legal Unification Process.\textsuperscript{48}

In 1957, in the ‘Treaties of Rome’, Hallstein enshrined these “Emergency Programs within the Legal Unification Process” as the basis of the ‘Brussels EU’ – most notably by creating the so-called ‘EU Commission’ as an ‘Executive Organ of the Brussels EU.’

The ‘EU Commission’, this unique construct – unprecedented in the history of democratic constitutions – was copied from the drawing boards of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition that planned to rule post-WWII Europe under a dictatorial “Central Cartel Office”.

In 2009, 27 European politicians – without asking the approval of their constituents – signed the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ and approved the Cartel’s “Emergency Programs within the Legal Unification Process” including an unelectable “Central Cartel Office” that – like in feudal regimes of Medieval Times – holds the monopoly of initiating the laws for Europe outside any democratic control.

\textsuperscript{46} ‘Moderne soziale Ordnung’, i.e. Hallstein does not hesitate to describe the totalitarian Nazi regime as a ‘modern social order’.

\textsuperscript{47} ‘Rechtsangleichung’, i.e. Nazi ‘newspeak’ for overthrowing the existing legal system and imposing the totalitarian Nazi laws.

\textsuperscript{48} ‘Rechtsvereinheitlichung’, i.e. another Nazi term to skate around the imposition of totalitarian Nazi law.
On January 1, 1959, the ‘EU Commission officially established a “common market for nuclear materials” through EURATOM.

Thus, only one year after the Nazi/Cartel crusader Hallstein was appointed Chief of the Cartel’s politburo – and 14 years after 1945 – he had accomplished his third assignment: Access of the German Cartel interests to nuclear (arms) technology.
“On the other hand there are those (laws) that have to be crafted first, since in the legal field there are no inventions but only discoveries. These laws have to be crafted under the participation of the ‘Protectors of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’ in the Eastern Territories, who can make some [!] contributions towards the Purification [‘läutern’] of our Legal System and towards making it a True [Nazi/Cartel] Law of the German People.

“The ‘Legal Emergency Program’, the immediate goals, are in fact the introduction of the laws already in use in the Old Reich.


Hallstein incorporated all these elements in the ‘Treaties of Rome’ as the founding principles of the ‘Brussels EU’ rule over Europe today:

- The “Officials of the [European Cartel] Reich” in Hallsteins ‘Conquest Speech’ became the ‘EU Commissioners’, who rule Europe on behalf of the Cartel and beyond any democratic control.

- The “Directives” from the Nazi/Cartel drawing board became the “EU Directives” of today. These “EU Directives”, together with so-called “EU-Regulations”, became the dictatorial orders by which the Cartel’s politburo, the ‘EU Commission’, decides the laws for 500 million people of Europe – essentially outside of any democratic process.

49 ‘Ostmarken’, i.e. Hallstein uses here the plural of ‘Ostmark’, implying that after the annexation of Austria he foresees many new territories in the east being conquered; with the attack on Poland and Eastern Europe little more than half a year later, Hallstein’s outline here should become bloody reality.

50 ‘Altreich’, i.e. Nazi Germany.
As a reward for completing the Cartel’s assignments during his first five-year term as politburo Chief, the Cartel stakeholders commissioned the ‘new king of Europe’ an appropriate ‘castle’ and a ‘royal staff’ of several thousand servile bureaucrats.

Construction of the Berlaymont building – which, even today, remain the headquarters of the ‘EU Commission’ – began in 1963 and marked the beginning of Hallstein’s second five-year term as its Chief. When looking at the blueprints of his future castle, Hallstein must have been overcome with joy: The shape of the ‘EU Commission’ headquarters strikingly resembled the emblem on the badge he wore with pride for many years only two decades previously.

\textit{Verordnung}, i.e. the dictatorial execution of the Nazi laws in the occupied countries; it is a bizarre irony of history that less than two decades after Nazi propagandist Hallstein would present these undemocratic mechanisms as a tool to control Europe under the Nazi / IG Farben coalition; he would – as president of the EU Commission – introduce the very same ‘directives’ and ‘regulations’ as tools via which the successors of IG Farben could rule Europe under the ‘Brussels EU’ The ‘Lisbon Treaty’ that went into effect on December 1, 2009, made Hallstein’s key visions a reality.
“Among the subjects of this Rapid Alignment [of the Nazi/Cartel Law] we can differentiate between two groups: The first group comprises the Directives concerning the Constitutional Law, which constitute the National Socialist State and define its shape and reality.

“These Directives were already introduced in Austria a few days after the [Nazi/Cartel] Unification Law.52

“Among them are:

- The State Constitutional Laws against the setting up of new political parties [in a Europe under Nazi/cartel control]53

- State Constitutional Laws to secure the Unity between the [European Nazi/Cartel] State and the [Nazi/Cartel] Party54

- State Constitutional Laws for the New Construction of the [European Nazi/Cartel] Reich55

- State Constitutional Laws about Governors of the [European Nazi/Cartel] Reich56

- Certain Legal Complexes connected to these Constitutional Laws, including the Reich Citizens Law [Reichsbürgergesetz],

---

52 ‘Vereinigungsgesetz’, i.e. the Nazi imposed law that should give the annexation of Austria a pseudo-legal basis.

53 ‘Staatsgrundgesetze gegen die Neubildung von Parteien’, i.e. as one of the first acts after seizing power in Germany, the Nazis banned the setting up of new political parties; this law was considered by Hallstein so important that it had to be introduced as soon as possible in any country taken over by the Nazis – and this is the same man who later shaped the ‘Brussels EU’.
In 1939, Hallstein publicly advocated the build-up of the Nazi/cartel dictatorship across Europe – including the ban of other political parties and other characteristics of a totalitarian regime.

Less than two decades later, this crusader for a corporate European dictatorship became the chief architect of the ‘Brussels EU.’

In 1957, the same man was appointed by the Cartel as the first president of its new politburo, the ‘EU Commission’.

To deceive the public, the military stakeholders of the Cartel had been exchanged after 1945, but the Oil and Drug Cartel positioned its key political and legal technocrats in pole positions for its next attempt at the conquest of Europe.

54 critical law for establishing the Nazi dictatorship in any country.

55 ‘Neuaufbau’, i.e. transformation of democracy into dictatorship.

56 ‘Reichstatthaltergesetz’, paragraph 1 of this law states: ‘The Reich governor is the representative of the Reich government in his territory. His task is to make sure that the political orders issued by the Fuehrer and Chancellor of the Reich (Hitler) are being followed’ (‘Er hat die Aufgabe, für die Beobachtung der vom Führer und Reichskanzler aufgestellten Richtlinien der Politik zu sorgen’)
In 1957, Hallstein designed these “State Constitutional Laws for the New Construction of the [European Cartel] Reich” in the ‘Treaties of Rome.’

More than 5 decades later, 27 political leaders of Europe – without exposing the evil historical origin of the ‘Brussels EU’ to their constituency – signed the ‘Lisbon enabling Act’ and thereby the “Constitutional Law for the New Construction of the [European Cartel] Reich.”

the Reich Flag Law [Reichsflaggengesetz], directives about the National Markings [Hoheitszeichen], National Seal [Reichssiegel] and the National Symbols [i.e. Nazi swastika].

“The second group of immediate laws, which are expanding daily, comprises the Directives concerning the Build-up of [Nazi/Cartel] State Organizations, Material Rights or Proceedings about which the National Socialist Law Maker57 has already cast its verdict [note the supremacist language!] – either by maintaining Existing [Nazi/Cartel] Legal Conditions or by Creating New Ones.

“These Directives are ‘Causa Iudicata’ [‘passed Acts’], i.e. they have entered from the State of Law Policy [‘Rechtspolitik’] into the State of Existing [Nazi/Cartel] Order [‘geltenden Ordnung’].

“These laws require no further deliberations [!].

“The most important [Nazi/Cartel] Acts in this category that were immediately introduced [in annexed Austria and other occupied countries] were:

57 ‘Nationalsozialistische Gesetzgeber’, i.e. the Hitler government.
When reading the adjacent paragraphs the obvious question arises:

- **How could the political leaders** of 27 European nations sign the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ and approve a political platform of which the key architect had been – on public record – an outspoken advocate of political and corporate dictatorship?

- **How can millions of intelligent people** from across the European continent – a continent that claims to be the cradle of democracy – give away control over the destiny of their continent to a cast of politicians who were unable or unwilling to protect the century-old achievements of democracy for which so many people had sacrificed their lives?
- The Law for the Protection of the German Blood and the German Honor;58

- The setting up of the Administrative Organizations of the [European Nazi/Cartel] Reich [‘reichseigene Verwaltungen’];

a) The Financial Administration [‘Finanzverwaltung’] as one of the first measures;

b) The Judicial Administration [‘Justizverwaltung’];

c) The Railway and Postal Service Administration [‘Eisenbahn- und Postverwaltung’];

d) The Air Traffic Administration [‘Luftfahrtverwaltung’];

e) The Offices of the Propaganda Ministry [‘die Behörden des Propaganda Ministeriums’];


58 ‘Gesetz zum Schutze des deutschen Blutes’, this is no misprinting. Hallstein who would later become the founding father of the ‘Brussels EU’ advocates as a top priority the imposition of the Nuremberg Racial Laws in the occupied countries.

59 ‘Reichsarbeitsdienst’, starting in 1935, the Nazis introduced a six month compulsory service prior to the military service that served as the ideological and physical preparation of every male German for war.
In 1957, the Arian racist Walter Hallstein, a staunch crusader for the military subjugation of Europe under dictatorial rule of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition, was allowed to become the key architect and first ‘President’ of Europe. The people of Europe – then – were prevented from learning who this key architect for the ‘Brussels EU’ was.

After the publication of this book, no politician who signed the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, no political party sitting in the so-called ‘European Parliament’ – a fig leaf for the corporate dictatorship of the ‘Brussels EU’ – no one across Europe can say any longer: ‘We did not know.’

There can be only one conclusion: European politicians and political parties that continue to support the dictatorial construct of the ‘Brussels EU’ – despite this devastating historical evidence – have to be voted out of office by millions of people and replaced with representatives who are determined to protect democracy.
- From the **Administrative Laws** ['Verwaltungsrecht']: the **Reich Community Administrative Law** ['Reichsgemeindeordnung'], the **Law for Reunion**\(^6^0\), part of the **Civil Servant Law**\(^6^1\) and part of the **Law Relating to the Public Service Salary Regulations** ['Besoldungsrecht'];

- **Important Taxes**;

- From the **Laws Regulating Professional Organizations** ['ständisches Recht']: the **Law Regulating the Chamber of Culture** ['Kulturkammergesetzgebung'], the **Veterinary Law** ['Tierärztekammerordnung'];

- From the **Farming Law** ['Bauernrecht']: the **Farm Estate Inheritance Law** ['Erbhofgesetz'], the **Food Supply Law** ['Nährstandsgesetz'], the **Regulations for Marketing of Agricultural Products** ['landwirtschaftliche Marktordnung'];

- From the **Social Law** ['Sozialrecht']: the [Nazi/Cartel] **Reich Insurance Regulations** ['Reichsversicherungs-Ordnung'] and the **Social Welfare Regulations** ['fürsorgerechtliche Vorschriften'];

- From the **Employment Laws** ['Arbeitsrecht']: step by step the **Law Regulating National Work Service** ['Gesetz zur Ordnung der nationalen Arbeit'].

---

\(^6^0\) *Sammlungsgesetz*, a 1934 Nazi law from as early as 1934 that essentially eliminates the right for any independent public reunion by requiring official approval by the Nazi authorities for all such reunions.

\(^6^1\) *Beamengesetz*, one of the first Nazi laws from April 7, 1933, – only two weeks after the Nazis’ infamous ‘Enabling Act’ (Ermächtigungsgesetz) – excluding from public service all persons of Jewish descent, all persons opposed to the Nazi regime and all those persons ‘that are not at all times and unsparingly ready to defend the national state’ (*Personen, die nicht jederzeit rückhaltlos für den nationalen Staat ein-treten*).
Already, prior to the passing of the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, the ‘Brussels EU’ determined about 80% of all legal acts within the member countries of the ‘Brussels EU’. The monopoly for the initiation of these laws rests with the Cartel’s politburo, the ‘EU Commission’ – not the ‘EU Parliament’.

This is the result of the so-called ‘Treaties of Rome’, crafted half a century ago by the Hallstein team on behalf of the Cartel. After the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’, this percentage will reach close to 100% – i.e. the total control of the European nations, societies and people by the Cartel.

Most of these laws listed by Hallstein in his 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’ (facing page) will be implemented across Europe by the ‘Brussels EU’. Hallstein’s 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’ is not only a unique historic document, but also extremely timely: It provides the reader of this book – in fact every person in Europe today – a precise look into the future of this continent under the rule of the ‘Brussels EU’.
Hallstein’s 1939 ‘Conquest Speech’

- “From the Economy Laws the ‘Order to Enforce the Four Year Plan’ 62

The ”Four-Year-Plan” of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition was the ‘Central Economic Plan’ of the Nazi/Cartel dictatorship for the conquest of Europe.

- “Furthermore, the Rules about the Production Sector of the Economy ['gewerblichen Wirtschaft'],

The “Rules about the Production Sector of the Economy” was part of the centralized totalitarian economic governance of the Nazi/Cartel dictatorship with the goal to secure Cartel’s economic control over Europe.

“About Cartel Laws ['Kartellrecht'], about Corporate Advertisement ['Wirtschafts-Werbung'], about the Formation of Prices ['Preisbildung'], in particular about governmental regulations to fix prices ['Preisstopverordnung'];

- From the Civil Law ['Zivilprozessrecht'] the law of preventing the abuse of executive powers ['Verhütung missbräuchlicher Ausnutzung von Vollstreckungsmöglichkeiten'];

- From the Criminal Law, in particular the Laws about Treason and High Treason ['Hoch- und Landesverrat'];

62 ‘Verordnung zur Durchführung des Vierjahresplans’, i.e. the ‘Four Year Plan’ office was the central agency for coordinating the criminal activities between the Oil and Drug Cartel IG Farben and the Nazi government in preparing for World War II. The two key figures were Dr. Carl Krauch, CEO of the IG Farben cartel (BAYER, BASF, HOECHST and other chemical corporations) and Hermann Goering. As the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals later documented, this ‘Four Year Plan Office’ fulfilled several key functions, among them:
The Nazi/IG Farben ‘Four-Year-Plan Office’: The Cartel’s Blueprint for the ‘EU Commission’

- Prepared the Nazis technically and logistically for WWII
- Coordinated the plundering of conquered Europe
- Was run by its ‘Plenipotentiary’ IG Farben Director, Karl Krauch, who commanded thousands of technocrats
- Was the ‘Economic Government’ of conquered Europe
- Became the Cartel’s – and Hallstein’s – blueprint and model for the ‘European Commission’

Further information: GB2FY461
The Cartel’s ‘Economic Government’
1936 to 1945: The ‘Four-Year-Plan Office’


The first “Four Year Plan” was launched in 1936 and had the goal to prepare Germany for WWII – under the technological and economic guidance of the IG Farben Cartel.

Many of these “Four-Year-Plan” volumes have been preserved. Top: Title page of the January 1937 volume with Hitler and Goering on the cover, left: A title page during the war, reflecting economic conquest as the true purpose of WWII.

The extensive ‘advertising section’ of this publication by the Nazi/Cartel’s ‘Economic government’ reads like a ‘Who’s who’ of corporate Germany. These Journals will tell – clearer than most history books – on whose behalf WWII was being launched.
The Cartel’s ‘Economic Government’

**Today:** The ‘Brussels EU’

65 years after the last volume of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition’s ‘Economic Government’ gazette ”Four-Year-Plan” was published, the Cartel is preparing the ‘resurrection’ of the very same totalitarian construct: Through the Cartel’s political stakeholders today, the heads of its leading export nations, these interest groups are proposing a dictatorial ‘Economic Government’ under the control of the ‘Brussels EU’. **Mankind must not wait for another catastrophe and the next ‘Nuremberg Tribunal’ to stop these plans!**

Further information: GB2EG298
“From the Private Law ['Privatrecht'], the new Shareholder Law ['Aktienrecht'], the Law relating to Bills of Exchange and Checks ['Wechsel- und Scheckrecht'], the Railroad Traffic Laws ['Eisenbahnverkehrsordnung'] and others;63

“The introduction of these laws of great urgency cannot simply be accomplished by a naked sentence that orders these laws into force in the new [Nazi/Cartel occupied] territories.

“By the way, these laws have not been introduced in the Sudetenland [part of today’s Czech Republic] at the same speed (as in Austria), because of the insufficient time64 but also because [in case of Sudetenland] we could not take over[!] an entire State with a defined legal system. . . . .

“The structure of the administrative organization of Austria and Sudetenland, which is currently being shaped, will serve as a model for the future administration of the entire Reich.65 This has been outlined by Reich (Interior) minister Frick a few days ago, during a speech at the ‘Academy of Administration’ in Hamburg (Germany):

- “At the lower level (of this administrative structure in conquered countries) there will be the entities of the cities and counties ['Stadt- und Landkreise']; the counties are a mixture of administrative districts of the State ['staatliche Verwaltungsbezirke'] and

If the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ is implemented, the Cartel will not just “take over an entire State”, but take control over all countries of Europe – including their economies, societies and the lives of all its citizens for generations to come.

d) Coordinate the plundering of the key industrial corporations by the IG Farben cartel in those countries conquered by the Nazi Wehrmacht; this led to the sentencing of several IG Farben executives at the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal not only for genocide and slavery but also for plundering.
Halstein’s 1939 Vision
Is Becoming Reality Today

With the patenting of our food, the Cartel is not only seeking to create a multi-trillion dollar global market. There is also a highly political component: The ultimate dependency of millions of people upon GMO foods will place entire populations at the mercy of these patent-holding companies.

