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The heeling behavior of a fully-submerged foil hydrofoil craft turning, has been studied by producing a digital computer simulation program, using a Digital Simulation Language on the digital computer IBM system 360/67. The High Point PC(H)-1 is used as the model of this simulation.
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**TABLE OF SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_P$</td>
<td>Feet$^2$</td>
<td>Area of foil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_S$</td>
<td>Feet$^2$</td>
<td>Area of strut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td>Center of gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{DF}$</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td>Drag coefficient of a foil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{DS}$</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td>Drag coefficient of a strut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td>Lift coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_S$</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td>Side force coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{CENT}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Craft's centrifugal force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{DiF}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Drag force on a foil (water axes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{DiS}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Drag force on a strut (water axes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{LiF}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Lift force on a foil (water axes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{NET}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Perpendicular force to craft's deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{SiS}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Side force on a strut (water axes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_X$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Force in direction of body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{XiF}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Force on a foil in body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_Y$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Force in direction of body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{YiF}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Force on a foil in body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{YiS}$</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Force on a strut in body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( F_Z \) Pounds

Force in direction of body Z-axis

\( F_{ZiF} \) Pounds

Force on a foil in body Z-axis

\( F_{ZiS} \) Pounds

Force on a strut in body Z-axis

\( g_x \) Feet/Second^2

Component of gravity in body

\( g_y \) Feet/Second^2

Component of gravity in body

\( g_z \) Feet/Second^2

Component of gravity in body

\( \text{Helm}r \) Radians

Helm angle

\( h \) Feet

Height of Height Sensor above instantaneous water surface

\( I_{XX} \) Slug-Foot^2

Moment of inertia about body

\( I_{YY} \) Slug-Foot^2

Moment of inertia about body

\( I_{ZZ} \) Slug-Foot^2

Moment of inertia about body

\( L \) Foot-Pound

Moment acting to produce roll about body X-axis

\( L_{XiF} \) Feet

Distance from CG. along body X-axis to a point of application of foil force

\( L_{YiF} \) Feet

Distance from CG. along body Y-axis to a point of application of foil force
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L_{ZiF}</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>Distance from CG. along body Z-axis to a point of application of foil force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{ZiS}</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>Distance from CG. along body Z-axis to a point of application of strut force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Foot-Pound</td>
<td>Moment acting to produce pitch about body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Slugs</td>
<td>Mass of the craft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Foot-Pound</td>
<td>Moment acting to produce yaw about body Z-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.P</td>
<td>Radians/Second</td>
<td>Roll rate about body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Radians/Second</td>
<td>Pitch rate about body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Radians/Second</td>
<td>Yaw rate about body Z-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{gir}</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>Craft's turning radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{udr}</td>
<td>Radians</td>
<td>Rudder angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{EiF}</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>Submergence of a foil in earth axes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{EiS}</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>Submergence in earth axes of the assumed point of application of strut force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{X}</td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>Thrust in direction of body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Velocity in direction of body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_{E}</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Velocity along earth X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_{i}</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Foil velocity in direction of body X-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Velocity in direction of body Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{E}</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Velocity along earth Y-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{i}</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
<td>Foil velocity in direction of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
body Y-axis

W Feet/Second Velocity in direction of body Z-axis

W E Feet/Second Velocity along earth Z-axis

W i Feet/Second Foil velocity in direction of body Z-axis

X E Feet Distance along earth X-axis from reference origin

Y E Feet Distance along earth Y-axis from reference origin

Z E Feet Distance along earth Z-axis from reference origin

α i Radians Angle of attack of a foil

β i Radians Angle of side slip of a strut

ρ Slugs/Foot^3 Water density

Φ Radians Roll angle

Ψ Radians Yaw angle

Θ Radians Pitch angle

Subscripts
C Refers to center foil or strut
P Refers to port foil or strut
S Refers to starboard foil or strut
M Refers to mid foil section
F Refers to foil
S Refers to strut
i When i appears in the subscript to a symbol, it indicates that the symbol is to be repeated with i successfully replaced by C, P, S and M, as indicated.
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This thesis deals with the yaw motion and turning characteristics, in calm water, of the hydrofoil craft PC(H)-1 High Point. This will be the model used in the computer simulation program for this study.

In previous theses, the system was made conditionally stable in two modes, the pitch-heave mode and the roll mode. In this thesis, keeping the system stable and working in six degrees of freedom, its behavior will be analyzed in three modes, pitch mode, roll mode and yaw mode, when the simulated model is turning by the effects of a helm step command, acting on the astern flaps. This analysis will also include the study of rudder effects, aft starboard and aft port flaps effects and the heeling behavior of the system. In a further investigation the forward flap will be split in two parts and pitching and rolling effects will be analyzed.

Since slight changes in the manual control of the system occur in such short time intervals and provoke tremendous effects, the system necessitates an automatic control that will provide the necessary outputs to operate the model according to the given inputs. This automatic control, already existent, will be improved and adapted to the new motion of the craft.

Finally the favourable roll angle for a certain speed and a certain helm step command will be calculated and compared with the actual roll angle of the craft.
A. FOIL TYPES AND FOIL ARRANGEMENT

There are two principal foil types used in the construction of hydrofoil crafts, the surface-piercing foil and the fully-submerged foil, as shown in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1
TYPE OF HYDROFOIL CRAFT
The surface-piercing foil provides a self-stabilization for the craft in pitch, height and roll. On the other hand the fully-submerged foil cannot adjust itself to a changing lift. This can only be achieved by a change in incidence angle of the foil flaps. The fully-submerged foil requires a more sophisticated sensing arrangement to control that incidence. But the fully-submerged foil has a great advantage, when the craft is operating in heavy sea. The surface-piercing foil responds to relatively small changes in the surface of the sea and gives a very rough ride. On the other hand, the fully-submerged foil is much less affected by the effects of the surface of the sea. This paper will only deal with the fully-submerged foil craft.