It is no coincidence that BASF – a member of the criminal IG Farben Cartel – is spearheading this fateful development.

---

63 That Hallstein listed these specific laws here in such an explicit form was no coincidence. These – seemingly unrelated – laws, regulations and orders all served one common goal: the internal and external mobilization for war.

64 since the Annexation in October 1938 and Hallstein’s speech in January 1939.

65 ‘künftigen Reichsverwaltung’; i.e. it will be applied to other countries conquered by the Nazi / IG Farben coalition in Europe and the rest of the world.
self-administrated bodies ['Selbstverwaltungs-Körperschaften'], they will be led by an administrative head ['Landrat'];

- At the middle level (of this administrative structure) there will be the entities of regions of the Reich ['Reichsgaue'], also a mixture of administrative districts of the state and self-administrated bodies; they will be led by governors of the Reich.66 . . . . 

“These few examples may give you an impression how much still needs to be done to execute after the political Germanization and also the Legal Germanization of the New Territories.67 An overwhelming abundance of great opportunities lies in front of the German ‘Protector of the [Nazi/Cartel] Law’.

“He will seize it with a deep trust in the future of our people [‘(Arian)Volk’] and with the consciousness that it is an honor for him to share a modest part of the burden of the construction work towards the completion of the grand historical task of Germany68 we all have been made part of.”

The implementation of the 2009 ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ would turn the culminating final paragraphs of Hallstein’s ‘Conquest Speech’ into sobering reality today – for the people of Europe and the world.

66 ‘Reichstatthalter’, they were fanatic members of the SS, Nazi soldiers or bureaucrats, sworn to ruthlessly carry out the orders of the oil and drug cartel and its political puppets (see above).

67 ‘Nach der politischen auch die rechtliche Eindeutschung’ i.e. the [military] and political subjugation of Europe is cemented for eternity by the establishment of a new German [Nazi/Cartel] legal system across Europe and the world.

68 ‘Grosse geschichtliche Aufgabe Deutschlands’, i.e. the great historical mission of the German Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel to conquer and control Europe and the world.
Hallstein’s 1939 Vision
Is Becoming Reality Today

The political heirs of the ‘Architects of Auschwitz’ are spearheading the Cartel’s next attempt at the control – and ultimately the lives – of millions of people.

Merkel (BAYER, BASF) and Sarkozy (HOECHST/today SANOFI) are the political stakeholders of the three Cartel sisters ultimately responsible for two World Wars.

The exposure of the criminal past of these Cartel companies is a timely event. The worldwide dissemination of the information of this book will enable mankind to learn important lessons from history and not fall prey to these Cartel interests a third time.
No One Can Say: “I Did Not Know!”

His entire life, Hallstein had remained a notorious servant of the Cartel. Like a compulsive offender is driven from one crime scene to the next, so was Hallstein never resting in his quest to complete his assignment: to deliver Europe into the hands of the Cartel.

While Hallstein, obviously, could not to openly reveal the corporate interests he was serving, he wrote strikingly candidly about his intentions when constructing the ‘Brussels EU.’

In his 500 page book ‘The European Community’ [Die Europäische Gemeinschaft], which, by 1979 was already in its 5th edition, he even brags about the “original” nature of the center piece of his construct, the ‘EU Commission’, as being “without any direct precedent in history”.

The Constitutional Organs

• The Commission

“Every action starts with the [EU] Commission.

“The [EU] Commission is the most original element in the organization of the [European] Community without any direct precedent in history.

“Its function is to represent the [European] Community to the inside and to the outside. …

“The [EU] Commission is independent of the governments of the member states. Instructions of the member States must not be given to nor taken by the [EU] Commission. ….

“The Commission has the monopoly to initiate legislation …

Walter Hallstein

“The European Community”

5th Edition
Econ Verlag, Düsseldorf, 1979

It is obvious that few of the political leaders who signed the ‘Lisbon Enabling Act’ actually read Hallstein’s book – and that even fewer have taken him seriously.

Boldened by this complacency, the Cartel moved ahead.

Now it is high time – for democratic politicians and millions of people alike – to stand up for the fundamental rights of freedom and democracy. If we do not act now, we may not get another chance to do so for generations.
Seven decades after Hallstein outlined his vision of Europe in this speech it is close to becoming reality.

Sarkozy, Merkel, Berlusconi and other politicians are ruthlessly carrying out the orders of the Oil and Drug Cartel.

It is no coincidence that these very same politicians have been coercing other political leaders into signing the Enabling Act for the Cartel’s take-over of Europe, the so-called ‘Lisbon Treaty’.

Since these politicians did not have the courage to resist the coercion of the Cartel’s stakeholders, the people of Europe have to make a decision: Accept this corporate dictatorship over Europe – or protect our fundamental right to democracy for this generation of Europeans and all those yet to come.
Chapter 3

The ‘Greater Sphere Cartel’ (‘Das Großraum-Kartell’) and its organizational framework
Walter Hallstein was by no means the only architect of a post-WWII world under the control of the Nazi/Cartel Coalition. By 1941, the Nazi regime was maintaining several official ‘institutes’ with one purpose only: Preparing the future economic and political shape of the world – to be established after a Nazi/Cartel victory in WWII.

One of these ‘conquest institutes’ was the “Central Research Institute for National Economic Order and Greater Sphere Economy” in Dresden, Germany. The head of this official Nazi/Cartel planning office was Arno Soelter.

In 1941, Soelter summarized the Nazi/Cartel plans for a post-WWII Europe under their control in his book: “The Greater Sphere Cartel – An Instrument of Industrial Market Order in a New Europe.” [The original German title was: “Das Großraum-Kartell – Ein Instrument der industriellen Marktordnung in einem neuen Europa”].

Soelter’s book became a blueprint for the ‘Brussels EU’. The parallels are breathtaking.
The Nazi’s ‘Greater Sphere Cartel’ – Blueprint for the Structure and Function of the ‘Brussels EU’

INTRODUCTION

The German word Großraum, or “großer Raum,” literally means greater space or greater sphere.

By the summer of 1941, the coalition of the oil and drug cartel I.G. Farben – Bayer, BASF, Hoechst (today a part of Sanofi-Aventis) – and their political/military stakeholders, the Nazis, had occupied France and brutally conquered large parts of Europe.

It was at this time that the oil and drug cartel I.G. Farben began to reveal its post-war plans. After financing the rise of the Nazis and the build up of their war machinery (which provided almost 100% of the explosives, gasoline, rubber, and the majority of other war essentials), the oil and drug cartel was looking for an exorbitant return on its investment.

These facts are detailed in the 1945 US Congressional hearings on I.G. Farben and in the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals against it. (www.cartel-roots-www2.org)
The I.G. Farben cartel got the return on its investment that it was seeking. From the roughly 20 countries that were brutally conquered by the Nazi troops, I.G. Farben essentially received all of the chemical, pharmaceutical, petrochemical, mineral and other industries – mostly for free. Behind every tank that rolled into Belgium, the Netherlands, France, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Norway and all the other European countries, there followed the “men in grey suits” – the corporate representatives of I.G. Farben seeking to seize their booty.

This unscrupulous plunder of entire industries and countries became the blueprint for subsequent global heists – right up to the present day: Halliburton, various oil and drug multinationals and other corporate “investors” in the Bush presidency made sure they got the return on their investments during the Iraq war.

But back to WWII: the I.G. Farben terminology of a post-war rule over a “greater sphere” initially described the territory of Europe as including Russia. But this term was deliberately kept flexible so that - with the projected military victories over Asia, America and the rest of the world – the term “greater sphere” would eventually comprise the entire globe.

These plans for military world conquest and subsequent economic subjugation by the Nazi/I.G. Farben coalition are detailed in the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals against the directors of the I.G. Farben cartel. Copies of more than 40,000 original documents from this historic trial were hidden away from the public in the U.S. National Archives for 6 decades. In 2007, they were finally published online at www.profit-over-life.org.

The following detailed description of the I.G. Farben post-WWII plans was published in a 1941 book by Arno Sölter entitled “The Greater Sphere Cartel – An Instrument of Industrial Market Order
in a New Europe.” [The original title in German was: Das Großraum-Kartell – Ein Instrument der industriellen Marktdnung in einem neuen Europa].

The publication of this book was not the act of an individual. Sölter was head of the official Nazi “Central Research Institute for National Economic Order and Greater Sphere Economy” in Dresden, Germany, at that time. This “Institute” was one of the official economic planning offices of the Nazi/Farben coalition for post-war Europe.

This book provides the blueprint of what would later become the structure of the European Union – a body of cartel interests, claiming “greater spheres” as their markets – and operating beyond any democratic control.

EXCERPTS FROM SOELTER’S 1941 BOOK

CHAPTER TITLE: ‘THE GREATER SPHERE CARTEL’

“We recall the market organization hierarchy: State – Economic Group – Cartel, which we have established for the Greater Sphere. In this connection, we are disregarding the state’s responsibilities in the field of commercial and currency policy within the Greater Sphere. Instead we want to look in greater detail at the problem of the organization of the European market from a cartel point of view and, subsequently, look in detail at the problems of the economic policies of the state, which inevitably result due to the principle market regulation of the whole area of the ‘Großraum-Kartell’. Directly in charge of the “Großraum-Kartell” is the Economic Group, whose market-regulating functions we want to see brought together in a ‘Cartel Office.’
“To this end the existing cartel department for the Economic Groups would have to be expanded to take on the functions of this new office. Superior to the Cartel Office would be the Central Cartel Office, which on grounds of the remit assigned to it would correspond to the present ‘Cartel Supervision’ department of the German Industry Group (Reichsgruppe Industrie), but also to the cartel department or specialist departments of the German Ministry for Economic Affairs.”

From a practical point of view, the tasks would have to be divided up in order to avoid the duplication of work. It would be expedient to assign the factual supervision of the cartel offices to the Ministry for Economic Affairs, whereas the duties of the Central Cartel Office for Industry would be more in dealing with questions of fundamental market organization, as well as legal and interstate cartel questions. In order to operate effectively, the Office for Industry would have to be kept informed about any problems arising. If we subsequently discuss the objectives of the “Central Cartel Office” we shall also discuss the associated business and organizational problems, i.e. those not itemized according to the above-mentioned authorities.

“It naturally follows that the German method of cartel supervision will also be introduced in the non-German parts of the European Sphere of Influence. The extent and nature of the organization in other countries would naturally vary depending on their degree of industrialization. Below we discuss the mode of operation of the organization of the cartel in relation to the prevailing conditions in Germany.”

1 On grounds of organizational simplification, we regard the involvement of the German Economic Chamber (Reichswirtschaftskammer) as undesirable.
1. The Central Cartel Office

a) The Central Cartel Office is the highest regulatory authority; accordingly it is

i) responsible for taking final decisions on questions of areas of responsibility of the cartel supervision;

ii) responsible for maintaining close contact with the highest expert bodies i.e. economic and trading policies, pricing policies, commodity policies, etc.) and for coordinating with said expert bodies its subject-related decisions and fundamental instructions to subordinate institutions.

b) The Central Cartel Office maintains contact with possible central national cartel offices of the other European countries both in terms of dealing with important fundamental issues and concerning decisions about areas of
responsibility that the ‘Großraum-Kartell’ or the individual national cartels cannot agree upon.

c) Accordingly, the Central Cartel Office represents the appropriate complaint department regarding the decisions taken by cartel offices. Furthermore, complaints concerning such matters as action taken and infringements committed by foreign cartels should be made via the Central Cartel Office.

d) Cartel law, which is currently very diverse and poorly organized, would have to be reformed and as far as possible standardized for the entire greater sphere.

More than 6 decades later, the EU Commission implements an almost exact copy of this plan with the goal of establishing “standardized cartel law” to rule over the lives of almost 500 million Europeans – without any democratic control.
e) General market regulation principles for the European Greater Sphere would have to be drawn up. As regards to market- and cartel regulation, similar rules have to be established, just like those economic decrees that have been worked out or rather, are still worked on, by the Ministry for Economic Affairs for the accounting system.

A few decades later, the Nazi/I.G. Farben “Cartel decrees” became “EU Directives” – ruling not only over the health and lives of the peoples of Europe but also draining their economies.

f) In business economics, too, cooperation with non-German countries must be introduced in order to be able to prepare sound market regulation (including intercompany comparisons).

g) A model organizational plan for a “Großraum-Kartell” would have to be drawn up, along with clear, simple model of articles of association. To ensure effective cartel supervision, a suitably drafted cartel agreement is indispensible. Due to historical developments and often countless changes in contractual provisions, many cartel agreements end up becoming completely impenetrable. Therefore, in future, a suitably-formulated master agreement must be finalized which governs the details of any ongoing changes or supplementary agreements.

This “master agreement” eventually became the EU’s so called “Lisbon Treaty” – an “enabling law” passed in 2009 that grants quasi-dictatorial powers to the cartel’s “EU Commission”. For good reason, fearing that it would be rejected by the people of Europe, the cartel did not allow a democratic pan-European referendum on this “master agreement”. Notably, therefore, the people of the only country that voted on the treaty, Ireland, sent a resounding “No” to the “central cartel office” – the EU Commission in Brussels – in June 2008.
h) Suitable unified classification schemes must also be devised for cartel production and distribution statistics. The sales statistics must be laid out in such a way that at any time distribution can be adjusted according to consumption needs.

i) A central cartel register must be set up, including not just German but also international participants in all areas of influence of the “Großraum-Kartell”. The cartel register must include both the nature of agreements reached and details of commodity procurement, business premises, production conditions and the like. To a degree, the register must represent a mirror image of the “Großraum-Kartell” as a whole.

j) The Central Cartel Office would have to arrange the publication of a journal entitled “Das Großraum-Kartell” (“The Greater Sphere Cartel”), which would publish all decisions of the highest authority on all fundamental questions of European market regulation, directives [!] and similar matters.
This point, too, was also adapted by the Brussels EU, which issues the “Official Journal of the European Union as its “legal gazette.” No regulation or directive can become law in the EU until it has been published in this gazette.

The text in bold and parentheses are added commentaries.

**ARNO SOELTER’S CAREER AS AN ARCHITECT OF THE ‘BRUSSELS EU’**

One could be forgiven for thinking that Arno Sölter was one of the Nazi-criminals who had disappeared from the face of the earth at the end of the “thousand year Reich“ in 1945.

Such a naïve assumption would be very far from the truth, however. In reality, the services of this Nazi/cartel architect of the subjugation of Europe to the interests of the cartel remained in high demand in the post-war years. In 1962, 5 years after the creation of the European Economic Community, Sölter again made a name for himself as a cartel strategist within the EWG European Economic Community.


In view of the seamless transitions from European strategists of the Third Reich to architects of the ‘Brussels EU’ that we describe in this book, no one should ever again be surprised by the quasi-dictatorial character of the ‘Brussels EU’. 

Further information: GB3A5666
Chapter 4

THE ‘BRUSSELS EU’ TODAY:
What the Oil and Drug Cartel and its political stakeholders don’t want you to know
In the political arena, the 1990s and the first decade of the 21st century saw the Oil and Drug Cartel taking increasingly ambitious steps towards the consolidation of its political power over Europe – the ultimate aim being the creation of a global Politburo to defend against the threat from natural health and secure the Cartel’s global monopoly with patented drugs. The principle elements of these moves involved the signing of the Maastricht Treaty, in 1992; the Amsterdam Treaty, in 1997; the Nice Treaty, in 2001; and the Lisbon Treaty, which became law in December 2009.

For each of these treaties, it should be noted that the vast majority of people in Europe were given no opportunity whatsoever to reject their governments’ decisions to sign away their rights and freedoms through these treaties. If the Brussels EU were a true democracy, of course, all EU citizens would first have had the right to express their will via public referendums and, in all likelihood, these treaties would never have been signed.

**The Maastricht Treaty:**

- Restructured the EU and opened the way to political integration, thus giving the Cartel a greater degree of political control over EU countries.

- Established economic and monetary union, thus giving the Cartel a greater degree of economic control over EU countries.
• Established a common foreign and security policy, thus giving the Cartel a greater degree of control over activities of the EU countries on the international stage.

• Established “consumer protection” policies, thus enabling the Cartel to use these as a cover for enforcing its interests. The EU’s so-called Food Supplements Directive, passed in 2002, became a classic example of this, whereby Europe-wide restrictions on the sale of vitamin and mineral supplements were brought in on the grounds they were necessary “to ensure a high level of protection for consumers”. In reality, of course, the restrictions were intended to protect the pharmaceutical industry’s multi-billion euro sales from synthetic patented drugs, which, as a result of the discovery of the scurvy/heart disease connection, were threatened by the use of non-patentable therapies such as vitamins.


Further information: GB3MT347
The Amsterdam Treaty:

- Brought affairs related to free movement of persons under the EU’s legal framework. This made it necessary to create information systems on a European scale, thus giving the Cartel greater abilities to interfere in the private lives of EU citizens.

- Agreed a program for development of Europol (the European Police Office) activities, thus enabling the Cartel to further its controls over the freedoms of European citizens across national boundaries.

- Further developed the common security policy to enable the gradual formulation of a common defence policy, thus providing the means by which the Cartel could eventually achieve military control at the global level.

European leaders assembled for the signing of the Amsterdam Treaty, 2 October, 1997.
Oil and drug cartel’s influence on the content of the Amsterdam Treaty and the EU’s risk assessment system

In January 2010, academics at the universities of Bath and Edinburgh in the UK published evidence that manufacturers of oil, pharmaceuticals, chemicals, food and tobacco had jointly engaged in a successful long-term lobbying strategy during the mid-1990s to shape European Union policy making in their favour.

According to the study, published in the Public Library of Science Medicine journal and reported on the euobserver.com website, major corporations, including Shell, Bayer, Zeneca, SmithKline Beecham, Unilever, Tesco and British American Tobacco had mounted a multi-year lobby campaign aimed at shaping the EU’s impact assessment system to ensure that it emphasized business interests at the expense of public health.

The form of impact assessment pushed by these multinationals was eventually brought in by the EU via the passing of the Treaty of Amsterdam.

Significantly, therefore, the EU’s Food Supplements Directive, which became law in 2002, utilizes risk assessment as a means of bringing about Europe-wide restrictions on the sale of vitamin and mineral supplements to protect the pharmaceutical industry’s sales of synthetic patented drugs.

The Nice Treaty:

- Brought in a qualified majority voting system for the European Council, thus further reducing the ability of individual EU member states to oppose the interests of the Cartel. As a result of this change, the three main Cartel countries – Germany, France and the UK – along with Italy, now effectively hold the balance of power in the Council.
• Increased and redistributed the number of seats in the European Parliament in favour of the three main Cartel countries – Germany, France and the UK – plus Italy.

The Lisbon Treaty

The Lisbon Treaty, which became law in the European Union in December 2009, elevated the Oil and Drug Cartel’s attempt to consolidate its political power over Europe to a whole new level. Intended to legitimise the construct of the “Brussels EU”, the treaty forms the basis of a future Europe that is fundamentally undemocratic. A clear and unmistakable step towards the implementation of a European-wide dictatorship, the treaty provides:
• No separation of constitutional powers – thus eliminating a key element that is essential to any democracy.

• No possibility for the European people to democratically elect their president. Instead, the president is chosen in secret by the European political elite on behalf of corporate interests.

• No possibility for the people to elect the 27-member “European Commission”. Instead, this executive level or cabinet of the European government is “appointed” by the European political elite on behalf of corporate interests.

• No possibility for the people to replace the European Commission – even if they overwhelmingly consider that it has abused and reduced their human rights.

• No possibility for the people to prevent their rights and freedoms from being still further eroded. Designed as “self-amending” legislation, the Lisbon Treaty enables the “Brussels EU” to decide as and when it wants more powers and to take them without having to give the people a referendum.

The undemocratic history of the Lisbon Treaty

The “Convention on the Future of Europe”, a body chaired by former French president Valéry Giscard d’Estaing, had originally started work on a so-called “European Constitution” in February 2002. The draft text for the Constitution was submitted to an EU summit in June 2003 and agreed in June 2004.

In May 2005, however, the French people voted ‘No’ to the Constitution in a national referendum. The following month, in June 2005, the Dutch people also voted ‘No’. The Constitution was subsequently put “on ice” for a period of “reflection”, and, at least for a short time, it appeared possible that it might not resurface.
In January 2007, Germany, under Angela Merkel, took over the EU Presidency and declared the period of reflection over. A mere two months later, in March, the Berlin Declaration – outlining the intention of all EU member states to agree on a new EU treaty in time for the 2009 Parliamentary elections – was adopted by all EU member states. The text of the new treaty, from then on to be known as the Lisbon Treaty, was subsequently released on 4 June 2007.

To the shock of the French and Dutch, however – and, also, countless millions of democratic people throughout Europe – 96 per cent of its articles turned out to have been copied from the rejected EU Constitution. As shock turned to anger, even Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, the text’s principal draftsman, was eventually forced to admit that differences between the treaty and the constitution “are few and far between and more cosmetic than real”.

Despite the overt deception that had taken place, the Lisbon Treaty was signed by leaders of the 27 EU member states in Lisbon, Portugal, on 13 December 2007. The only country that subsequently chose to put the treaty to a democratic public referendum was Ireland; in all of the other 26 member states – including France and the Netherlands, both of which had previously rejected the almost identical “EU Constitution” in public referendums – the treaty was passed by the countries’ national parliaments without any public vote being allowed.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel was instrumental in resurrecting the rejected European Constitution and renaming it as the Lisbon Treaty.
In Portugal, a referendum on the treaty was ruled out after pressure from British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and French President Nicolas Sarkozy. Brown and Sarkozy rang José Sócrates, the Portuguese Prime Minister, and insisted that a public vote was not necessary. (Sarkozy himself had denied the French people a referendum on the treaty despite an opinion poll showing that 58 per cent of the country’s voters wanted one).

In June 2008, the Irish people went to the polls for their referendum on the treaty and voted a firm ‘No’, thus mirroring the votes of the French and Dutch on the EU Constitution in 2005. In a clear and decisive show of opposition to the “Brussels EU”, the Lisbon Treaty was rejected by 33 out of Ireland’s 43 constituencies. With a voter turnout of over 53%, any true democracy would at this point have listened to the voice of the people and consigned the treaty to the dustbins of history where it belonged.

Instead – and despite polls showing that nearly three-quarters of Irish voters were against holding a second referendum on the treaty – the “Brussels EU” showed its true colours by demanding that Ireland voted again to produce the “right” result. Rather than bowing to the will of the people, as would have happened in any true democracy, European Union leaders responded to the ‘No’ vote by giving Ireland a four-month ultimatum to explain how it could win public support for the treaty. Nicolas Sarkozy, in particular, insisted that: "The Irish will have to vote again".
Subsequently, following political interference from the European Commission; widespread threats and lies to the Irish electorate – including propaganda that a ‘No’ vote would condemn Ireland to economic isolation; corporate interference from the likes of Ryanair (who spent half a million euros campaigning for a ‘Yes’), Intel (who spent several hundred thousand) and the drug company Pfizer (who openly warned of a “flight of capital” from Ireland if it voted ‘No’); and, not least of all, the blatant sweeping away of Ireland’s guidelines on media impartiality (thus ensuring that commercial radio and television stations did not have to give equal airtime to both sides in debates on the treaty) the Irish people voted ‘Yes’ in a revote in October 2009. As a result, the treaty passed into EU law on 1 December, 2009.

Irish Referendum on Lisbon Treaty, June 2008

In Ireland’s June 2008 referendum, the Lisbon Treaty was rejected by 33 out of Ireland’s 43 constituencies. The constituencies that rejected the treaty are shown in pink.

Image credit: Wikipedia.
Facts and Fiction about the Lisbon Treaty

Fiction:
The Lisbon Treaty does not alter the basic nature of Europe.

The facts are: Article 1 of the Amendments to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty Establishing the European Community, contained in the Lisbon Treaty, states that "The Union shall replace and succeed the European Community". In addition to this, article 46 A states that “The Union shall have legal personality.” Thus, it is absolutely clear that the post-Lisbon Union is a totally new constitutional and legal entity and that the European Community, of which the 27 member states were previously members, has ceased to exist.

Additionally, under Article 24 of the Amendments to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty Establishing the European Community, the Brussels EU can now sign treaties with other countries or international organizations, on behalf of its member states, in all areas of its competence.

And of course, let us not forget that the Treaty also created the posts of EU President (to which Herman van Rompuy was appointed with-
out any public vote); EU Foreign Minister (to which Catherine Ashton was appointed without any public vote); and an EU diplomatic corps.

In reality, therefore, it can be seen that “the basic nature” of Europe has actually been substantially altered.

Fiction:
The Lisbon Treaty facilitates increased democratic control from national parliaments.

The facts are: To all intents and purposes, Article 7 of the Treaty’s Protocol on the Application of the Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality only gives national parliaments the power to complain about things they disagree with. In effect, it merely provides a mechanism whereby an objection can be raised if a complaint is supported by one third of all national parliaments. However, the Brussels EU is under no obligation to change or cancel any of its actions and can simply choose to override such a complaint if it wishes to do so.

Moreover, should even the majority of national parliaments raise an objection to a legislative proposal brought by the European Commission, there is still no obligation for the Commission to change or cancel any of its actions. In such a situation, it can simply choose to override the complaint and refer it to be resolved by the European Council and the European parliament. As such, rather than increasing democratic control from national parliaments, it can be seen that, in practice, the bureaucratic nature of the hoops to be jumped through makes it extremely unlikely that the dictatorial nature of the Brussels EU can be restrained via these mechanisms.
Fiction: 
The Lisbon Treaty makes the Brussels EU decision-making process more democratic.

The facts are: Of all the Brussels EU’s deceptions, this statement is arguably one of the most disingenuous of all. For one thing, unlike in a true democracy, the European parliament remains unable to freely initiate and enact legislation in all areas of its choosing and of its own accord. For another, and as described above, the Lisbon Treaty created the posts of EU President and EU Foreign Minister and mandated that both were to be appointed without any public vote. And in addition, of course, the 27 members of the EU Commission, the EU’s executive body, will continue to be appointed without any public vote.

To make matters worse, article 48 of the Amendments to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty Establishing the European Community, contained in the Lisbon Treaty, makes clear that the Treaty is self-amending, in that in future it may be amended without having to hold an Intergovernmental Conference or consult citizens via referendums.

Bearing these facts in mind, it can be seen that, far from being “democratic”, the executive decision-making machinery of the Brussels EU is essentially that of an unelected dictatorship.
Fiction:
The Lisbon Treaty responds to concerns raised by European citizens and will increase legitimacy in the functioning of the Brussels EU.

The facts are: Given that concerned citizens in France and the Netherlands comprehensively rejected the so-called “European Constitution” in national referendums in 2005, the fact that 96 percent of the text of the Lisbon Treaty is identical to that of the Constitution, and that over 99 percent of European voters were not given any chance to vote on it, makes it absurd for the EU to make these claims.

EU ELECTIONS 2009

57 percent of the people of Europe who were eligible to vote in the 2009 EU elections deliberately abstained, whilst a further 4 percent cast deliberate votes against the EU dictatorship.

Furthermore, it should not be forgotten that 57 percent of the people of Europe who were eligible to vote in the EU elections in June 2009 protested against the Brussels EU by deliberately abstaining, whilst a further 4 percent of the eligible electorate cast deliberate votes against the EU dictatorship by voting for parties that are anti-EU and/or opposed to the Lisbon Treaty. As such, any notion that
the Lisbon Treaty addresses the concerns of these people is delusional, to say the least.

Fiction: The Lisbon Treaty does not create a European army.

The facts are: Article 28A (c) 3, paragraph 1, of the Amendments to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty Establishing the European Community, contained in the Lisbon Treaty, states that “Member States shall make civilian and military capabilities available to the Union for the implementation of the common security and defence policy.” Paragraph 2 still further militarizes the Brussels EU by stating that “Member States shall undertake progressively to improve their military capabilities” and making clear that the European Defence Agency will be incorporated into these amended treaties.
What the political stakeholders of the ‘Brussels EU’ said about the Lisbon Treaty

Comparing it to the rejected European Constitution:

“Public opinion will be led to adopt, without knowing it, the proposals that we dare not present to them directly … All the earlier proposals will be in the new text, but will be hidden and disguised in some way.”
Valéry Giscard D’Estaing, former French President and Chairman of the Convention which drew up the European Constitution, Le Monde, 14 June 2007, and Sunday Telegraph, 1 July 2007

“The substance of the constitution is preserved. That is a fact.”
German Chancellor Angela Merkel, speech in the European Parliament, 27 June 2007

“The good thing about not calling it a Constitution is that no one can ask for a referendum on it.”
Giuliano Amato, former Italian Prime Minister and Vice-Chairman of the Convention which drew up the European Constitution, speech at the London School of Economics, 21 February 2007
"The substance of what was agreed in 2004 has been retained. What is gone is the term 'constitution'.

Dermot Ahern, Irish Foreign Minister, Daily Mail Ireland, 25 June 2007

"Virtual incomprehensibility has thus replaced simplicity as the key approach to EU reform. As for the changes now proposed to be made to the constitutional treaty, most are presentational changes that have no practical effect. They have simply been designed to enable certain heads of government to sell to their people the idea of ratification by parliamentary action rather than by referendum."

Dr Garret FitzGerald, former Irish Taoiseach, Irish Times, 30 June 2007

On whether they intended citizens to be able to understand it:

"They decided that the document should be unreadable. If it is unreadable, it is not constitutional, that was the sort of perception....imagine the UK Prime Minister – can go to the Commons and say 'Look, you see, it's absolutely unreadable, it's the typical Brussels treaty, nothing new, no need for a referendum.' Should you succeed in understanding it at first sight there might be some reason for a referendum, because it would mean that there is something new."

Giuliano Amato, former Italian Prime Minister and Vice-Chairman of the Convention which drew up the European Constitution, recorded by Open Europe, The Centre for European Reform, London, 12 July 2007
"The aim of the Constitutional treaty was to be more readable; the aim of this treaty is to be unreadable... The Constitution aimed to be clear, whereas this treaty had to be unclear. It is a success.”
Karel de Gucht, Belgian Foreign Minister, Flandreinfo, 23 June 2007

On the Irish voting ‘No’ to the Treaty in 2008:

“All of the [political leaders] know quite well that if the similar question was put to their electorate by a referendum the answer in 95 per cent of the countries would probably have been No as well.”
Charlie McCreevy, Irish EU Commissioner, Irish Times, 27 June, 2009

On the French voting ‘No’ to the European Constitution (with which the Treaty shares 96 percent of its content) in 2005:

“France was just ahead of all the other countries in voting No. It would happen in all Member States if they have a referendum. There is a cleavage between people and governments... A referendum now would bring Europe into danger. There will be no Treaty if we had a referendum in France, which would again be followed by a referendum in the UK.”
French President Nicolas Sarkozy, speaking at a meeting of senior MEPs, The Economist, 14 November 2007
On stripping power and sovereignty away from the People:

“.. I don't think it is a good idea to replace this slow and effective method – which keeps national States free from anxiety while they are being stripped of power – with great institutional leaps...Therefore I prefer to go slowly, to crumble pieces of sovereignty up little by little, avoiding brusque transitions from national to federal power. That is the way I think we will have to build Europe's common policies..."

Italian Prime Minister Giuliano Amato, before he became Vice-President of the EU Constitutional Convention, interview with Barbara Spinelli, La Stampa, 13 July 2000
Nicolas Sarkozy was brought to power by the Oil and Drug Cartel in France in 2007. The son of a Hungarian aristocrat, his rise to power and election had formed part of the Cartel’s “Plan B.” In the event that the White House in the US was lost to forces less friendly to the interests of the Cartel than President George W. Bush – which was eventually the case when President Barack Obama was elected in November 2008 – the Cartel needed to make sure that other influential governments could immediately substitute for that loss. In this situation, nuclear-armed France was a prime candidate.

Sarkozy’s rise to power was strategically built up by the Cartel. In 2004, while still being Minister of the Interior, he coerced the marriage between French pharmaceutical dwarf Sanofi and Swiss/German drug giant Aventis/Hoechst. The Hoechst corporation, the most valuable “bride” in this forced marriage, was of course one of the infamous corporate trio (together with Bayer and BASF) who had brought Hitler to power and financed the preparations for WWII, including the construction of the Auschwitz concentration camp.

With the move of the Hoechst corporation from Germany to France, all the know-how shifted to that country too – how to build up political stakeholders, seize executive control, build up quasi-dictatorial regimes and ultimately seek global dominance. The diversification of economic power and political know-how away from post-Nazi Germany to France was one of those perfect camouflages that are hallmarks of the Cartel.
The election campaign of Sarkozy was almost a direct copy of the last elections in pre-WWII Germany. The election campaign of the Cartel's candidate was financed by French oil, drug and nuclear energy corporations – accompanied by Cartel media propaganda. In much the same way as in the 1930s, Sarkozy ran his divisive election campaign on hatred of foreigners and immigrants, in an effort to scare mainstream France and drive it into the arms of the Cartel candidate. Sarkozy even went so far as to call disenfranchised juveniles in Paris suburbs “scum” thereby deliberately incinerating the political climate and presenting himself as the “law and order” candidate. After seizing power in the May 2006 elections he, together with Germany’s Chancellor Merkel, enthroned himself as the new leader of the Brussels EU construct in a fashion often resembling that of medieval emperors.

But Europe was not enough for Sarkozy and his corporate sponsors. Two years into the office, he proclaimed himself as the leader of a Mediterranean empire, forging more than a dozen countries bordering the Mediterranean Sea into the Cartel’s reign. The joint EU/Mediterranean Empire strikingly resembles the extent of the Roman Empire at the time of Julius Caesar, one of the historic figures, beside Napoleon, Sarkozy is apparently striving to emulate.