The model for this simulation, the High Point PC(H)-1, uses the foils in canard arrangement, which has a secondary foil as forward foil and a main foil as aft foil. Both foils are supported by vertical struts. Figure 2, important for the understanding of the digital computer simulation program, shows the configuration of the forward and aft foil. The drawing and the dimensions of the craft and the foils are shown in Figures 3A and 3B.
FIGURE 2

FOILS CONFIGURATION
FIGURE 3A

PRINCIPAL DIMENSIONS OF PC(H)-1

16
Figure 3B: Drawing of Foils and Flap Configuration
B. COORDINATE SYSTEMS

Three coordinate systems will be used in this study of the hydrofoil craft dynamics. They are: the Body Axes, the Earth Axes and the Water Axes. Each of these systems is a right hand orthogonal system.

The body axes coordinate system \((X,Y,Z)\), shown in Figure 4, has its origin at the center of gravity of the craft and is fixed relative to the craft with the \(X\) axis running longitudinally through the craft. This system is initially coincident with the origin of the earth axes system, but it moves with the craft as time progresses. \(X\) is positive forward, \(Y\) is positive to starboard and \(Z\) is positive downward.

![Figure 4](image)

FIGURE 4

ORIENTATION OF THE BODY AXES WITH RESPECT TO THE CRAFT AND DIRECTIONS OF THE POSITIVE VELOCITIES
The earth axes coordinate system \((X_E, Y_E, Z_E)\) is fixed relative to the earth's surface. The origin may be at any convenient location, provided the body system origin is initially at the same point. The \(X_E\) axis lies in the horizontal plane; it is initially coincident with, and positive in the same direction as, the body \(X\) axis. The \(Y_E\) lies in the horizontal plane and is positive to starboard when the observer is facing the positive \(X\) direction. The \(Z_E\) axis is normal to the horizontal plane and is positive downward. The craft's motion relative to the calm water surface, (constraint of this paper), is described in terms of this coordinate system.

In general, the body axes are displaced from the fixed earth axes by the three Euler angles, \(\theta\) (pitch angle), \(\phi\) (roll angle) and \(\psi\) (yaw angle). Figure 6 defines the positive directions of the Euler angles. The transformations between earth and body axes are outlined in Ref.3, by transformation matrices, however, only the results will be considered here.

The water axes coordinate system is aligned with the relative water velocity vector and resolves into lift, drag and side force directions. All hydrodynamic model test data is taken and presented as plots in terms of this coordinate system.

The hydrodynamic force and moment data are obtained in a water axis system which is always oriented with respect to the relative water velocity. These data must be transformed into the body axes in order that their effect will be properly included in the equations of craft motions.

In general, the water axis orientation is always changing with respect to the body axes. This leads to the need for a transformation matrix, also outlined in Ref.3, to
resolve the lift, drag and side force values in the body axes. The orthogonal water axis coordinate system is defined in Figure 5. The angles of rotation between water and body axes are α and β and will be defined later.
DEFINITION OF POSITIVE DIRECTIONS OF EULER ANGLE
C. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The development of the six equations of motion for a hydrofoil follows the same procedure as those for a displacement type hull. This development is clearly outlined in Ref. 3 and therefore will not be done here.

The equations of motion are summarized in this form:

Translation

\[ \ddot{U} = \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) F_x - QW + RV \]  
(2-1)

\[ \ddot{V} = \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) F_y + PW - RU \]  
(2-2)

\[ \ddot{W} = \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) F_z + QU - PV \]  
(2-3)

Rotation

\[ \dot{P} = \frac{1}{I_{xx}} \left[ L - QR \left( I_{zz} - I_{yy} \right) + (\dot{R} + \dot{Q}) I_{xz} \right] \]  
(2-4)

\[ \dot{Q} = \frac{1}{I_{yy}} \left[ M - RP \left( I_{xx} - I_{zz} \right) - \left( \frac{2}{2} I_{xx} \right) I_{xz} \right] \]  
(2-5)

\[ \dot{R} = \frac{1}{I_{zz}} \left[ N - PQ \left( I_{yy} - I_{xx} \right) - (QR - \dot{P}) I_{xz} \right] \]  
(2-6)

Investigations with the craft simulation show that the terms RV, PV, and PW are very small and may be neglected. Similarly, the term \( I_{xz} \) is less than 10% of \( I_{xx} \) and less than 4% of \( I_{zz} \). Consequently, the terms containing \( I_{xz} \) may be neglected for this craft.

Equations (2-1) through (2-6) are linear and rotational accelerations in body axes.

Given the previous equations, the velocity terms in
body axes are obtained by integrating each equation one time.

\[ U = \int \dot{U} \, dt \quad (2-7) \]
\[ V = \int \dot{V} \, dt \quad (2-8) \]
\[ \dot{W} = \int \dot{W} \, dt \quad (2-9) \]
\[ P = \int \dot{P} \, dt \quad (2-10) \]
\[ Q = \int \dot{Q} \, dt \quad (2-11) \]
\[ R = \int \dot{R} \, dt \quad (2-12) \]

In order to calculate the craft's position in earth axes coordinates, these velocity outputs must be transformed from body axes coordinates into earth axes coordinates. The transformation of the linear equations become:

\[ U = U \cos \theta \cos \psi + V \left( \cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi \right) \]
\[ + \dot{W} \left( \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi \right) \quad (2-13) \]

\[ V = U \cos \theta \sin \psi + V \left( \cos \psi \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi \right) \]
\[ + \dot{W} \left( \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi \right) \quad (2-14) \]

\[ \dot{W} = -U \sin \theta + V \cos \theta \sin \phi + \dot{W} \cos \theta \cos \phi \quad (2-15) \]