When one looks at what he has to offer to those countries, the desperate nature of Sarkozy’s 21st century ventures in Europe, North Africa and the Middle East become obvious. At a time when the

---

Sarkozy – Corporate Connections

Sarkozy's rise to power in France in 2006 was financed by "corporate France." His main donors are listed below.

But this corporate support alone would not have been enough to get him elected. Thus, his election campaign was propelled by the systematic propagation of fear – similar to Bush 2004 election. But Sarkozy went one step further: he added hatred towards imigrants as a hallmark to his campaign. Sarkozy became known around the world for publicy calling young imigrants living in the suburbs of Paris "scums" – thereby fuelling racial hatred. This method of psychological warfare against his own people was aimed at driving fearful and intimidated voters into his arms.
The two legs of the Sarkozy election campaign – blatantly serving cartel interests while at the same time coercing voter's support by fuelling their fears – is an exact copy of another election campaign financed by the oil and drug cartel in 1933. In that year, the German-based cartel I.G. Farben (Bayer, BASF and Hoechst, today a part of French Sanofi Aventis) brought another unscrupulous politician to power with devastating consequences for our planet. The details of this 1933 support of the oil and drug cartel behind the rise of the Nazi party, are documented in the records of the 1948 Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal against I.G. Farben.

History tells us: "Those who cannot remember the past, are condemned to repeat it." (George Santayana)

The corporate interests that brought Nicolas Sarkozy to power:
entire globe is ready to embrace hydrogen and other renewable forms of energy, not just for driving cars but also covering major parts of the total energy supply, Sarkozy – like a desperate salesman – is trying to use outdated nuclear technology to coerce dozens of developing and threshold countries into a fateful dependency on the oil, drug and nuclear energy Cartel.

In the eyes of the Cartel, Sarkozy is apparently the ideal replacement for George W. Bush as the primary stakeholder of its global interests.
Chapter 4
Moral values or dubious connections?
Corruption amongst its officials has been a constant problem within the European Commission since its inception. The standing of its Commissioners on moral issues can perhaps best be summarised in the context of an event that took place in November 2004. Rocco Buttiglione, a devout Catholic and personal friend of the then-Pope, John Paul II, had been appointed as one of the new members of the European Commission. Shortly afterwards, he was rejected because of his staunch Catholic beliefs which he had publicly defended.

Buttiglione was replaced by Franco Frattini, an intimate ally of Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi. As reported in The Times in London on November 10, 2004, upon his departure, “Buttiglione added a warning shot, saying that during Frattini’s confirmation hearings: ‘I hope ... that nobody asks him if he is a freemason.’”

Despite this open warning, Frattini became the deputy president of the European Commission with, ironically, responsibility for Justice, Freedom and Security. In this capacity, between 2004 and 2008, Frattini personally oversaw the grafting of legislation that would curtail civil rights across Europe and essentially affect every sector of the lives of EU citizens.
This episode, as innocent as it may seem, casts a revealing light on the moral values of the “Brussels EU”.

**Masonic and Mafia infiltration in the “Brussels EU”**

Freemasonry has been a highly sensitive issue in Italy since 1981, when a secret and illegal right-wing Masonic lodge known as P2 – Propaganda Due – was broken up amid public scandal. Notably therefore, Silvio Berlusconi, the current Prime Minister of Italy, was revealed to be amongst the almost one thousand names listed as its members – who included senior figures in banking, business, the intelligence services, journalism, the military and politics. Berlusconi, who in 2009 was linked to a mafia bombing campaign by a mobster-turned-witness, is alleged to have joined P2 in 1978.

Historically, P2 is well known to have had links to Nazism and extreme right-wing groups. Licio Gelli, its former grandmaster, was jailed in 1998 for corruption and fraud in connection with the Banco Ambrosiano scandal and has been openly described by the New York Times as “a known Fascist.” The investigative writer David A. Yallop, in his book ‘In God’s Name: An Investigation into the Murder of Pope John Paul I’, even alleges that Gelli was involved in a conspiracy that led to the murder of Pope John Paul I, who reigned for only 33 days, in 1978. Even more disturbingly, some sources, including the New Zealand Herald and The Observer newspaper in the UK, allege links between P2 and state terrorism. Others meanwhile, such as the BBC, state it had connections with both the Mafia and right-wing terrorist groups.
Speaking to the BBC in 2000, Paul van Buitenen, the whistleblower whose exposing of fraud and mismanagement in the European Commission under Jacques Santer brought about its resignation (see below), spoke openly of his fears of Mafia and Masonic infiltration in the “Brussels EU”.

**Fraud in the European Commission and the punishment of whistleblowers**

In 1999, the entire European Commission, led by Jacques Santer, was forced to resign en masse after the publication of a whistleblower report. One of the main targets of the report was French Commissioner Edith Cresson, who was accused of having committed serious and repeated fraud; falsifying contracts; forging other people’s handwriting and embezzling EU funds for her personal gain. During her time as a Commissioner, Cresson even went so far as to hire one of her close acquaintances, Rene Berthelot, a dental surgeon, as a highly paid EU adviser on HIV/Aids, despite the fact that he had no expertise in the subject whatsoever. Berthelot was later judged to be unqualified; he produced 24 pages of notes of little or no value in over two years of work for Cresson. In 2006, the European Court of Justice declared that Cresson had acted in breach of her obligations as a European commissioner.

The allegations that eventually brought down the Commission were raised by the Dutch MEP Paul van Buitenen, who at the time worked as an auditor in the Commission’s financial control unit. As punishment for his whistleblowing, EU officials disciplined him for violating procedural rules, reduced his salary by 50% for four months, and transferred him to a low-level job monitoring outlays for objects such as lightbulbs.

But compared to some EU whistleblowers, van Buitenen got off lightly. In 2004, Marta Andreasen, the European Commission’s former chief accountant, was sacked for alleging that EU book-keeping was riddled with "slush funds and fraud". Despite the fact that her
claim was clearly supported by the published reports of the EU auditors – who, by 2004, had refused to sign off the bloc’s accounts for 10 years citing presumed attempts at fraud – the EU’s civil service tribunal rejected her bid to have the dismissal annulled and upheld, in a 55-page judgment, every single complaint made against her by the Commission.

**Fraud in the European Parliament**

The Galvin Report, named after Robert Galvin, the EU Internal Audit official whose name is on its front cover, was written at the end of 2006 as an audit of the expenses and allowances claimed by a sample of more than 160 MEPs. Its findings included serious and repeated anomalies in payments for office assistance and services; dubious large cash payments made to staff and service providers over and above salaries; improper registration and tax compliance; lax or non-existent invoicing; and opaque or unrecorded expense claims.

The existence of this shocking report was kept secret until February 2008 when news of its existence was made public by a British MEP, Chris Davies. Even then, its contents remained secret and a select group of MEPs were only allowed to read the report individually in a locked and guarded room. After news of the report broke and they were accused of perpetrating a “massive” fraud, MEPs voted not to publish it and to keep their expenses scams secret.

**Corruption in the EU’s “anti-crime agency”**

Even Eurojust, the EU’s so-called “anti-crime agency”, has been involved in corruption scandals. In December 2009, for example, the head of Eurojust, Jose da Mota, resigned after he was suspended for 30 days for having put pressure on Portuguese prosecutors in order to stop a corruption probe involving Portuguese Prime Minister Jose
Sócrates. According to the UPI news agency, Sócrates is accused of accepting kickbacks while serving as Portugal's minister of the environment in return for approving a construction project on protected lands.

**The Brussels EU Propaganda War**

Far from operating in a transparent manner towards its citizens, the Brussels EU runs a vast propaganda machine that is funded at taxpayers' expense.

According to Maria Rankka, who runs the Swedish think-tank Timbro, for example, the unelected European Commission provides funding far in excess of its official €213 million communications budget to EU-sponsored radio stations and websites. The examples she cites include the European radio network ‘Euronet’ and the EU’s YouTube Channel “EU Tube”.

Similarly, Rankka also alleges that broadcaster Euronews receives €10.8 million a year of Brussels EU funding, whilst the Brussels and Maastricht-based European Journalism Centre, which trains future reporters, is said to have been given a €1 million grant in 2008. Clearly therefore, when organizations such as these receive large amounts of funding from a political entity, serious questions have to be asked regarding their objectivity.

But that is not all, for, according to Rankka, the Brussels EU also provides funding for organizations such as the Centre for European Policy Studies, the European Movement, the Europe for Citizens and Friends of Europe. Given how strongly pro-EU these organizations are, it would seem reasonable to assume that such funding may simply be being allocated as a means of substantiating the claims of the Brussels EU that civil society supports its policies.

Thus far, the Brussels EU propaganda efforts have mostly been directed towards citizens of voting age. In future, however, it seems likely that its attentions will be extended to include children. Citing
supposed “lies” and “mistrust” being spread about the Brussels EU, for example, some members of the European Parliament are now calling for school pupils in all 27 member states to be forced to take European Union lessons. Without any doubt, however, the envisaged curriculum – which would supposedly cover subjects such as the "Founding Fathers" and "How the EU affects everyday lives" – will not result in school pupils being taught the real facts, such as those to be found in this book.

The deceptions of the Brussels EU in relation to human rights and fundamental freedoms

The Brussels EU has put much effort into maintaining the illusion that it is protecting the human rights and fundamental freedoms of its citizens. Of all its deceptions in this area, its “Sakharov Prize for Freedom of Thought” is one of the classic examples.

Set up in 1988 “to honour individuals or organisations for their efforts on behalf of human rights and fundamental freedoms”, the Sakharov Prize is awarded by the European Parliament each year at a formal sitting in Strasbourg.

Whilst we would obviously not wish to in any way diminish the bravery and selfless efforts of some of the outstanding individuals who have won this award over the years, the fact is that it is deeply disingenuous for the Brussels EU to make such an award whilst it is simultaneously building a surveillance state that monitors citizens’ private lives and erodes civil liberties; flouting a basic principle of democracy by preventing its citizens from being able to choose their representatives at the executive level of government; and taking steps to prevent citizens from availing themselves of scientifically proven, lifesaving natural therapies and information about them.
The creation of a European surveillance state

The Brussels EU is spending millions of pounds developing "Orwellian" computer technologies designed to examine CCTV images and search the internet for "abnormal behaviour". Under a five-year research programme, known as Project Indect, it intends to develop computer programmes to monitor and process information from web sites, discussion forums, file servers, peer-to-peer networks and even individual computers. This development is in addition to the fact that details of e-mails, website visits and phone calls are already stored by European internet service providers under EU law.

Similarly, the EU is also stepping up efforts to build a pan-European surveillance system and set up an EU ID card register. If these plans come to fruition, authoritarian satellite surveillance, automated exit-entry border systems operated by machines reading biometrics and risk profiling systems will soon become the norm for all 500 million European citizens.
Worse still, decisions on the expansion of so-called “homeland security” in the EU are seemingly being made by the very companies that will ultimately profit from them. According to the UK-based civil liberties monitoring group Statewatch, the design of the €1.4 billion European Security Research Programme (ERSP) has been "outsourced to the very corporations that have the most to gain from its implementation," such as the defence companies Thales, Finmeccanica, EADS, Saab and Sagem Défense Sécurité.
Chapter 5

Enforcing the Brussels Dictatorship in Europe
As we described in chapter 3, the Oil and Drug Cartel’s design for the Brussels EU was closely based upon the blueprint published in 1941 by Arno Sölter, the head of the official Nazi “Central Research Institute for National Economic Order and Greater Sphere Economy.” Perhaps not surprisingly, therefore, the basic strategies being used to enforce the Brussels EU dictatorship are directly descended from those used by the Nazis following the adoption of the Cartel’s Enabling Act by the German Parliament in 1933.

The creation of a European surveillance state

One of the Cartel’s key goals in the 1930s and 1940s was to turn Europe into a totalitarian society, under which any and all opposition to the Nazi regime could be eliminated. To achieve this, the Nazis had to engage in massive surveillance of the population. The agencies primarily charged with carrying out these activities in Germany were the Sicherheitsdienst (Security Service) and the Geheime Staatspolizei (Secret State Police, also known as the Gestapo). Because the wiretapping technologies available at that time were very limited, however, these agencies were very much dependent upon the activities of informants and the willingness of ordinary people to denounce one another.
In the Brussels EU today, modern twenty-first century technologies have made the creation of a European surveillance state a vastly simpler proposition. Through the monitoring of citizens’ communications made by telephone, cell phone, email and internet, and the increasingly widespread use of high-tech surveillance devices, the Cartel’s long-cherished goal of establishing a totalitarian society in Europe is now coming within sight.

Storing the private communications of citizens

The Data Retention Directive, passed in 2006, forces all 27 member states in the Brussels EU to ensure that telephone companies, internet service providers and other communications companies store and retain all communications data for a minimum of no less than 6 months and for up to 2 years. Under the legislation, communications providers are required to store details of their customers’ phone calls, text messages, emails and internet use and make them available to government authorities.

As if this were not enough, it was announced in June 2010 that over 300 Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) were backing a plan for an even more massive data retention system that would force internet search engines to store details of web searches for up to two years for possible analysis by authorities. Whilst, at the time of writing, the adoption of the MEPs’ “written declaration” for the plan had no immediate impact or force of law, it is a strong sign that, in its ongoing mission to turn Europe into a totalitarian society, the Brussels EU institutions will stop at nothing short of the total violation of citizens’ civil rights.

In 2010, Italian MEP Tiziano Motti (left) and Slovakian MEP Anna Záborská (right) managed to elicit the support of over 300 Members of the European Parliament for a proposal to force internet search engines to store details of web searches for up to two years for possible analysis by authorities.
Storing citizens’ confidential personal information

In early 2010, it emerged that large amounts of confidential personal information about European citizens are being held on a giant Europe-wide computer network that can be accessed by more than 500,000 terminals.

Known as the Schengen Information System (SIS), the system holds a wide variety of personal data that includes the names, dates and places of birth, nationalities, physical characteristics and other details of people placed under “discreet surveillance”. Created following the implementation of the so-called Schengen Agreement – which, in 1985, as a key step towards the creation of a European Superstate, abolished checks at the internal borders of most Brussels EU member states – the system consists of a national section in each of the participating countries and a technical support function in Strasbourg, France.

At the time of writing, an expanded SIS database, known as SIS2, is expected to become operational in early 2013 and increase the number of “alerts” held on the system from 31 million to 70 million. However, an official European Commission working document envisages an even larger database and specifically states that the new system “should be tested with a view to ensuring a scalability up to 100 million alerts.”
Monitoring “abnormal behaviour”

The Brussels EU is also spending millions of euros developing "Orwellian" computer technologies designed to examine CCTV images and search the internet for "abnormal behaviour". Under a programme known as “Project Indect”, it is developing computer software to monitor and process information from web sites, discussion forums, file servers, peer-to-peer networks and even individual computers.

Open Europe, an independent pro-transparency think tank, believes the information gathered by Project Indect could be used by the European Joint Situation Centre (SitCen), a little-known body seen by many observers as effectively being the beginning of a Brussels EU secret service. Part of the so-called “European External Action Service” headed by Foreign Affairs Minister and Vice President of the EU Commission, Catherine Ashton – whose previous functions in the Brussels EU have included the enforcing of drug patents – SitCen is already known to contain a cell of secret service agents seconded from European capitals.

Investigation and prosecution programmes are now multiplying so rapidly in the Brussels EU that, according to Stephen Booth, an Open Europe analyst, 17 law enforcement systems and databases currently operate or are being developed, of which 6 require the collection or storage of personal data at EU level.
Surveillance of people promoting “radical messages”

In June 2010, documents emerged regarding a Brussels EU mass surveillance project designed to compile information on individuals and groups deemed to be promoting “radical messages.” Obtained by Statewatch, a civil liberties body, the targets defined in the documents include people involved in "anti-globalization" or “nationalist” groups.

The specific types of surveillance outlined in the documents include the compiling of data on the targeted individuals’ friends, family, neighbours, internet use, psychological traits, religion, socio-economic status, and even “oral comments” – presumably obtained through phone tapping – they have made on political issues.

Based upon the content of these documents, it seems reasonable to presume that anybody who actively and openly opposes the takeover of Europe by corporate interests will henceforth be put under surveillance by the Brussels EU on the grounds they are promoting a radical message.

Perhaps even more disturbingly, however, and as we shall examine next, in the coming years, the Brussels EU will seemingly even be recording the political orientations of European citizens who sign petitions.
**Monitoring the political beliefs of citizens**

The so-called “European Citizens’ Initiative” (ECI), approved by the European Parliament in December 2010, is deceptively promoted by the Brussels EU as “a new form of public participation in European Union policy shaping.”

Brought in under the massively opposed and dictatorial Lisbon Treaty, the ECI attempts to portray the Brussels EU as a democracy that allows European citizens to call on the European Commission to bring forward political initiatives of interest to them. Behind the friendly-sounding political rhetoric, however, the reality is very different.

Rather than allowing citizens’ petitions to revise the dictatorial Brussels EU Treaties, the ECI only permits them to contain “proposals on matters where citizens consider that a legal act of the Union is required for the purpose of implementing the Treaties.” In other words, citizens’ proposals that oppose any aspects of the Brussels EU Treaties or which go against “the values” of the Brussels EU – which, let’s not forget, are actually determined by the Brussels EU itself – will not be accepted.

But that is not all. In order for citizens’ signatures to even be accepted by the Brussels EU, the ECI sets highly bureaucratic and burdensome rules for their collection. So much so, in fact, that European campaign groups are already stating that it will be extremely difficult for petitions to be successfully completed under it.