Only the craft's velocity in the downward direction, \( Z_E \), is required to calculate its height above the water surface. That is, the vertical position in earth axes follows:

\[ Z_E = \int \dot{W} \, dt \quad (2-15) \]
The craft's position in earth axes coordinates is obtained from:

\[
X_E = \int U_E \, dt \quad (2-17)
\]

\[
Y_E = \int V_E \, dt \quad (2-18)
\]

The Euler angles, \( \phi \), \( \Theta \) and \( \Psi \) are also of interest and can be found by integration of Euler rates \( \dot{\phi} \), \( \dot{\Theta} \) and \( \dot{\Psi} \). The rates in turn must be derived from the body angular rates. The Euler rates are not easily obtained because they occur about axes which are not orthogonal. This fact can be appreciated by referring to Figure 6 and recalling how the angles were defined. \( \Psi \) is a rotation about the Z axis, \( \Theta \) is a rotation about the Y axis, and \( \phi \) is a rotation about the X axis. They result in the following set of equations:

\[
\dot{\phi} = P + \dot{\Psi}\sin \theta \quad (2-19)
\]

\[
\dot{\Theta} = Q\cos \phi - R\sin \phi \quad (2-20)
\]

\[
\dot{\Psi} = (Q\sin \phi + R\cos \phi) \cos \theta + (\dot{\phi} - P) \sin \theta \quad (2-21)
\]

The Euler angles are obtained by integration of these equations.

Studies of this craft indicated that during abnormal situations, roll angles of 15 degrees and larger may occur, but under normal operating conditions, roll angles will not exceed 10 degrees and pitch angles are not expected to reach 3 degrees. Therefore, the following assumptions are justified:

\[
\sin \theta = 0 \quad (2-22)
\]

\[
\cos \theta = 1 \quad (2-23)
\]
D. CALCULATION OF VELOCITY COMPONENTS

The various foil velocity components must be determined at this point in order to calculate the angles of attack and side slip. The total velocity components of each foil in body axes can be expressed in terms of the craft linear and angular velocities by considering the craft geometry as represented in Figure 7 and Table I.

**Center Foil**

\[
U = U + L \cdot Q \\
V = V - L \cdot P + L \cdot R \\
W = W - L \cdot Q
\]  (2-24)

**Port Foil**

\[
U = U + L \cdot Q - L \cdot R \\
V = V - L \cdot P + L \cdot R \\
W = W + L \cdot P - L \cdot Q
\]  (2-27)

**Starboard Foil**

\[
U = U + L \cdot Q - L \cdot R \\
V = V - L \cdot P + L \cdot R \\
W = W + L \cdot P - L \cdot Q
\]  (2-30)

**Mid-Foil Segment**

\[
U = U + L \cdot Q \\
V = V - L \cdot P + L \cdot R
\]  (2-33)
\[ W = W - L_{XMF} \cdot Q \]  

(2-35)

\( \theta \) = Point of application of foil forces

\( 0 \) = Point of application of strut forces

\[ L_{XCF} = L_{XCS} \]

\[ L_{XPF} = L_{XPS} = L_{XSP} = L_{XSS} = L_{XMF} \]

\[ L_{YSF} = L_{YSS} = L_{YPF} = L_{YPS} \]

\[ L_{ZPF} = L_{ZSP} = L_{ZMF} \]

\( L_{ZPS} \) and \( L_{ZSS} \) are variables and in general will not be equal.

**FIGURE 7**

**DEFINITION OF SYMBOLOGY**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point of Application of Force</th>
<th>Symbol for Force Along or Parallel to</th>
<th>Coordinate From CG to Point of Application Relative to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center Foil</td>
<td>F_{XCF}</td>
<td>F_{YCF}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Strut</td>
<td>F_{XCS}</td>
<td>F_{YCS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Foil</td>
<td>F_{XPF}</td>
<td>F_{YPF}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Strut</td>
<td>F_{XPS}</td>
<td>F_{YPS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starboard Foil</td>
<td>F_{XSF}</td>
<td>F_{YSF}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starboard Strut</td>
<td>F_{XSS}</td>
<td>F_{YSS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Foil Segment</td>
<td>F_{XMF}</td>
<td>F_{YMF}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Point of Application of Prop. Thrust</td>
<td>T_X</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. ANGLE OF ATTACK AND ANGLE OF SIDE SLIP

The angle of attack of a foil is shown in Figure 8A and is defined as the angle whose tangent is the total relative velocity between the foil and water in the body Z direction, divided by the total relative velocity between the foil and water in the body X direction.

\[ \alpha = \arctan \left( \frac{W}{r} \right) \] (2-36)

If the foil is not aligned with the body X axis, then the foil has a fixed incidence angle and the total angle of attack becomes:

\[ \alpha_{\text{total}} = \alpha_{\text{fixed}} + \alpha \] (2-37)

The side slip angle is shown in Figure 8B and can be represented by:

\[ \beta_i = \arctan \left[ \frac{V}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{U^2}{r} + \frac{V^2}{r} + \frac{W^2}{r} \right)}} \right] \] (2-38)