However, the most insidious aspect of the ECI is the insistence of the Brussels EU that all citizens’ signatures have to be accompanied by...
– with slight variation depending upon the Member State they come from – their full names, their full home addresses, their dates and places of birth, their nationalities and their ID card numbers. As such, far from enabling “public participation in European Union policy shaping,” as is claimed, it can be seen that the real intention of the Brussels EU in setting up the ECI is to create a clandestine means of monitoring and recording the political beliefs of European citizens.

Monitoring conversations in European cities

In a scenario closely resembling the type of world depicted in George Orwell’s classic novel 1984, a covert surveillance system that uses hidden microphones to monitor the public’s conversations is now being used in several European cities. The technology, called Sigard, is claimed to be able to anticipate “antisocial behaviour” by analysing the information picked up by its sensors.

Privacy campaigners and civil liberties activists have pointed out that there is enormous potential for abuse with this type of surveillance in that, by treating literally every ordinary citizen as a potential criminal, the system represents a massive step towards the creation of a totalitarian police state.

Significantly, therefore, Sound Intelligence, the Dutch company responsible for producing the Sigard technology, has even suggested that police cars could be fitted with microphones and cruise city centres listening out for “signs of trouble.”

However, and as we shall see next, it is not only at ground level that the privacy of European citizens is being threatened.
Recording the conversations of airline passengers

In future, European citizens may even be covertly monitored whilst they are travelling on aircraft. In 2010, it was announced that the Brussels EU is funding research at Reading University, in the UK, which aims to use a combination of cameras, microphones, computer systems and other devices to eavesdrop on the conversations of passengers and monitor their movements whilst flying. The funding of this work has quite rightly alarmed European civil liberties campaigners, who strongly oppose the ongoing development of the Brussels EU surveillance machine and its funding with taxpayers’ money.

Spies in the skies

In line with its ultimate goal of turning Europe into a totalitarian society and putting its citizens under total surveillance, the Brussels EU has also been supporting research into the commercial development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). Thus far, reports suggest that at least a dozen projects relating to UAVs – or pilotless ‘drone’ planes – have been funded, with more likely to follow.

Of particular note in this respect is a project on the development of UAVs for...
the surveillance of urban areas. Known as the µDrones project, the places cited as being relevant to the concept include public places and airports.

Some Brussels EU member states are already moving ahead with preparations for UAV surveillance of their populations. In the UK, for example, the Ministry of Defence and the Home Office have both developed extensive UAV deployment plans, with preparations being made for unmanned drones to be used to support police operations and monitor civilians.

Proposals to fit “black boxes” in cars

In a little known three-year study entitled “Project Veronica,” the Brussels EU has been planning for cars to be fitted with aircraft-style black boxes. The boxes, also known as Event Data Recorders (EDR), could monitor variables such as speed, when and how often the brakes, indicators and horn were applied, and so on.

Whilst it is claimed by the system’s supporters that the main purpose of these boxes would be to enable insurance companies and the police to ascertain the causes of car accidents, the reality is that, when combined with other tracking data, such as that from mobile phones and existing speed and traffic monitoring technologies, the boxes would enable the Brussels EU to gain almost total awareness of the movements of European citizens.

A final report on Project Veronica was published in late 2009 and recommended that the Brussels EU should introduce a Directive for
crash data recorders to be implemented for various classes of European vehicles and road users. Once these initial devices have been accepted by the public, however, plans to employ more highly intrusive technologies – such as journey data recorders, which can collect data during driving for “monitoring” purposes – seem likely to move ahead.

**Biometric cataloguing and identification of citizens**

A revealing news release published in late 2009 by Giesecke & Devrient, a technology provider headquartered in Munich, Germany, appears to suggest that the Brussels EU is moving towards imposing a system of biometric cataloguing and identification on its citizens. Outlining a European research venture known as “Project BioP@ss,” which it describes as “the biggest chip card research project in the EU,” the news release summarizes the project’s goal as being “the introduction of an electronic ID card in chip card format valid throughout the entire EU.”

In addition to its function as a basic ID card, however, the card is also apparently intended to provide “a secure means of authentication for services offered by governments and public authorities, with BioP@ss-holders able to identify themselves electronically and carry out biometric authentication on the Internet.”

Reading between the lines, the project’s ultimate objective appears to be for European citizens to be required to use the card for everything from registering a change of address, registering a vehicle, filing a tax return and casting a vote in elections, to accessing services provided by the retail, banking and insurance sectors.
More worrying, however, is the possibility that European citizens may eventually face the nightmare scenario of their DNA, fingerprints and personal details being available at the click of a button to the Brussels EU.

Worse still, decisions on the expansion of so-called “homeland security” in the Brussels EU are seemingly being made by the very companies that will ultimately profit from them. According to the UK-based civil liberties monitoring group Statewatch, the design of the €1.4 billion European Security Research Programme (ERSP) has been "outsourced to the very corporations that have the most to gain from its implementation," such as the defence companies Thales, Finmeccanica, EADS, Saab and Sagem Défensé Sécurité.
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Eight Hundred Years after Magna Carta: The Rule of the Brussels EU would End Centuries of Freedom and Independence in Great Britain

Here is a law which is above the King and which even he must not break. This reaffirmation of a supreme law and its expression in a general charter is the great work of Magna Carta; and this alone justifies the respect in which men have held it.

Winston Churchill, 1956

Of all the proud histories of freedom and independence in Europe that totalitarian rule under the Brussels EU would bring to an end, the termination of the centuries-long history of liberty and self-government in Great Britain must surely rank as one of the most tragic. To the people of a country that has twice played a critical role in preventing the Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel from conquering the world, the delivering of Europe into the very hands that caused two world wars is an event that over a million of their brave ancestors gave their lives trying to prevent.

England’s Magna Carta, signed in June 1215, led the world in the development of constitutional law and is widely viewed as one of the most important legal documents in the history of democracy.

(Image credit: Wikipedia)
Looking further back in history, it is similarly noteworthy that Britain, through England, its largest member country, also gave the world the Magna Carta – a charter widely viewed as one of the most important legal documents in the history of democracy. Signed at Runnymede, in the English county of Surrey, in June 1215, this charter stood for centuries as a bulwark against tyranny in England. By recognizing rights such as habeas corpus – the right of a detained person to a hearing before an independent court to determine whether the detention is lawful – and placing even sovereigns and magistrates within the rule of law, England’s Magna Carta led the world in the development of constitutional law.

Unbeknownst to many British people, however, the Brussels EU’s so-called “European Arrest Warrant”, passed in 2002, has repealed habeas corpus. Similarly, the Lisbon Treaty repeals not only Magna Carta’s protections against arbitrary and capricious rule but also the very sovereignty of the British Parliament – a body that has been the model for parliamentary systems throughout the world.

The British Parliament is widely acknowledged to have been the model for parliamentary systems throughout the world. Nevertheless, its sovereignty, along with the sovereignty of all of the other national parliaments in the Brussels EU, has been repealed under the Lisbon Treaty.

(Image credit: Wikipedia)
A proud history: Britain twice defends the world from the Oil and Drug Cartel

Britain’s entry into WWI took place on 4 August, 1914, when its then prime minister, Herbert Asquith, declared war on the German Empire in response to the invasion of Belgium by Germany. Amongst the many factors that were crucial in ensuring Germany’s defeat in WWI, the key role of the British Royal Navy – the largest navy in the world at that time – is frequently overlooked. In fact, so important was the role of Britain’s navy in WWI that without it there can be little doubt that the country would have been unable to stay in the war.

Although fighting only one fleet action during WWI, at Jutland on 31 May, 1916, the British navy was successful in preventing Germany’s navy from escaping the confines of the North Sea. In so doing, it played a decisive role in enabling maritime trade between Britain and its allies to continue throughout the war. Along with the mass army it provided, Britain was also the arsenal and financier of the alliance and decisively withstood even Germany’s declaring of unrestricted submarine warfare in February 1917. As such, without Britain’s efforts it is clear that the outcome of WWI would have been very different.

Britain’s entry into WWII took place on September 3, 1939, when it declared war on Germany following the German invasion of Poland. Bravely refusing an armistice with Germany, Britain’s role in defending the world against the Cartel for a second time was no less crucial than it had been twenty-five years earlier. Under Winston Churchill, the British prime minister and arguably the most remarkable wartime


1 Britain and World War One, 1901 – 1918; BBC History.
leader in modern history, Britain stood firm against the Cartel-funded war effort and succeeded against almost unimaginable odds in forcing Hitler into a two-front war that was ultimately to become Germany’s undoing.

Nevertheless, the forces of the Cartel began regrouping and plotting in secret against Britain within only a few years of WWII having ended.

**The Action Committee for the United States of Europe plot to lure Britain into the Brussels EU**

A little-known committee whose history has been largely hidden from the British people, the Action Committee for the United States of Europe was founded by Jean Monnet on 13 October 1955. Monnet, a wheeler-dealer who was never elected to public office in a democratic election, had previously been the High Authority of the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), a post from which he had resigned a month earlier. The meetings of the Action Committee began in January 1956 and were held in private.

Right from the start, Monnet made it very clear what was intended. In a letter sent to the politicians and trade unionists who joined in forming the Committee, he stated the following:

Mere cooperation between governments will not suffice. It is indispensable for States to delegate certain of their powers to European federal institutions mandated by all the participating countries taken as a whole. At the same time the close association of Great Britain with these new achievements must be assured.

---

In short, the Committee’s ultimate goal, as stated at its inception, was “to arrive by concrete achievements at the United States of Europe.”

Subsequently, in a letter dated 28 September 1968, Jean Monnet announced that the Committee had decided to invite the three main British political parties to join as full members. Moreover, he stated outright that the political parties and trades unions which made up the Committee were “determined to pave the way for British entry into the European Community as soon as possible.”

Unknown to the British people, however, the longest serving member of the committee’s Executive Committee had been the German Kurt Georg Kiesinger, who sat on it between January 1956 and May 1965. Kiesinger had been a member of the Nazi Party (his Nazi-Party NSDAP-Membership number was 2633930) and he had worked in the Nazi Foreign Ministry’s radio propaganda division during WWII. He later became Chancellor of West Germany, a post he held from 1966 to 1969.

Other notable members of the Committee had included the Italian Aldo Moro, its political secretary between May 1959 and December 1962. Leading up to WWII, Moro had been a member of the Fascist University Organization (Gioventù Universitaria Fascista), a group sponsored by Mussolini’s Fascist Party. Moro eventually became Prime Minister of Italy, a post he held twice, from 1963 to 1968, and from 1974 to 1976.

Astonishingly, however, despite the above facts, the leaders of all three British parties responded extremely positively to Monnet’s invitation. Prime minister Harold Wilson, for example, on behalf of the governing Labour Party, wrote: “The aims of the Action Committee are in close conformity with those to which the Labour Party subscribes.” He added that he was pleased to accept the invitation for the Labour Party to join the Committee “as a full member.” Wilson nominated three members of the party – George Brown, Walter Padley and Michael Stewart – to serve as its representatives.

Anthony Barber, Chairman of the Conservative Party, replied in a similarly positive fashion, saying that after consultation with his colleagues he had great pleasure in accepting the invitation and that his party would value its membership. Barber also nominated three members of his party – Mr Reginald Maudling, Deputy Leader of the Party; Sir Alec Douglas-Home, Spokesman on Foreign Affairs; and Mr Selwyn Lloyd, former Foreign Secretary and Chancellor of the Exchequer – to serve as its representatives.

Jeremy Thorpe, leader of the Liberal Party, was equally enthusiastic, saying that he would join the Action Committee “with high hopes”. He added that the sphere of cooperation must be “enlarged to include diplomacy and defence and large parts of social and cultural policy”.

The longest serving member of the Action Committee for the United States of Europe’s Executive Committee was the German Kurt Georg Kiesinger. A former member of the Nazi Party, Kiesinger had worked in the Nazi Foreign Ministry’s radio propaganda division during WWII. (Image credit: Wikipedia)
As a result, the attendees at the Committee’s 14th Session, which took place on 11 March 1969, included members from all three major British political parties, all of whom sat not as private individuals but as nominated representatives of their parties. The meeting was held in London and was a key moment in the luring of Britain into the Brussels EU.

Meetings of the Action Committee for the United States of Europe were held throughout the 1960s and only concluded after 1973 when Britain had joined the European Economic Community.

**Decimalisation of the British currency – first step towards the scrapping of the pound**

To the designers of the Brussels EU, a key step in helping to ensure that its member countries were unable to leave the bloc was the creation of a single European currency, the euro. The rationale behind this was simple: scrapping a nation’s currency and making it subject to the control of a Cartel-controlled central bank reduces an autonomous country to a mere constituent state in a corporate-controlled superstate.

Prior to the creation of the euro, the monetary systems of the six founding member countries of the Brussels EU had all utilised currencies based upon subunits of a hundred. As such, it was obviously decided that the pan-European single currency would similarly utilise subunits of a hundred.

Given however that Britain’s currency, the pound sterling – the world’s oldest currency still in use – was based until 1971 upon subunits of two hundred and forty,
harmonization with the decimal-based systems of the six founding member countries was deemed necessary before the British people could be coerced into ditching the pound and accepting a pan-European single currency based upon subunits of a hundred.

Thus was born what eventually came to be known as the “Decimalisation” of Britain’s currency.

The announcement that Britain was “to go decimal” was made on 1 March, 1966, by the-then Chancellor of the Exchequer, James Callaghan, who described it as a "historic and momentous" decision. Although the real reasons behind the change were never fully disclosed to the British people, Callaghan admitted publicly that “large sections” of industry, commerce, and banking had concluded it would benefit the economy⁴. Needless to say, Callaghan’s precise reasoning as to why Britain’s two hundred and forty subunit system of currency was somehow responsible for holding back the British economy was never made clear. Despite this, the new decimal currency went ahead and was launched across the country on 15 February, 1971.

Edward Heath – the man who delivered Britain to the Brussels EU

Britain joined the EU in January 1973 under its then prime minister Edward Heath, a man who had long harboured a desire to bind the country into a European federation. In his inaugural parliamentary speech, given on 26 June 1950 upon entering the British House of Commons, Heath openly called on the government to join the Schuman Plan. Presented by the French foreign minister Robert Schuman only a month prior to Heath becoming a member of the British parliament, the Schuman Plan ultimately led directly to the creation of the Brussels EU.

⁴ Britain to go decimal in 1971. BBC News.
Heath was well-connected with the elite of the Cartel, attending a meeting of the clandestine Bilderberg Group in 1976 and addressing a meeting of the Rockefeller-led Trilateral Commission in 1980. Holding the post of British prime minister between 1970 and 1974, he was also a friend of the Glaxo drug company Chairman and Chief Executive Sir Austin Bide – the man described by Britain’s Independent newspaper as being “principally responsible for the transformation of Glaxo from a company best known for its baby foods into a world force in the pharmaceutical industry.” Significantly, it is notable that Bide, while seconded to Glaxo during WWII, had worked on vitamins and penicillin and was also responsible for patents.

Another close friend of Heath was Eric Roll, later to become Baron Roll of Ipsden and a member of the British House of Lords. Roll was also a frequent Bilderberg meeting attendee and a member of the group’s powerful steering committee.

But Bide and Roll were by no means Heath’s only controversial associates. After French President Charles de Gaulle had vetoed Britain’s entry into the Brussels EU during the 1960s, Heath invited the ex-Nazi lawyer Walter Hallstein, by then President of the European Commission, to Chequers, his prime ministerial country residence, to examine why the entry had failed. This visit was one of several that Hallstein made to Chequers during Heath’s tenure as

---

prime minister. Behind the scenes, during the decade leading up to Britain joining the EU in 1973, Hallstein and Heath met on numerous occasions and worked closely together.

In recognition of their close working relationship, Hallstein even contributed the foreword to the German edition of Andrew Roth’s 1973 book, ‘Edward Heath - Ein Mann für Europa’. From this, by his own account, it is clear Hallstein used Heath as his barometer for determining the political temperature before official meetings and negotiations on the UK’s application to join the EU bloc. Promising to be at Heath’s side in pushing through Britain’s membership, it is equally clear that Hallstein was determined for the country to join the Brussels EU regardless of any opposition from the British people. Notably, therefore, Hallstein summed up Heath’s role in delivering Britain into the arms of the Brussels EU by stating outright that: “The success of this battle was, to a decisive extent, his victory.”

Heath lost power in 1974 to Harold Wilson’s Labour Party, whose election manifesto had committed it to allowing the British people a referendum on whether Britain should stay in the Common Market on renegotiated terms, or leave it entirely.

In the campaign that preceded the referendum, which took place on 6 June, 1975, the millionaire businessman, Alastair McAlpine,

---

who later became treasurer for Heath’s Conservative party, acted as campaign treasurer for the ‘Yes’ side. Speaking to the Independent newspaper in June 2005, McAlpine admitted that “the banks and the big industrial companies put in very large sums of money” to the ‘Yes’ side.

The Independent also described how, according to an organizer of the ‘Yes’ campaign, Caroline de Courcey Ireland, the ‘Yes’ side additionally had the benefit of money from the European Commission, whose funding was used to charter a series of 100-seater jet planes from British Caledonian airlines to fly almost 1,000 pro-European speakers to briefings given by top level Eurocrats. Revealingly, de Courcey Ireland described the money as “a sort of special dispensation” from the Commission.  