During actual craft operations the angles will be less than 10 degrees, so that the inverse trigonometric functions can be replaced by quotients. Except at low craft speeds, the forward velocity of the craft, U, is much greater than the velocities, V and W. Applying these simplifications, the equations become:

\[ \alpha = \left( \frac{W}{r} \right) \frac{1}{U} + \alpha_{\text{i-fixed}} \]

\[ \beta_i = \frac{V}{U} \]
FIGURE 3A
ANGLE OF ATTACK

FIGURE 3B
ANGLE OF SIDE SLIP
F. EXPANSION OF FORCES AND MOMENT TERMS

With the aid of Figure 7 and Table I, the forces and moments for the craft are easily written. It is important to note that when a numerical value is inserted for one of the alphabetical dimensions, the sign of the value must be positive or negative according to positive and negative directions from the axis system origin at the C.G. One of the assumptions made upon commencing simulation was that the struts contributed with zero lift and that the foils contributed with zero side force. Also note that horizontal foils make no contribution to the body Y force and vertical struts do not contribute to the body Z force. Therefore, all body Y motion originates at the struts and all body Z motion originates at the foils.

The forces and moment equations become:

\[ F = F_{\text{X}} + F_{\text{XCF}} + F_{\text{XP}} + F_{\text{XF}} + F_{\text{XMF}} + F_{\text{XCS}} + F_{\text{XPS}} + F_{\text{XSS}} + mg + T \]  \ (2-39)

\[ F = F_{\text{Y}} + F_{\text{YCS}} + F_{\text{YPS}} + F_{\text{YSS}} + mg \]  \ (2-40)

\[ F = F_{\text{Z}} + F_{\text{ZCF}} + F_{\text{ZPF}} + F_{\text{ZSF}} + F_{\text{ZMF}} + mg \]  \ (2-41)

\[ L = (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Y}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Z}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Y}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Z}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{CS}} \]  \ (2-42)

\[ M = -(F_{\text{L}})_{\text{X}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{X}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{X}} - (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{X}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{CF}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{PF}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{SF}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{MF}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{CS}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{PS}} \]  \ (2-43)

\[ N = (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Y}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{Y}} + (F_{\text{L}})_{\text{CS}} \]  \ (2-44)

All of these forces have a hydrodynamic origin except for the thrust and gravity terms. The thrust is always
associated with the body axis and requires no further expansion. The gravity terms can be simplified using small angle approximations for the Euler angles.

The standard expression for hydrodynamic forces in water axis coordinates is:

\[ F_i = g \rho AC_i \quad i=L,D,S \]  

Where \( L, D, S \) represent lift, drag and side force respectively. The lift, drag and side force coefficients vary as functions of angle of attack, angle of side slip, submergence, velocity, flap deflections, elevator deflections and rudder deflections.

Ideally, mathematical expressions would have been developed to correctly depict the interrelation of all variables which affect the force coefficients. However, except for the conditions when relationships are linear, hydrofoil technology has not advanced to a position which would yield such expressions. The difficulties which are encountered in deriving a mathematical expression include:

1) The occurrence of cavitation and ventilation, which are not completely predictable.
2) The even more unpredictable cessation of cavitation and ventilation.
3) The nonlinearity of the hydrodynamic coefficients.
4) Lack of sufficient test data to completely describe all of the above.
A. SIMULATION OBJECTIVES

The objective of this simulation was to study the dynamic characteristics of motion along and about each body axis. That is, perturbations should be introduced to separately excite:

1) Motion along the X-axis
2) Motion about the X-axis
3) Motion along the Y-axis
4) Motion about the Y-axis
5) Motion along the Z-axis
6) Motion about the Z-axis

The following assumptions were made prior to beginning simulation:

1) Craft equations of motion are valid only for the foilborne mode.
2) Weight of the craft remains constant.
3) Hydrodynamic coefficients are based on fully wetted surfaces, i.e. no cavitation or ventilation.
4) The craft may be operated in calm water or in a seaway.
B. COMPUTER SIMULATION

The computer program used was based on the computer programs of Ref. 1 and Ref. 2.

As in the preceding References, before the main program could be assembled, Fortran subroutines were used to find the hydrodynamic coefficients of foil lift and drag, and strut drag and side slip. The SETUP subroutine stored as data points the simulation curves of Ref. 4. The subroutines INTERP and INTRP1 are interpolation subroutines to obtain the proper coefficient from the above simulation curves. For INTERP, values of angle of attack and forward flap angle were used to enter the curves and obtain a value of foil drag. Angle of side slip and submergence were used to enter INTRP1 to obtain strut drag. Listings of the subroutines are shown in the computer program section. The main program was then assembled using Figure 9 as a reference for data flow and is also listed in the computer program section. In one of the parts of this main program, the automatic control block, were introduced some modifications, needed for the main objective of this paper, the study of the craft's turning characteristics. This automatic control block is still open for future studies.
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C. AUTOMATIC CONTROL

Control signals originate at the pilot's controls, at the motion sensors and at the position transducer. These are combined and processed in the electronics to produce the signal for the servo-valve. The pilot will be able to move the helm, a lever, or a knob to introduce steering, altitude and attitude commands; the motion sensors sense errors between the commanded and actual craft motions and produce electrical signals proportional to these errors; the position transducer provides the feedback signal so that the control surface stops moving when it has reached the position corresponding to the processed error signals.

It is now necessary to consider how the control system components affect the equations of motion through the control surface deflections. In general, a signal originated at the pilot or at the motion sensors will be modified by the individual dynamics of the components in the signal path before the control surface motion actually occurs. Motion sensors to be discussed are vertical gyros, rate gyros, accelerometers and height sensors.

1. **Vertical Gyros**

   A vertical gyro here will produce one signal directly proportional to the pitch angle of the craft and one signal directly proportional to the roll angle of the craft.