Faced with the referendum question, "Do you think the UK should stay in the European Community (Common Market)?", the ‘Yes’ side’s massive corporate and European Commission funding ensured that just over 67% of voters voted in favour. After the vote, Heath admitted that he’d “worked for this for 25 years.”

To this day, the 1975 vote remains the only referendum the British people have ever had on Europe.

---

13 How we were talked into joining Europe. The Independent. Saturday, 4 June 2005.
14 UK embraces Europe in referendum. BBC News.
Will the British people fight to maintain their freedom and independence?

Through the passing of the Lisbon Treaty, almost everything that Goering – as head of the German Luftwaffe during WWII – had been trying to obtain for the Cartel is on the verge of being achieved. As such, the great loss of life and selfless sacrifice that took place in order to overcome the corporate greed that led to two World Wars will all have been in vain unless the British people are prepared to fight to maintain their freedom and independence.

Clearly, therefore, although Britain has consistently been on the right side in global battles against the Oil and Drug Cartel, unless her people now fight back against the Brussels EU and its takeover of their country, everything that their fathers and grandfathers fought for in the past will have been lost.
Chapter 6

What about You?
What about Protecting Democracy in Your Country?
Chapter 7

Who’s Who
in the ‘Brussels EU’
In this chapter, we document short biographical notes of selected persons mentioned in this book. The list is an arbitrary selection and the names are presented in alphabetical order.

The selection of the persons presented was not made to imply any common affiliation to a specific organization or party. The common denominator of these people is that they have served, either in the past or at present, as economic and political stakeholders of the Chemical, Oil and Drug Cartel.
Abs, Hermann Josef
(*1901 †1994)

Hermann Josef Abs was one of the key figures of the German economy from the late 1930s through to the 1970s.

- From 1940 onwards, Abs was a member of the supervisory board of IG Farben with a main focus on financial transactions.

- In his main job as a member of the board of directors of Germany’s biggest bank “Deutsche Bank”, his area of expertise was to ensure it the top spot in the occupied European countries.

- Abs was also a member of the supervisory board of many other companies that dealt with the German occupation of Eastern Europe.

- 80% of all financial transactions made during the establishment of the Auschwitz factory complex built by IG Farben were handled by the Deutsche Bank and went through the hands of Abs.

- Abs was leader of the German delegation at the 1953 “Agreement on German External Debts” that ended with relatively low payments for German post-war and WWII-debts, including all future demands made from victims’ associations.

- Abs was directly involved in the expropriation of Jewish companies in Germany and in the occupied countries.
• After the war, Abs was briefly jailed, but quickly got back in business by making contacts with the British and American occupying forces.

• Before resuming his old job at the Deutsche Bank, Abs was head of the “Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau” (German reconstruction loan corporation) and financial advisor for Konrad Adenauer. He was thus actively involved in re-strengthening the German economy.

• In the 1960s, Abs was a member of the supervisory boards of 30 companies – serving as a chairman of the board of 20 companies – an unmatched amount of power.
Adenauer, Konrad
(*1876 †1967)

Konrad Adenauer, Germany’s first post-war Chancellor, used his powers to bring many fellow Nazi henchmen back into power.

- After losing his position as mayor of Cologne in 1933, Adenauer contacted high-ranking Nazi-politicians in August 1934 and cited his services to the Nazi movement. He subsequently received payments from the Nazi government.

- In negotiations that took place with the occupational forces in 1949, Adenauer made efforts to prevent laboratories belonging to the drug company Bayer from being dismantled.

- During his 14-year long tenure, Adenauer strategically put Nazi criminals back into power in governmental positions. Others were helped to obtain release from jail and to regain their senior corporate positions.

- One of those Nazi criminals was Hans Globke, whom Adenauer employed as his National Security Advisor.

- Another example was Walter Hallstein, whom Adenauer helped to establish as the key architect of the structure of the “Brussels EU” and the first president of its so-called “European Commission”.
• In 1956, Adenauer founded the German secret service “Bundesnachrichtendienst” (BND). The head of the BND and a large number of its employees were recruited from former Nazi SS and Gestapo staff.

Further information: GB5KA364
Ambros, Otto
(*1901 †1990)

Otto Ambros was a member of the IG FARBEN executive committee between 1938 and 1945.

- Ambros oversaw the choice of location, planning, building and running of the “IG Auschwitz” factory / concentration camp as an operations manager.

- Between 1940 and 1945, Ambros was advisor to the head of the “Vier-jahresplan” (Four Year Plan) office, Carl Krauch, and head of its Department for “Research and Development”

- Ambros was appointed as “Wehrwirtschaftsführer” (military industry leader) with responsibility for chemical warfare agents. He personally advocated the use of the nerve agents Sarin and Tabun to Adolf Hitler.

- Ambros developed the chemical weapons Sarin, in 1939, and Soman, in 1944, for IG Farben.

- At the Nuremberg Tribunal against IG Farben, Ambros was held accountable for the crimes he committed during World War II. He was found guilty of “enslavement” and sentenced to eight years in prison.

- In 1952, he was released from prison without having served his full sentence. He subsequently became employed as an advisor to Konrad Adenauer and various drug companies

Further information: GB5OA882
Augstein, Rudolf  
(*1923 †2002)

Rudolf Augstein, publisher of “Der Spiegel” news magazine, was one of the most important journalists in post-war Germany.

- Augstein used his influence to distract the public’s attention away from the Nazi’s responsibility for the fire that burned down the German parliament, the Reichstag, in 1933. The myth of the fire being started by Marinus van der Lubbe, who was alleged to be the sole culprit, was laid out in a series of articles in Der Spiegel.

- Augstein used the experience of former SS- and Gestapo-officials to set up a system of “investigative journalism”, which aimed at influencing the politics of post-war Germany.

- Augstein’s imprisonment in 1962, following the so-called “Spiegel Affair,” was used as propaganda to enable him to be perceived by the public as “left-wing.” Not unsurprisingly, therefore, Der Spiegel subsequently became seen as a defender of press freedom.

- Augstein also selectively placed high-ranking Nazi officials in senior positions on his editorial staff:

  Wilfried van Oven, personal press officer for the Nazi-Minister for Propaganda, Josef Goebbels, became correspondent for South America – personally chosen by Augstein, who signed his press card.

Georg Wolff, a high ranking official in the Reich Main Security Office, was hired for Der Spiegel in the early 1950s, eventually becoming deputy editor-in-chief in the 1960s.

Horst Mahnke, another high ranking official in the Reich Main Security Office, was head of the foreign department of Der Spiegel. Mahnke later also wrote for Axel Springer.

Karl-Friedrich Grosse, a high ranking official in Ribbentrop’s ministry, became head of Der Spiegel’s Berlin bureau.

Erich Fischer, head of a department in Goebbels’s ministry, became publishing manager in Der Spiegel’s Düsseldorf bureau.

Rudolf Diels, first head of the Gestapo, was hired to write a series of articles about his own secret police, which whitewashed the roles of former colleagues that were active in post-war Germany. In 1933, Diels – then in charge of the Gestapo – was the main interrogator of Marinus van der Lubbe following the Reichstag fire.

Further information: GB5RA733
Bangemann, Martin (*1934)

Martin Bangemann, a German politician, was a member of the European Commission between 1989 and 1999.

- During his first term in the European Commission, between 1989 and 1995, Bangemann served as Commissioner for the Internal Market and Industrial Affairs.

- As a Commissioner, Bangemann got into trouble in the 1990s when he took hefty payments for speaking engagements – despite this being outlawed for Commissioners.

- Bangemann was also accused of abusing his authority after getting his Commission chauffeur to drive his Commission limousine to Southern France to meet him at his yacht.

- Bangemann was EU Commissioner for Industrial Affairs, Information and Telecommunications Technologies during the Jacques Santer Commission. In 1999, he was involved in a fraud scandal and was forced to resign along with the entire Santer Commission.

- After the resignation of the Santer Commission, Bangemann was appointed to the Board of Directors of the Spanish telecommunications company Telefonica just weeks later. The EU filed a lawsuit in 1999 because of possible conflicts of interests. The lawsuit was later dropped after Bangemann agreed to not start working for Telefonica before 2001.

Further information: GB5MB824
Bolkestein, Frits
(*1933)

Frits Bolkestein was European Commissioner for Internal Market & Services between 1999 and 2004.

- In 1996, Bolkestein, who at the time was leader of the governing VVD party in the Netherlands, tried to persuade the Dutch health minister Els Borst to have a cholesterol-lowering drug included in the reimbursement scheme operated by the Dutch health service. The drug, Cozaar, was marketed by Merck, Sharp & Dome, for whom Bolkestein was then a director. According to the news program Netwerk, Bolkestein was also reported to have been urging Borst to reduce the reimbursement limit for Zocor, another Merck, Sharp & Dome drug.

- During his time as European Commissioner for Internal Market Services, Bolkestein drafted the “Directive on services in the internal market”. The so-called “Bolkestein Directive” was aimed at creating a single market for services, essentially in the spirit of the plans designed by Nazi lawyer Walter Hallstein.

- In 2001, Bolkestein refused to take action after European MP’s had asked the Commission to investigate accusations of undeclared accounts maintained at Clearstream, a division of the financial services provider, Deutsche Börse. Five years later, in 2006, EU-whistleblower Paul van Buiten – who had previously exposed the Santer Commission scandal in 1996 – alleged that Bolkestein was a member of the consultative council of the Russian “Bank Menatep”.

Who’s Who in the ‘Brussels EU’
“Bank Menatep” had previously been accused by Harlem Désir, a French MEP, of maintaining an unpublished secret account at Clearstream – thus potentially raising questions regarding Bolkestein’s refusal to take action against Clearstream.

- Bolkestein worked for the petrochemical company Royal Dutch Shell from 1960 to 1975.
Bosch, Carl
(*1874 †1940)

Carl Bosch was chairman of the supervisory board of IG Farben from 1931 until his death.

- In 1910, together with Fritz Haber, Bosch developed a process to produce ammonia in large quantities for use in the production of explosives. This opened up a highly profitable ammonia monopoly for Bosch’s company, BASF, and later for IG Farben.

- In 1918, Bosch was economic consultant for the German government in the peace negotiations that followed World War I. Contrary to the initial intentions of the victorious powers to dismantle all chemical production facilities, Bosch reached a deal to save the factories. In exchange, the allied forces obtained secret knowledge of the dyestuffs industry and the Haber-Bosch process for the production of ammonia.

- After the formation of IG Farben in 1925, Bosch became chairman of its board of directors.

- In 1931, after the death of Carl Duisberg, Bosch became chairman of the Farben’s supervisory board. He held this top position until his death in 1940.

- In 1937, Bosch was appointed as chairman of the Kaiser Wilhelm Society, the umbrella organization for the Kaiser Wilhelm Institutes and one of the spearheads of the unethical scientific research carried out during the Nazi era. The society was funded with public and private money. Private financiers included IG Farben and the Rockefeller Foundation.

Further information: GB5CB992
Daitz, Werner
(*1884 †1945)

Werner Daitz was one of the leading advocates of the Nazi “Greater Sphere” theory of a Europe under German control.

- Werner Daitz studied technical chemistry and worked for 10 years as a director general for “Schön & Co.”. The factory later became part of IG Farben.

- In 1916, Daitz was the first to coin the term “European Economic Community.” Forty years later, this term became used by his successors to describe the forerunner to the European Union.

- At around that time, Daitz started to publish articles and books on economic and social issues, with the main focus being a greater European economic market under the leadership of Nazi Germany.

- In 1931, Daitz became a member of the Reich management of Hitler’s Nazi party, responsible for economic issues.

- After 1933, Daitz was a member of the Reichstag for the Nazi party.

- In 1933, Daitz became head of the bureau for special issues and, later, of that for foreign trade in the Nazi party. The foreign trade bureau was one of the main Nazi ideological think-tanks and was headed by Arnold Rosenberg, one of Hitler’s spin doctors on foreign issues.
• In 1939, Daitz founded the Society for European Economic Planning and Macroeconomics, which was funded by the Nazi party’s foreign trade bureau.

• A direct branch of the Society for European Economic Planning and Macroeconomics was the Central Research Institute for National Economic Order and Greater Sphere Economy, which was headed by Arno Soelter.
Davignon, Étienne
(*1932)

Étienne Davignon is a former European Commissioner. Currently, he is Chairman of the Bilderberg Group and a member of the board of directors of the pharmaceutical company Gilead.

- Davignon was EU Commissioner for Industrial Markets, Customs Union and Industrial Affairs during the Jenkins Commission from 1977 to 1981 and, from 1981 to 1985, was EU Commissioner for Industrial Affairs and Energy during the Thorn Commission.

- Davignon is a member of the Trilateral Commission.

- From 1989 to 2001 Davignon was chairman of the Belgian company Société Générale de Belgique.

- Since 1990, Davignon has been a member of the board of directors of the pharmaceutical company Gilead Sciences – the same company that former U.S. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld worked for as a member of the board of directors and chairman of the board.

- In 2005, Davignon became chairman of the secretive Bilderberg Group, the clandestine meetings of whom are held behind closed doors, away from the public eye, and attended on an invitation-only basis by the rich and powerful ultra elite from the worlds of the aristocracy, politics, business, banking and journalism.

Further information: GB5ED424
Frattini, Franco
(*1957)

Franco Frattini is the current Italian Foreign Minister and former European Commissioner.

- Appointed to the Commission in 2004, Frattini’s selection was immediately mired in controversy amidst accusations that he was a Freemason.

- In 2008, Frattini announced plans to fingerprint and screen all visitors who cross the EU’s borders. The following year, it became mandatory for all new EU passports to include a digital fingerprint and photograph. From 2011, all non-EU citizens who apply for an EU visa will have to give their biometric details.

- Associates of Frattini, such as the Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi, have been accused of having links to Freemasonry and the Mafia.
Globke, Hans
(*1898 †1973)

Hans Globke worked on the Nazis’ Holocaust laws and was the “grey eminence” behind Konrad Adenauer, Germany’s first postwar Chancellor.

- Globke studied law and political sciences before joining the Prussian and, later, the German civil service.
- In 1929, Globke became administrative councillor to the Prussian Ministry of the Interior.
- After the Reichstag fire, Globke became involved in writing the Enabling Act and the Prussian Dissolution Act. He was subsequently transferred to the German Ministry of the Interior.
- Globke gained a reputation as an expert on racial issues. He worked on the “Zweite Verordnung zur Durchführung des Gesetzes über die Änderung von Familiennamen und Vornamen” (Second regulation to enforce the law on changing surnames and first names).
- The laws and regulations that Globke worked on in the Ministry of the Interior played a substantial role in paving the way for the Nuremberg racial laws.
- In 1936, Globke co-wrote the commentary to the Nuremberg racial laws.
- During WWII, Globke played an essential role in transferring the Nazi Holocaust laws to the annexed European territories.
- In post-war Germany, Globke became the “grey eminence” behind the first West German Chancellor, Konrad Adenauer. In this role, he had immense power in the shaping of the new Germany and the working out of the plans for the “Brussels EU”.

Further information: GB5HG188
Göring, Hermann
(*1893 †1946)

Hermann Göring was one of the leading Nazi politicians and head of the IG Farben-led Four Year Plan.

• Göring was the son of the first Reich commissioner of German South-West Africa (today: Namibia).

• In WWI, after initially fighting for the German ground forces, he joined the German air force. In 1917, he became leader of an air force squadron and, in 1918, was appointed commander of a fighter squadron. By the end of WWI, Göring held the rank of a captain.

• In 1922, while studying history and economics in Munich, Göring met Adolf Hitler in Munich. He was named first leader of the Sturmabteilung (SA). In 1928, he became a member of the German parliament for the Nazi party and, in his second term in the parliament, became its president. From this position, Göring played a crucial role in the destroying of German democracy and the establishing of the dictatorial Nazi regime.

• In 1933, Göring became Reich Minister for Aviation and was given responsibility for the reconstruction of the German air force. For his efforts in this respect, Hitler initially promoted him to the highest existing military rank – “Generalfeldmarschall” (General Field Marshal) – before eventually, in 1940, creating a new, even higher, rank – “Reichsmarschall” ( Marshal of the Empire) – and appointing Göring to it by decree.

• In 1936, Göring was named Commissioner Plenipotentiary of the Four Year Plan, with responsibility to prepare the German
military for war within four years. Carl Krauch, who had lobbied for such a position, was later given a key role in the plan. Subsequently, on the occasion of a birthday celebration, Göring thanked Hermann Schmitz – chairman of the board of directors of IG Farben – for giving him Krauch.

- In his role as head of the Four Year Plan, Göring was responsible for the economic exploitation of the occupied areas. The fact that millions of people died of starvation was deemed “necessary” by Göring.

- In 1940, although Göring’s Four Year Plan was extended, his influence in the Nazi regime was waning. Hitler grew dissatisfied with Göring, who had become addicted to morphine. In 1942, the duties of the Four Year Plan were mostly taken over by Albert Speer’s Ministry for Armaments.

- After the war had ended, Göring was a defendant in the Nuremberg Trial of the Major War Criminals. In 1946, he was found guilty for participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of a crime against peace; planning, initiating and waging wars of aggression; war crimes; and crimes against humanity. He was sentenced to death by hanging, but committed suicide shortly before the execution.
zu Guttenberg, Karl-Theodor
(*1971)

Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg is the current German Minister of Defense and a descendant of old German aristocracy.