2. **Rate Gyros**

   A rate gyro will sense an angular rate about one of the three body axes. The dynamics of the gyro are such as to produce an output signal which is related to the actual angular rate by a second order differential equation.

3. **Accelerometers**

   Outputs of accelerometers are also assumed to relate the craft motions by second order differential equations.

   To identify the accelerations sensed by the instruments, it will be assumed that there is one accelerometer at the C.G., mounted such as to measure
lateral acceleration, and one accelerometer above each foil mounted in the X-Y plane so as to measure accelerations parallel to the Z-axis.

The lateral acceleration at the C.G. is:

\[ a_Y = \frac{F_Y}{m} \]  (3-1)

Taking angular accelerations into account, the accelerations in the Z-direction at points above the center, port and starboard foil are:

\[ a_{ZC} = \left(\frac{F_Z}{m}\right) - L_{XCF} \dot{Q} \]  (3-2)

\[ a_{ZP} = \left(\frac{F_Z}{m}\right) + L_{XPF} \dot{Q} - L_{YPF} \dot{P} \]  (3-3)

\[ a_{ZS} = \left(\frac{F_Z}{m}\right) + L_{XSF} \dot{Q} + L_{YSF} \dot{P} \]  (3-4)

4. **Height Sensor**

Ultrasonic and sonar types are either in use or expected to be used. For this study it will be assumed that the dynamic characteristics of the sensor, whatever the type, will be such as to give a direct indication of the instantaneous height of the sensor above the water.
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IV. HYDROFOIL TURNING CHARACTERISTICS STUDY

A. RUDDER EFFECTS

For the hydrofoil steering control, the struts can be viewed as vertical foils so that steering can be achieved by rotating a strut, by rotating a hinged trailing edge or by rotating an extension of a strut below a foil, (spade or ventral rudder), as in the case of the PC(H)-1.

The rudder on the forward strut exerts an influence upon the forward strut side force coefficient. Based on Figure 10, the necessary changes were made in the computer simulation program. To document the rudder's influence in the system and to show the craft's behavior, several figures are presented, corresponding to runs using different speeds and successive rudder angles of 30, 25, 20, 15, 10, and 5 degrees at each speed. The craft started moving straight ahead, the rudder angle being introduced after 3 seconds, for all the cases.

1. Speed=30 knots

For a forward speed $U=30$ knots, Figure 11A shows the pitch angle of the craft, which had an oscillation at the beginning of the run, but it went to a steady state value of 1.53 degrees, with the craft's bow up, in 4.5 seconds, for all the six different rudder angles used. The result was natural, because the rudder plays a negligible role in changing the value of the foil lift coefficient and therefore in the craft's pitch motion.

For the same speed, in Figure 11B the different roll angles corresponding to different rudder angles were represented. When the ship went straight ahead, naturally the roll angle was 0 degrees, but as soon as a rudder angle
of 30 degrees was introduced after 3 seconds, the craft started rolling, going to a steady state value of -1.29 degrees for the roll angle in 19.5 seconds. On the other end of the scale for a rudder angle of only 5 degrees, the reaction was smaller, the initial oscillation was also smaller and the craft went to a steady state value of -0.216 degrees for the roll angle in 13 seconds. Comparing with Figure 4, these negative values show a roll to the port side direction, although the ship was turning to starboard. This result would be perfectly acceptable for a conventional ship, but banking in the opposite direction is desired for the hydrofoil because of the high speeds of operation.

In Figure 11C were represented the several heading angles, with positive values, showing that the craft was in fact turning to starboard. At 3 seconds when 30 degrees rudder angle were introduced, the craft had a reaction, reaching a maximum heading angle of 2.02 degrees, but returning to a steady heading value of 1.39 degrees in 23 seconds. For a rudder angle of only 5 degrees the maximum heading of the craft was 0.33 degrees, getting a steady heading of 0.23 degrees in 17.5 seconds, because the rudder change was smaller and then the reaction was smaller.

The craft's height above the water $Z$ was represented in Figure 11D. Starting with a value of 0 feet, the craft went to 3.9 feet above the water in 4.5 seconds. Comparing with the dimensions in Figure 3A, it was observed that the foils were well inside the water. Again it was observed that the rudder plays a negligible role on the lift motion of the craft, because the craft's height above the water was the same for all the rudder angles.

The forward flap was represented in Figure 11E. It started with a value of 0 degrees, reaching a peak of 13.9 degrees to help the transient period. After 5 seconds it reached a steady state positive value of 10.8 degrees. This positive value means that the flap was oriented in the down
direction and therefore offering more resistance to the water, provoking more lift on the bow part of the craft. It can be concluded that the main roles of the forward flap are craft's lifting and to keep a stable pitch angle.

In Figure 11F the stern mid foil segment was represented. After a transient period with a maximum value of -1.15 degrees, it reached a value of 2.62 degrees in 4.5 seconds, as in the preceding case it was oriented in the down direction and again its main role is to help the lift motion of the craft.

In Figure 11G the stern starboard flap was represented. Its value was 0 degrees, before the rudder was introduced after 3 seconds. Then for a rudder angle of 30 degrees, the flap had a transient period with a maximum value of -2.33 degrees, going to a steady state value of -1.86 degrees in 16 seconds. For a rudder angle of only 5 degrees, the maximum negative value was only of -0.38 degrees, going to a steady state value of -0.31 degrees in 13 seconds. Having the starboard flap angles different values for different rudder angles, it was concluded that the stern starboard and port flaps have influence in the craft's turns, therefore a completely different conclusion in relation with the conclusion presented for the forward flap and mid aft flap.

On the next groups of figures the same elements as before will be represented. Similar conclusions can be applied, therefore only the data obtained will be reported.