- His ancestors include Joachim von Ribbentrop, the Minister of State during the Nazi era who was sentenced to death in the post-WWII Nuremberg Tribunals.

Further information: GB5KG822
Heath, Edward
(*1916 †2005)


- Heath had long harboured a desire to bind his country into a European federation. In his inaugural parliamentary speech, given on 26 June 1950 upon entering the British House of Commons, he openly called on the government to join the Schuman Plan. Presented by the French foreign minister Robert Schuman only a month prior to Heath becoming a member of the British parliament, the Schuman Plan ultimately led directly to the creation of the Brussels EU.

- Heath was well-connected with the elite of the Cartel, attending a meeting of the clandestine Bilderberg Group in 1976 and addressing a meeting of the Rockefeller-led Trilateral Commission in 1980. He was also a friend of the Glaxo drug company Chairman and Chief Executive Sir Austin Bide.

- Another close friend of Heath was Eric Roll, later to become Baron Roll of Ipsden and a member of the British House of Lords. Roll was also a frequent Bilderberg meeting attendee and a member of the group’s powerful steering committee.

- After French President Charles de Gaulle had vetoed Britain’s entry into the Brussels EU during the 1960s, Heath invited the ex-Nazi lawyer Walter Hallstein, by then President of the European Commission, to Chequers, his prime ministerial country residence. This visit was one of several that Hallstein made to Chequers during Heath’s tenure as prime minister. Behind the scenes, during the decade leading up to Britain joining the EU in 1973, Hallstein and Heath met on numerous occasions and worked closely together.

- In his foreword to the German edition of Andrew Roth’s 1973 book, ‘Edward Heath - Ein Mann für Europa’, Hallstein summed up Heath’s role in delivering Britain into the arms of the Brussels EU by stating outright that: “The success of this battle was, to a decisive extent, his victory.”

Further information: GB5EH388
Max Ilgner was a member of the board of directors of IG Farben and, to all intents and purposes, the main connection between the Nazi government and IG Farben.

- Ilgner was born into the chemistry business; his father worked for BASF. After visiting the Prussian Officer Candidate School in Berlin, Ilgner studied chemistry, law, metallurgy and political economics.

- In 1924, Ilgner was head of procurement and an authorized signatory for Cassella, the first company to produce chemotherapy drugs.

- After Cassella merged into IG Farben, Ilgner became head of the central financial department in Berlin.

- In the following years, Ilgner established a system of manipulating the Nazi government that became known as “System Ilgner”. In the Berlin offices of IG Farben, the “Berlin NW7” (department for political economics), led by Ilgner, was set up to deal with contacts to governmental representatives.

- The economics department in the Ilgner-led Berlin offices of IG Farben was used to spy for the Nazi government in foreign countries. Its reports were widely used in government offices.

- Ilgner was an integral part of the so-called “F Circle” of industry leaders. The “F-Circle” met in Ilgner’s bureau and advised Joseph Goebbels, Hitler’s propaganda minister, on issues affecting the foreign propaganda of German industries.
• Max Ilgner was appointed as military industry leader in 1938.

• Part of Ilgner’s responsibility in the Berlin offices of IG Farben was to develop strategies for the establishment of the European greater sphere economy. In this respect, he actively represented IG Farben in the Central European business forum – an interest group of German industry, banks and trade associations set up to conquer the Central European market – as a vice chairman.

• Prior to German army’s the invasion of Poland, Ilgner presented the Nazi government with a wish list of factories to be appropriated by IG Farben. This procedure was subsequently repeated before the Nazi occupation of each new country.

• Ilgner was arrested in 1945 by the U.S. military and sentenced to three years in jail for war crimes; crimes against humanity through the plundering and spoliation of occupied territories; and the seizure of plants.
Kohl, Helmut
(*1930)

Helmut Kohl is a former German Chancellor and full-time lobbyist of the pharmaceutical industry.

- After World War II, the chemical and pharmaceutical industries systematically groomed politicians for the highest offices of government. One of those was Helmut Kohl.

- From 1959 to 1969, Kohl was a full-time lobbyist for the pharmaceutical industry at the “Verband der Chemischen Industrie” (association of the chemical industry)

- In 1969, Kohl became Minister-President of the German state Rhineland-Palatinate, a state strongly influenced by the pharmaceutical industry.

- In 1982, Kohl seized the top job in the German federal government – Chancellor – through a so-called constructive vote of no confidence. The pharmaceutical cartel had given Kohl a leg-up from being an ordinary BASF member of staff to someone who occupied the central corridors of power.

- Kohl showed a special interest in European Unification. He was one of the main architects of the Treaty of Maastricht and of the Euro. The decision to make Frankfurt the head office of the European Central Bank was mainly due to his influence.

- For 16 years, Helmut Kohl promoted the pharmaceutical cartel’s global political interests from the Chancellor’s office of the Federal Republic of Germany.

- In 1995, while Kohl was Chancellor, it emerged that an arms dealer had given a series of undeclared contributions to Kohl’s Christian Democratic party, the CDU. The scandal grew larger in November 1999, when public prosecutors in the German city of Augsburg issued an arrest warrant for a former treasurer of the CDU, who was charged with tax evasion for failing to declare a donation from an arms industry lobbyist. Investigators also found that the money was not a one-off payment and that the CDU had long been using a system of secret accounts to receive donations. Nevertheless, Kohl has repeatedly refused to name the donors and it still remains unclear how many millions his CDU party actually received in this way.

Further information: GB5HK841
Krauch, Carl  
(*1887 †1968)

Carl Krauch was chairman of the supervisory board of IG Farben.

- Carl Krauch studied chemistry and started to work for BASF in 1912. He became manager of BASF’s ammonia factory in Merseburg in 1922.

- Krauch studied chemistry and began working for BASF in 1912. He became manager of BASF’s ammonia factory in Merseburg in 1922.

- In 1929, Krauch became head of the new IG Farben branch “Hochdruck-Chemie” (high-pressure chemistry) and, in 1934, became a member of the board of directors of IG Farben.

- In addition to his job as head of Farben’s high-pressure chemistry branch, Krauch also became head of the “Vermittlungsstelle Wehrmacht”, the link between IG Farben and the German military.

- In 1936, Krauch became head of the Department for Research and Development at the Four Year Plan office. The Four Year Plan was a government department created to get German ready for war within four years. Prior to the creation of the department, Krauch had actively lobbied for a department for war mobilizations.

- In 1938, Krauch became a General Agent for Special Issues concerning Chemical Products at the Four Year Plan office. His special focus was the widespread use of IG Farben’s poison gas in the upcoming war.
• In 1939, Krauch was promoted to president of the Department for Economic Expansion that was part of the Four Year Plan.

• In 1940, Krauch succeeded Carl Bosch as chairman of the supervisory board of IG Farben. In addition to his new job at Farben, however, Krauch remained active at the Four Year Plan office.

• In 1948, Krauch was sentenced in Nuremberg to six years in jail for war crimes and crimes against humanity through participation in the enslavement and deportation of slave labor.

• He was released in 1950 and became a member of the supervisory board of Chemische Werke Hüls AG, one of the companies formed after the break-up of IG Farben.
Merkel, Angela  (*1954)

Angela Merkel became German Chancellor in 2005 and has close connections to the chemical lobby.

- Merkel studied physics at the university of Leipzig in Eastern Germany from 1973 to 1978. Later, she was awarded a doctorate for a thesis on quantum chemistry. Between 1978 and 1990, Merkel worked and studied at the Central Institute for Physical Chemistry at the Academy of Sciences in Eastern Berlin.

- After the reunification of Germany, Merkel was elected as Member of Parliament of the German Bundestag in 1990.

- In 1991, Merkel became Minister for Women and Youth in Helmut Kohl’s cabinet. From 1994 to 1998, Merkel served as Minister for Environment and Nuclear Safety. She had a close relationship with Kohl and became known as “Kohls Mädchen” (Kohl’s girl). In 2000, Merkel succeeded Kohl as the party chair of the CDU party.

- In 2005, Merkel became Chancellor in Germany. In a speech, shortly before being elected, she said: “The people of Germany have no right to democracy and a free market economy in the future!” It was obvious that Merkel had been briefed by representatives of the cartel.

- One of her close advisors is the chief executive of BASF, Jürgen Hambrecht. During WWII, BASF was one of the members of the IG Farben cartel.

Further information: GB5AM988
Oettinger, Günther
(*1953)

Günther Oettinger became European Commissioner for Energy in 2010.

- In 1972, Oettinger began to study law and economics at the University of Tübingen. From 1984, he worked as a lawyer in Ditzingen.

- His political career started in 1983 when he took over as the chair of the “Junge Union”, the youth organization of the reigning conservative party in his home state Baden-Württemberg.

- During his time as the chair of the Junge Union, Oettinger was co-founder of the “Andenpakt”. The “Andenpakt” was an informal group of politicians that included the Minister-Presidents Roland Koch (of the Hesse region) and Christian Wulff (Lower Saxony region), former ministers Matthias Wissmann and Franz-Josef Jung, the MEP Elmar Brok and the former President of the European Parliament, Hans-Gerd Pöttering.

- In 2005, Oettinger became Minister-President of Baden-Württemberg. While in office, Oettinger gave a eulogy in which he praised one of his predecessors, the Nazi lawyer Hans Filbinger. Instead of describing Filbinger as the Nazi criminal that he was, Oettinger attempted to portray him as an opponent of the Nazi regime.

- Despite Oettinger’s apparent attempt to revise the history of Filbinger’s Nazi past, Angela Merkel went on to nominate him as Germany’s European Commissioner, leading to his becoming European Commissioner for Energy in 2010. Perhaps not surprisingly, however, Oettinger’s lack of experience in European politics and global energy issues has led to his appointment being much criticized.

Further information: GB5GT422
Carl Friedrich Ophüls was a German lawyer.

- Ophüls studied economics and law, before starting to work for the German Foreign Ministry in 1923.
- Between 1925 and 1931, Ophüls worked for the German embassy in London.
- After the Nazis seized power, Ophüls worked as a judge on patent law and as a district court director in Frankfurt, Germany.
- In 1942, he received a teaching assignment from the University of Frankfurt.
- After the war, Ophüls became a legal advisor to the German government on European integration. Between 1955 and 1958, he was the German ambassador in Belgium.

Further information: GB5CO266
Ortoli, François-Xavier
(*1925 †2007)

François-Xavier Ortoli was President of the European Commission from 1973 to 1977 and an EU Commissioner from 1977 to 1984.

- Ortoli became French Minister of Economy and Finance in 1968. From 1969 to 1972, he was Minister of Industrial and Scientific Development.
- Ortoli became President of the EU Commission in 1973, serving until 1977. In the following commission, under Roy Jenkins, he was EU Commissioner for Economic and Financial Affairs.
- In 1983, Ortoli attended the first meeting of the “European Round Table of Industrialists”, an influential interest group comprising, amongst others, high-ranking representatives from the chemical, petrochemical and pharmaceutical industries. The attendees at the meeting Ortoli attended included high-ranking representatives from the Shell petrochemical company, the Ciba-Geigy chemical/pharmaceutical company and the ICI chemical company.
- In 1984, directly after his last term in the EU Commission, Ortoli was appointed as chairman of Total, the French petrochemical company.

Further information: GB5FO552
Reithinger, Anton
(*1898 - ? )

Anton Reithinger was head of IG Farben’s politico-economic department in Berlin. His department was used to spy for the Nazi government in foreign countries and its reports were widely used in Nazi government offices.

- Anton Reithinger was head of IG Farben’s “Volkswirtschaftliche Abteilung” (economic department, Vowi) in the Berlin offices NW7.
- Reithinger’s Vowi was used to spy for the Nazi government in foreign countries. The reports made by Vowi were widely used in government offices and therefore presented plenty of room for manipulation.

Further information: GB5AR877
von Ribbentrop, Joachim  
(*1893 †1946)

Joachim von Ribbentrop was German Foreign Minister between 1938 and 1945. A convicted war criminal, he was hanged in 1946 after the Nuremberg Trials.

- After fighting for Germany in World War I, during which he reached the rank of lieutenant, von Ribbentrop became a sales representative for a French wine and liqueurs company. In 1920, he married Anna Elisabeth Henkell, daughter of Otto Henkell, the wealthy head of the champagne company Henkell & Co. During the 1920s, von Ribbentrop’s import/export-company was one of the biggest in Germany.

- Von Ribbentrop met Adolf Hitler in the late 1920s and joined the Nazi party in 1932, subsequently arranging contacts between the Nazis and other influential individuals.

- After Hitler gained power, Von Ribbentrop became the Nazi party’s advisor on foreign politics. Later he became Reich Minister Ambassador-Plenipotentiary at Large, a post he held between 1935 and 1936. After two years as German ambassador in London, he became German Foreign Minister in 1938.

- Von Ribbentrop was a driving force in the growing anti-British mood of Hitler and the German government. In 1939, he played a key role in negotiating the German-Soviet non-aggression pact.

- After the successful occupation of France and other West European countries, von Ribbentrop’s Foreign Ministry became responsible for Jews living in these regions. Von Ribbentrop was...
actively involved in the organized killing of Jews in those regions and, in 1943, received a present of 1 Million Reichsmark from Adolf Hitler for this.

- Von Ribbentrop was arrested in 1945 and, at the Nuremberg Trials, found guilty of crimes against peace, deliberately planning a war of aggression, war crimes and crimes against humanity. He was sentenced to death and hanged in October 1946.
Sarkozy, Nicolas
(*1955)

Nicolas Sarkozy is the current President of France, the office of which he assumed in 2007.

- Sarkozy studied private and business law at the University of Paris X Nanterre in France. He subsequently worked as a lawyer specializing in business and family law. One of his clients was Silvio Berlusconi, the Italian prime minister and media mogul.

- Sarkozy’s political career started in 1982, when he became mayor of Neuilly-sur-Seine, one of the wealthiest communes of France. He stayed in office until 2002.

- In 2002, Sarkozy became French Minister of the Interior. While in office, he coerced the marriage between French pharmaceutical dwarf Sanofi and Swiss/German drug giant Aventis/Hoechst. Between 2004 and 2005, Sarkozy was the French Minister of Finance after which, from 2005 to 2007, he again served as Minister of the Interior.

- In 2006, Sarkozy announced his plans to run for President of France. During his divisive election campaign, in an effort to scare mainstream France, Sarkozy widely criticized foreigners and immigrants. He even went so far as to call disenfranchised juveniles in Paris suburbs “scum,” thereby deliberately heating up the political climate and presenting himself as the “law and order” candidate.
Sölter, Arno  
(*1911 †1987)  

Arno Sölter was a strong advocate of the Nazi Greater Sphere Theory.  

- Sölter was head of the official Nazi “Central Research Institute for National Economic Order and Greater Sphere Economy”.  
- In 1941, Sölter authored the influential book “The Greater Sphere Cartel” (“Das Großraum-Kartell”), which outlined an economic plan for a Europe under German control.  
- After World War II, Sölter worked for the German “Bundesverband der Industrie” (BDI), an industrial lobby group.
Peter Sutherland was a European Commissioner from 1985 to 1989 and has served on the boards of a number of multi-billion dollar multinational corporations, including the BP petrochemicals company.

- Sutherland studied law at University College Dublin. In 1982, he became Attorney General of Ireland.

- He joined the EU Commission in 1985 as Commissioner for Competition Policy. In 1990, after his term ended, he joined the board of directors of the petrochemicals company BP. In 1997, he was appointed as chairman of BP’s board of directors.

- In 1992, while on the board of BP, Sutherland became chairman of a committee that reported to the EU Commission on the functioning of the EU’s so-called “Internal Market”.

- In 1995 he became director-general of the World Trade Organization.

- In 2007, concurrent with his chairmanship of BP, Sutherland became an advisor on energy and climate change issues to EU Commission President Barroso.

- In addition to serving as chairman of the board of BP, Sutherland is also chairman of Goldman Sachs International. He was previously a non-executive director of the Royal Bank of Scotland and a member of the board of the multinational engineering corporation, ABB.

- Sutherland is a member of the powerful steering committee of the Bilderberg Group. He is also European chairman of the Rockefeller-financed Trilateral Commission, whose membership comprises elite leaders from the worlds of business, the media, academia, politics and non-governmental organizations from Japan, Europe and North America.
Fritz ter Meer was a member of the board of directors of IG Farben and a convicted war criminal.

- Ter Meer studied chemistry and law in France and Germany, after which he worked for his father’s chemistry company, Dr. E. ter Meer & Cie. In 1925, the company merged into IG Farben.
- Ter Meer became a member of the board of directors of IG Farben in 1925 and stayed on the board until 1945. He also was a member of the working committee and the technical committee of IG Farben.
- Ter Meer served as a director of section II in the German Ministry of War.
- In 1939, he convinced the head of the German Army Weapons Agency to use the IG Farben-produced poison gas, Tabun.
- From 1941 onwards, ter Meer was responsible for the construction and operation of the IG Farben factory at the Auschwitz concentration camp.
- In 1943, ter Meer became General Agent for Italy, the Reich Minister for armaments and war production.
• Ter Meer was arrested in 1945 and faced trial at the Nuremberg Trial against IG Farben, where he was found guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity through the plundering and spoliation of occupied territories; the seizure of plants; and participation in the enslavement and deportation of slave labor. He was sentenced to seven years in prison but was released early, in 1950.