2. Speed=36 knots, rudder to starboard

The pitch angle was represented in Figure 12A. It reached a steady state value of 0.01 degrees in 6.5 seconds.

In Figure 12B were represented the roll angles for the different rudder angles, showing again the banking in the wrong direction. For a rudder angle of 30 degrees, the roll angle had a maximum negative value of -1.29 degrees, going to a steady state value of -1.12 degrees in 15 seconds. For a rudder angle of 5 degrees, the maximum
negative roll angle was of -0.21 degrees, going to a steady state value of -0.18 degrees in 12.5 seconds.

The heading angles represented in Figure 12C reached higher values due to a faster speed, increasing gradually with time, meaning that with the increase of speed the rudder effect on the craft's turning was larger. After 40 seconds of run time with 30 degrees of rudder, the heading angle reached 8.5 degrees and for 5 degrees of rudder, the heading angle reached 1.42 degrees, clearly larger values than for the 30 knots speed.

In Figure 12D the height above the water was represented, with a steady state value of 1.65 feet in 3.5 seconds, after starting on 0 feet.

The forward flap angle was represented in Figure 12E. On the transient period it reached a maximum value of 9.21 degrees, going to a steady state value of 7.7 degrees in 5.5 seconds. These values are smaller than for the speed of 30 knots, because the thrust is bigger and it is easier for the craft to lift.

In Figure 12F the stern mid foil segment was represented. It reached a maximum value of -1.37 degrees in the transient period, jumping to a steady state value of 1.74 degrees in 7.5 seconds. These values are also smaller than for the 30 knots speed by the same reasons of the preceding paragraph.

In Figure 12G the different aft starboard flap angles were represented. For a rudder angle of 30 degrees the flap angle reached a maximum value of -1.94 degrees, going to a steady state value of -1.6 degrees in 16 seconds. For a rudder angle of 5 degrees, when the rudder was introduced the maximum value was -0.324 degrees, reaching a steady state value of -0.27 degrees in 13 seconds.

3. Speed=36 knots, rudder to port

With the same speed but with the rudder oriented to the opposite board, port, there were no changes in the pitch mode, the only changes were verified with the roll angle,
angle, heading angle and aft starboard flap angle, represented in Figures 13A, 13B and 13C. The corresponding figures with the rudder to starboard were Figures 12B, 12C and 12G and comparing these two groups of figures, it can be observed that the roll angle, heading angle and aft starboard flap angle have exactly the same values but with opposite direction, because on the Y body axis direction the craft is perfectly symmetrical.

4. Speed=40 knots

In Figure 14A the several roll angles for different rudder angles were represented. When a rudder angle of 30 degrees was applied after 3 seconds the craft started banking in the wrong direction again, having a transient oscillation with a maximum value of -1.18 degrees. It went to a steady state value of -1.032 degrees in 15 seconds.

In Figure 14B the different heading angles were represented. The craft went straight ahead and started turning when the rudder angle was introduced after 3 seconds. The heading angles increased gradually with time, for a rudder angle of 30 degrees the heading angle reached the value 11.9 degrees after 40 seconds of run time. For a rudder angle of 5 degrees the maximum heading angle reached 1.99 degrees in 40 seconds.

In Figure 14C the height above the water was represented. It went to a steady state value of 0.628 feet above the water in 11 seconds.

In Figure 14D the forward flap was represented. After a transient oscillation, with a maximum value of 6.9 degrees, it dropped to a steady state value of 6.19 degrees in 7 seconds.

The aft starboard flap angles were represented in Figure 14E. For 30 degrees rudder angle, the flap angle reached a maximum negative value of -1.78 degrees and went to a steady state value of -1.48 degrees in 16 seconds. For 5 degrees rudder angle, the flap angle had a maximum negative value of -0.29 degrees and went to a steady state
value of -0.25 degrees in 11.5 seconds.

5. Speed=50 knots

In Figure 15A the different roll angles were represented. For a 30 degrees rudder angle, the roll angle had a maximum value of -0.99 degrees and went to a steady state value of -0.88 degrees in 10.5 seconds. For a 5 degrees rudder angle, the roll angle reached a maximum value of -0.165 degrees and went to a steady state value of -0.147 degrees in 8 seconds.

The heading angles were represented in Figure 15B. For a 30 degrees rudder, the maximum heading angle was 18.3 degrees in 40 seconds of run time. For a 5 degrees rudder, the maximum heading angle was 3 degrees after 40 seconds.

In Figure 15C the forward flap angle was represented. It went to a steady state value of 3.76 degrees in 3.5 seconds.

In Figure 15D the different aft flap angles were represented. For a 30 degrees rudder angle, the starboard flap angle reached a maximum value of -1.51 degrees and went to a steady state value of -1.26 degrees in 14.5 seconds. For a 5 degrees rudder angle, the starboard flap angle reached a maximum value of -0.25 degrees and went to a steady state value of -0.19 degrees in 8 seconds.
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B. EFFECTS OF A HELM STEP COMMAND

Improving and completing the automatic control block of References 1 and 2 and based on the block diagram of Figure 6.7 in Ref.5, a simulated automatic control system was built, including the dynamic characteristics of the sensors, the dynamic characteristics of the compensation filters, inputs to the servo valves and the dynamic characteristics of the hydraulic actuators.

There was an important limitation and perhaps a certain inaccuracy in the values used as corner frequencies of the compensation filters and the values used as gains for the control actuation servos, because References 1,2,3 and 4 used the model PC(H)→1 for their studies and Ref. 5 used a more recent model, the PHM. Of course there was some lack of information, but some interesting results and some data were obtained for future studies.