• In 1952, ter Meer became chairman of the supervisory board of Bayer.

• During the Nuremberg trial against the IG Farben cartel, Fritz ter Meer’s counsel specifically stated that the concept of a total European economic area shaped his client’s aims.
Wurster, Carl
(*1900 †1974)

Carl Wurster worked for IG Farben and Degesch and was among those responsible for the poison gas, Zyklon B.

- Wurster studied chemistry and began work for BASF in 1924. In 1925, he began working for the department of inorganic chemistry at IG Farben.
- In 1934, Wurster became head of the inorganic chemistry department at IG Farben.
- Wurster also served on the management board of the IG Farben-owned Degesch, the manufacturer of Zyklon B – the poison gas used in the gas chambers of Auschwitz.
- Wurster became a member of the board of directors of IG Farben in 1938.
- In 1952, Wurster became executive director of the re-formed BASF.

Further information: GB5CW892
Who's Who in the ‘Brussels EU’
Chapter 8

Relay Of Life
Relay Of Life

In the previous chapters of this book, we shared with you our sobering analysis of the way in which the heirs of the architects of Auschwitz are making yet another attempt at world conquest.

Recognizing the dimension of deception and fraud that would allow those interests to even have a chance at this latest attempt, it should teach a lesson to every reader: The only way in which the takeover of the world can be prevented – now and in future generations – is to immunize ourselves against the lies and deceptions that form the very basis of the modus operandi of these interests.

More importantly, now that we have exposed the truth about the architects of Auschwitz, we consider it incumbent upon us to propose the way ahead.

This book does nothing less than to rewrite the history of the twentieth century.

It therefore follows that plans for the twenty first century have to be revised in order to take into account our new understanding of the past.

The sobering analysis documented in this book now provides a unique opportunity to shape the future without repeating the mistakes of the past. Considering the magnitude of these mistakes, the steps to be taken now will have to be courageous, far-reaching and global in nature.

Moreover, the time to design the path to a better world is now. For if we do not draw accurate and immediate conclusions from the lessons of history, mankind will lose an important opportunity for re-charting its course.
In this chapter, we will outline the actions necessary to create a world of health, peace and social justice. This world is no longer an illusion. It can and must be built now.

How soon this world becomes a reality depends upon one single factor: How many of us are prepared to commit ourselves to reaching this goal. This call to action is particularly directed towards the younger generation, for it is their world and their future that is being decided now.

Mankind stands at a crossroads. The alternatives could not be more distinct. On one side are the economic interests that are benefiting from disease, misery and the deaths of millions. On the other side are the people of the world, for whom there is no higher interest than the protection of their health and lives.

The survivors of the Auschwitz concentration camps have recognized this defining moment in the history of mankind. With their call for a ‘Relay of Life’, as the few survivors left from the hell on earth of decades ago, they are passing on the moral obligation to all of mankind today.

The ‘Relay of Life’ is not just a moral obligation but a call to action to protect life on earth in its broadest sense – and thereby creating the foundation for a better world.
The Goals

Mankind now has the opportunity to create a world of health, peace and social justice. But this new world will not come about by itself; we, the people, will be required to construct it ourselves.

This book lays the foundation for one of the largest movements in the history of mankind: The “Movement of Life”. The purpose of this movement is to liberate mankind from the shackles of a century-long dependency upon the Oil and Drug Cartel, and to protect human life for all future generations.

For every movement, it is important to have clearly-defined goals. The goals for the “Movement of Life” are the following:

- **Spreading the truth**
  It is now obvious that we, the people of the world, have been deceived with respect to such important questions as: Who was ultimately responsible for the largest crimes of the twentieth century – the sixty million deaths of World War Two? If the interests that have withheld this information from us for more than seven decades still control public opinion today, we have to ask ourselves further important questions:

  1. Why did they lie to us?
  2. If they lied to us about such important matters as these, why should we believe anything they are telling us today?

  It is obvious that understanding and distributing the truth about history is the precondition for building a better world.

- **Protecting Health and Life**
  The most important goal of the “Movement of Life” is the protection of the health and lives of the citizens of this planet – now and in all future generations – from being abused by, or sacrificed to, corporate interests.
• **Outlawing patents on health and life**
Mankind had to go through two world wars, with over one hundred million deaths, to realize that the root cause behind each of them was the principle of patents. Thus, the key goal has to be to outlaw patents on health and life.

• **Creation of a new healthcare system**
Over the past century, pharmaceutical interests have tried to build a monopoly on world health based on two main elements: The exclusivity of patented drugs as therapies and the privatization of the healthcare sector under their control. It goes without saying that the precondition for a new healthcare system is the elimination of these two elements of control.

As opposed to the existing healthcare system, which is based upon the promotion and expansion of diseases for patented drugs, the focus of the new healthcare system is the prevention and elimination of diseases. The first step in refocusing a new healthcare system towards these goals is the abolishing of all patents for therapeutic drugs and other medicines.

The second step is bringing the healthcare sector under the control of the people. This is the only way to guarantee that medical research, education and practice serves exclusively the health interests of the people and not the financial interests behind the pharmaceutical industry.

• **Protection of life**
The genome of humans as the blueprint and the biological basis of life belongs to us all. Efforts to own this genetic code, with the goal to re-build, sell and manipulate the human body or parts of it for corporate gain, should be prohibited.

• **Protection of the food supply**
The genetic information contained in animals, plants and the food that has been growing in our fields and gardens for millennia belongs to us all. Manipulating and altering the genetic
code of life, with the goal of patenting and creating global market monopolies, bears the danger that our food supplies are controlled and used for political gain by corporate interests.

- **Protection of the environment**
  Good health and the sustaining of life depend upon clean air, pure water and an absence of toxic chemicals in the environment. Over the past century, carbon-derived pollution of the atmosphere originating from oil drilling and coal mining industries has become one of the major threats to our environment. Global warming and other consequences are also threatening the survival of our planet. In addition, conventional intensive agricultural techniques requiring the use of large quantities of toxic patented pesticides, herbicides and synthetic fertilizers have polluted our soil and water supplies, thereby threatening ecological balance and even life itself.

  In the twenty-first century, carbon-derived energies must be replaced by hydrogen-based, solar, wind, tidal and other renewable forms of energy, thereby simultaneously protecting human life and the environment. Similarly, intensive agricultural techniques must be replaced by organic farming and other decentralized natural agricultural methods.

- **Protecting world peace**
  The preservation of peace has been a dream for every generation throughout history. While most wars were regional disputes, the twentieth century saw two wars that involved virtually the entire globe.

  The correct analysis of the causes of these two world wars is a precondition for the prevention of another global conflict. Since such a conflict will – no doubt – involve weapons of mass destruction, this very analysis also determines the survival of mankind.
The facts documented in this book lead to only one conclusion: The termination of the principle of patentability in the sectors of health and life is the precondition for preserving world peace.

In a similar way, the termination of the dependency of the world’s energy demand upon oil will take away the profit incentive behind the military invasion of oil-rich regions. Consequently, the sooner the world’s energy supply can be met by decentralized and renewable forms of energy, the less likely new wars will be to occur.

- **Establishing social justice**
  The colonial empires of earlier centuries that divided the world into rich and poor have been replaced by the world’s leading export nations of chemical, pharmaceutical and petrochemical products. The global interests of the “Oil and Drug Cartel” not only cement the injustices on our planet but further expand them with every passing day.

  Of all these new modern forms of economic dependency, by far the most heinous form is that of “pharmaceutical colonialism.” Behind the deceptive veils of charity and fighting epidemics, toxic and deadly drugs that decimate the population and aggravate economic dependency are being promoted across the developing world.

  In a similar way, the divide between rich and poor nations is further aggravated by promoting patented genetically-modified seeds and foods to the developing world under the false pretext of fighting world hunger.

  The lessons from history are clear: As long as mankind allows the heirs of the Auschwitz architects to continue being the powerbrokers behind today’s global economic order, there will be no social justice.
The termination of the investment business with patented drugs and foods is a precondition for decreasing the gap between industrialized and developing countries and establishing social justice on a world-wide scale.

The modern decentralized technologies in the fields of health, nutrition, energy and others are the basis for economic growth in the developing world and for decreasing dependencies. Moreover, the termination of “tribute payments” by developing nations to the Oil and Drug Cartel will liberate vast amounts of economic resources in those countries that can be directed to meet the basic needs of the people including food, health, education and employment.

We, the People, are the architects of this new world

At this very moment in history, we, the people, have two alternatives: First, we can allow corporate interests to continue cementing and expanding their monopoly over this planet in key areas of our lives. Or, we, the people, take responsibility to build this new world for ourselves and all future generations.

If we leave this world to corporate interests, they will continue their business with poverty, hunger, environmental pollution, disease and death. Of course, maintaining this world – and the economic benefits deriving from it – can only be accomplished under a global dictatorship that allows these corporate interests to curtail civil rights and disregard the will of the people.

If we, as the people, decide to take responsibility, we can take advantage of the most modern technologies, such as renewable ener-
gies and science-based natural health, and create a world of health, peace and social justice. The free exchange of information among the people, and the huge amount of economic resources suddenly becoming available, will enable mankind to take a quantum leap forward towards such a just world.

The choice seems obvious. However, two aspects must be clear to everyone: First, we, the people, have to build this world ourselves because it is us and our children who will have the greatest benefits from it. Second, we have to do it now; for everyday that we wait to seize this opportunity, the status quo will attempt to cement its control over this planet.

The next steps

Every reader of this book, every recipient of this documentation, who understands the urgency of now, should take immediate actions to start building this better world. Following are some of the most urgent tasks that need to be undertaken:

• **Think for yourself!**  
  When you reflect on the contents of this book, and carry out your own research, you will realize to what extent you have been deceived and cheated from gaining a proper understanding of history, including your own past. In particular, you have to develop a critical attitude towards the media because it serves the status quo and thereby contributes to the global deception.

• **Disseminate the information contained in this book.**  
  This book contains unique information, documentation and analysis that you will not find anywhere else. We have tried to substantiate as much as we can from official records, including
material long hidden in governmental and international archives. We encourage you to study all of the links in the online version of this book and to conduct your own research. We encourage you to forward the online version of this book to everyone you know and to include a personal comment regarding what you have learned.

• **Contact your political representatives.**
Do not assume that your political representatives are aware of the facts contained in this book. While some of them have been brought to power by these interests, many others have simply been victimized – as, indeed, all of us have been. Those in the latter group deserve a chance to prove that they really represent your interests.

Send a copy of this book to your political representatives.

Ask your politicians whether they are aware of the historical facts contained in this book. Their answers may surprise you.

• **Organize to spread the message of this book.**
The best way we can make sure this book reaches the farthest corners of your village, town, city or country is by forming a group with other readers who share your determination to act. The first task of such a group, of course, is to develop ways to distribute this book in your community and to make sure that literally everybody reads it. As a result of carrying out this task, many other activities can be developed.
Seek out and work with like-minded people.
There are many possibilities and many areas where you can become active and involved in campaigning. They include:

- Protection of the environment
- Alternative energy
- Science-based natural health
- Organic gardening
- Micro financing and alternative economies
- Any other areas whereby the hold that corporate interests have over our planet can be reduced

Vote with your pocket.
Be as careful in choosing where and upon what you spend your money on as you are in choosing which politicians to vote for. Where and upon what you spend your money on really does change the world. For example:

- By buying organic produce you are casting a vote against the chemical industry’s business with pesticides.
- By buying an energy efficient car you are casting a vote against the petrochemicals industry and pollution.
- By choosing science-based natural health for yourself and your family, you are casting a vote against the pharmaceutical business with disease and its monopoly on health.
Chapter 8

Platform for a Better World

The documentation and analysis contained in this book forms the launching pad upon which mankind can build a better world.

Already, two years ago, more than two dozen survivors of these concentration camps gathered in the city of Auschwitz to present a new constitution to the governments of Europe. This constitution “For the People, by the People”, outlined the necessity to safeguard the interests of the people against corporate greed.

Unfortunately, this historic call – presented as a “Relay of Life” by a group of people with the highest moral authority on this planet – was ignored by the political leaders of Europe. To the contrary, by signing the Lisbon “Enabling Act”, these short-sighted politicians gave the green light to a process that would hand over the entire European continent to the very same corporate interests that have already been responsible for two world wars.

In this situation, we, the authors of this book, have decided to include this call in this book and spread it as a political platform and precondition to preserve democracy for this and future generations. On the following pages, we document the historic call for a “Relay of Life” towards the building of a Europe – and ultimately a World – for the People and by the People. As opposed to many national and regional political platforms, this international call can be carried by an overwhelming support from the people of the world because it carries unprecedented credibility and authority: The moral weight of the survivors of the worst crimes committed against mankind combined with the correct analysis of the causes of two world wars.
Preamble

We, survivors of the Holocaust – labeled as slaves by the numbers branded on us in the German Nazi concentration camps – feel that time is passing quickly The world has become increasingly resistant to our testimony about hunger and misery, about annihilating slave labor, about war and hatred, and other Nazi crimes.

We have lived to see the growing realization of a wonderful idea of a new Europe. This Europe was to be built on the memory of the past, as a fundamental principle of a Europe for the people, by the people.

However, what we are observing, are more and more violations of this principle. In the history of Europe, the basic human rights to health and life were the rights most frequently violated.

In the last century alone, two world wars cost the lives of over 100 million people. In the cycle of events shaping the picture of Europe, one place stood out as the symbol of agony and death: German Nazi concentration and extermination camp Auschwitz-Birkenau.

Hundreds of thousands of men and women from across Europe imprisoned in concentration camps lost their lives as slave laborers in the name of corporate greed.
Even more, tens of thousands of them lost their lives in pseudo-medical experiments conducted on prisoners in order to obtain patents for various drugs on behalf of different pharmaceutical companies within IG Farben.

Today, the building of a New Europe occurs at a time when we, the “witnesses of time”, are still giving our testimony as to the consequences of the disregard for human lives and the needs of people.

Our lives were shaped at the time when human life did not have any value and the slave was needed only for his labor. The absolute owners of a human being were not so much SS-men but the robots of money and power from IG Farben Auschwitz.

This is why we feel responsible for the process of building a new Europe for the people and by the people.

This is not an issue of political opinion.
This is our call for remembrance.
This is our call for life,
For rational thinking,
For a Europe for the people and by the people,
For a relay of remembrance,
For a relay of life.

We should never allow this relay to be discontinued even if, from behind the curtains of a new Europe, strange masques appear resembling the faces of IG Farben Auschwitz.
THE CONSTITUTION OF THE CITIZENS OF EUROPE

The most fundamental human rights are the rights to health and life. These rights are not only threatened by military conflicts, but also by corporate interests and their increasing attempts to exploit the human body and knowledge of it as their exclusive property and source of profits.

WE THEREFORE PROCLAIM AS INALIENABLE RIGHTS FOR EVERY EUROPEAN:

THE RIGHT TO HEALTH

Our health and our bodies are the most valuable goods we have. They must not be exploited as a marketplace for patented drugs or any other form of commercialization. The expansion of diseases as markets for drugs is unconstitutional. Any healthcare system based on these principles and promoting them should be outlawed.

THE RIGHT TO LIFE

The genome of humans as the blueprint of life and the biological basis of our existence, belongs to all mankind. Efforts to own this genetic code with the goal to re-build, sell and manipulate the human body or parts of it for corporate gain is unconstitutional and therefore prohibited.

THE RIGHT TO NATURAL FOOD

The genetic information of all plants and the food that has been growing in our fields and gardens for millennia belongs to all mankind. Manipulation and alteration of the genetic code of plants with the goal of patenting them and creating global market monopolies bears the danger that our food supplies are controlled by corporate interests. As with health and life, the monopolization of food leads to the control of entire societies.
**SUCH ACTIVITY IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL**

The reason why these fundamental human rights are not yet universally accepted and applied is the principle of patenting. Patents are the economic instrument of inflating returns on investments for entire industries including those industries that directly affect human health and life.

**LIFE MUST NOT BE PATENTED**

In order to enforce this principle and protect the health and lives of this and future generations of Europeans, patents in the fields of health, life and food are declared unconstitutional. We call upon the CITIZENS of Europe who will read this proposed CONSTITUTION OF THE CITIZENS OF EUROPE to support it with their signatures.

**THE IDEA OF EUROPE FOR THE PEOPLE AND BY THE PEOPLE**

This proposed constitution serves as a blueprint defining fundamental human rights across Europe, including the right to healthy environment, respect for human dignity and protection of social values. We are passing this call to the citizens of Europe. They have been the true guardians of remembrance preserving the historic memory across generations and will continue the relay of remembrance and relay of life.
This document, of course, is not just another impassioned call for a better world. The distinct analysis and the concise principles outlined in the document predestine it to be the cornerstone of a world that is achievable within most of our lifetimes.

- **Becoming part of the Relay of Life**

  If you, as a person or an organization, want to play a more active role in the ‘Relay of Life’ movement, please contact us.

  We are interested in building up a network of people and organizations committed to disseminating the historical truth and ending decades of global deception. The information contained in this book and the related references constitute our road map.

You can contact us via email at: **info@dr-rath-foundation.org**