As mentioned before, the main function of the forward flap was to lift the craft and keep it stable above the water in the pitch-heave mode. To confirm this, the helm doesn't actuate the forward flap, but only the starboard aft flap and the port aft flap, therefore these two last flaps will be responsible for the craft's turning in conjunction with the rudder and will also be responsible essentially for the craft's rolling and finally for the craft's pitching. The forward flap is only actuated according to its job by the forward accelerometer servo, by the height sensor servo and by the pitch angle servo. The two aft flaps are actuated by the respective accelerometer sensors, by the pitch angle sensor, by the roll angle sensor and by the manual helm control. The rudder servo is actuated by the roll angle servo, by a yaw rate gyro and by a heading command disengaged or engaged. In this last case it will stop and turn back the rudder when the desired heading is reached.

Several figures will be shown with the roll angles,
heading angles, rudder angles, starboard and port flaps angles for different speeds and under the actuation of helm step commands of 150, 120, 90, 60 and 30 degrees to the starboard side, at each speed.

1. **Speed=30 knots**

In Figure 16A the roll angles corresponding to different helm angles were represented. The helm was moved to starboard after 12 seconds of straight ahead motion, then the craft started turning in the right direction, also to starboard. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the roll angle was 9 degrees after 24 seconds and for a helm angle of only 30 degrees the roll angle was 3 degrees after 30 seconds.

In Figure 16B the heading angles were represented. The craft started straight ahead and when a starboard helm was introduced, the craft started turning to starboard, reaching 21.2 degrees to starboard after 30 seconds for a helm angle of 150 degrees and only 3.4 degrees to starboard in the same amount of time for a helm angle of 30 degrees.

Figure 16C shows the different rudder angles due to a helm step command. While the ship went straight ahead the rudder was also straight ahead, but when the helm was introduced after 12 seconds, the rudder turned to starboard on the craft's turning direction. Finally when the helm was removed after 28 seconds, the rudder went back to its normal position. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the rudder reached a value of 5.1 degrees to starboard and for a helm angle of 30 degrees it only reached 0.78 degrees to starboard.

In Figure 16D and 16E the port aft flap and the starboard aft flap were respectively represented. When a helm angle was introduced after 12 seconds, the craft started turning and banking. After those 12 seconds the port aft flap had positive values, (down direction) and the starboard flap had negative values, (up direction). When the craft is turning to starboard, it must roll to starboard, therefore a lift on the port side must exist. The port flap being down, when the craft advances more force is exerted on
that flap by the water and there is a lift force on that side. The starboard flap is up and on that side there is a down force. When the helm goes to zero degrees after 28 seconds, the craft rolls in the opposite direction and it can be verified by the figures that the flaps have also moved in opposite directions.

2. **Speed=36 knots**

In Figure 17A the roll angles corresponding to different helm angles were represented. When the helm was introduced after 10 seconds, the craft started rolling to starboard. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the roll angle reached 6.2 degrees in 18 seconds and for a helm angle of 30 degrees the roll angle reached 2.55 degrees after 27 seconds and it started banking in the opposite direction when the helm went again to 0 degrees.

The heading angles were represented in Figure 17B. When a helm angle of 150 degrees was introduced after 10 seconds, the craft started turning to starboard, reaching a maximum heading angle value of 37.3 degrees after 28 seconds and for a helm angle of 30 degrees, the craft reached a maximum heading angle value of 6.9 degrees after 28 seconds.

The rudder angles were represented in Figure 17C. For a helm angle of 150 degrees, the rudder angle reached a value of 5.37 degrees to starboard after 24 seconds, then it started going back in the opposite direction when the helm was removed. For a helm angle of 30 degrees the maximum rudder angle value was 1.44 degrees to starboard after 24 seconds, then, as before, it started returning in the opposite direction.

In Figure 17D and 17E the port and starboard flaps were represented. As before when the craft was banking to starboard, the port flap had positive values (down direction) and the starboard flap negative values (up direction). Both flaps reversed the motions when the craft rolled back to its normal position after 24 seconds.
3. **Speed=40 knots**

In Figure 18A the roll angles for different helm angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees, the roll angle reached a value of 9.38 degrees after 19 seconds. For a helm angle of 30 degrees the roll angle reached a maximum value of 2.43 degrees after 23 seconds.

In Figure 18B the different heading angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the heading angle reached 26.3 degrees in 24 seconds and for a helm angle of 30 degrees the heading angle reached 12.12 degrees in 24 seconds.

In Figure 18C the different rudder angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the rudder angle had a maximum value of 5.83 degrees to starboard after 21 seconds and for a helm angle of 30 degrees, the rudder angle had a maximum value of 1.16 degrees to starboard after 21 seconds.

In Figures 18D and 18E the port and starboard flaps were shown. Their movements were the same as before, according to the craft's rolling motion.

4. **Speed=50 knots**

In Figure 19A the roll angles for different helm angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees the roll angle had a value of 9.45 degrees after 15 seconds and for a helm angle of 30 degrees the roll angle had a maximum value of 2.45 degrees after 20 seconds, then it started decreasing because the craft rolled in the opposite direction due to the removal of the helm command.

In Figure 19B the heading angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees, the heading angle reached a value of 38.7 degrees to starboard after 25 seconds. For a helm angle of 30 degrees the heading angle reached a value of 11.1 degrees to starboard after 25 seconds.

In Figure 19C the rudder angles were represented. For a helm angle of 150 degrees, the rudder angle reached a maximum value of 7.78 degrees after 21 seconds and then it
started decreasing, moving in the opposite direction due to the removal of the helm command. For a helm angle of 30 degrees, the rudder angle reached a value of 1.54 degrees after 21 seconds and again it started decreasing.

In Figures 19D and 19E, the port and starboard flaps were represented. Their motions are the same as before, but with different values.
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C. RESULTS WITH THE SPLITTING OF THE FORWARD FOIL

The forward foil was split in two parts to analyze how it improves or prejudices the craft's pitching, the craft's rolling and the craft's turning rate in the desired directions.

Some changes in the computer simulation program were necessary to achieve this trial. Thus, instead of considering a unique submergence for the forward foil, two different submergences, Secp and Secs, corresponding to the forward port foil and to the forward starboard foil were considered. These two foils were moved independently, thus, two different forward flap angles, Elv1 and Elv3 were considered. Also two different forward foil drag coefficients and two different forward foil lift coefficients were introduced. Finally the block diagram of Ref.5 was adapted to an extra forward foil, provoking a small change on the simulated automatic control system.

With a helm step command to starboard, the craft started turning to starboard and it also started rolling to starboard. As was explained in part B of this chapter, the two forward flaps were moved in a manner similar to that of the aft port flap and the aft starboard flap, thus, the forward port flap went down provoking an upward lift force on the port side and the forward starboard flap went up provoking a downward force on the starboard side. Several runs with different speeds and different helm angles were done. In a general way, the roll angle in the desired direction was improved by 10% and the turning rate was improved by 8%, but the pitch angle had values 3% smaller than the values obtained with a single forward foil, because with half of the forward foil in the upward direction, there are two lift forces, (one positive and the other negative), prejudicing the craft's bow lift.

Finally, the forward flaps were moved in opposite directions to those of the preceding paragraph, thus, the
forward port flap went up and the forward starboard flap went down. The results were worse than those of the preceding paragraph.
D. CALCULATION OF THE CORRECT ROLL ANGLE

Many runs with the craft exposed to several disturbances were made. Different roll angles according to the existent conditions were obtained, but now a question arises: are these roll angles sufficiently near the optimum value?

A block called Calculation of the Correct Roll Angle was introduced in the computer simulation program to answer this question. Knowing the craft's turning radius for a certain speed and a certain helm angle, the craft's centrifugal force can be calculated. The craft's gravitational force in the Z direction was already known, then, the force perpendicular to the deck, called net force, can be calculated, by the gravitational and centrifugal forces. The angle between the gravitational force and the net force perpendicular to the deck is the correct roll angle.

In Figures 20A and 20B the craft's trajectories in the X-Y plane for a speed of 40 knots and helm step commands of 20 and 60 degrees respectively were represented. The craft's turning radius was calculated at the point where the heading angle was reversed 180 degrees in relation with the initial heading angle at the beginning of the run, since this will be approximately the steady state value.

In Figure 20C the craft's turning path, for a helm command of 160 degrees, a speed of 50 knots (extreme conditions) and a run time of 156 seconds was represented. The turning path was approximately a circle, with an approximate turning radius of 410 feet.

The values of the actual roll angle matched closely with the values of the correct roll angle, for the steady state period, although there were differences during the transient period. These values are represented in Table II.

In Figure 20D the craft's turning path for a helm angle of 160 degrees and a speed of 30 knots was represented. The
turning radius was smaller, approximately 300 feet.

The values of the actual roll angle matched again with the values of the correct roll angle, for the steady state period. These values are shown in Table III.

TABLE II

Roll angles for helm=160 degrees and speed=50 knots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Seconds)</th>
<th>Actual roll angle (Degrees)</th>
<th>Correct roll angle (Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>14.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>18.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>19.27</td>
<td>19.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>19.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>19.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>19.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>19.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III

Roll angles for helm=160 degrees and speed=30 knots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Seconds)</th>
<th>Actual roll angle (Degrees)</th>
<th>Correct roll angle (Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
FIGURE 20A

TURNING PATH OF THE HYDROFOIL

HELM=20 DEGREES    SPEED=40 KNOTS
FIGURE 20B

TURNING PATH OF THE HYDROFOIL

HELM=60 DEGREES  SPEED=40 KNOTS
FIGURE 20C

TURNING PATH OF THE HYDROFOIL

HELM=160 DEGREES       SPEED=50 KNOTS
FIGURE 20D

TURNING PATH OF THE HYDROFOIL

HELM=160 DEGREES    SPEED=30 KNOTS
The system was made stable in six degrees of freedom, with the following limitation: the dimensions, the foil areas, the weight and the thrust of the model PC(H)-1 were used in References 1 and 2 and in the computer simulation program. Due to a lack of information, because only very general information was available, certain values of the automatic control system of the model PHM, instead of the PC(H)-1, had to be used.

A study of the craft turning characteristics was made in this thesis. The simulated automatic control system was improved and adapted to perform this study.

Roll was controlled by differential displacements of the aft flaps, actuated by the helm control, while pitch was essentially controlled by the forward flap.

The rudder has negative effects on the hydrofoil's rolling direction, which should be the same as the turning direction, due to the high speeds of operation.

It was not worth while to split the forward foil.

Recommendations for further studies include:

1. Explore more deeply the advantages or the disadvantages of the splitting of the forward foil, combining it with the motions of the aft flaps and the rudder.

2. Study the response of the craft in a sinusoidal sea and in a random sea. Design improved control systems for sea state operation.

3. Simulate the transformation from hullborne operation to foilborne operation.
APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF COMPLETE EQUATIONS

EQUATIONS FOR ACCELERATION IN BODY AXIS

\[ \ddot{u} = \frac{1}{m}F_x + RV - QW \] (A-1)

\[ \ddot{v} = \frac{1}{m}F_y + PW - RU \] (A-2)

\[ \ddot{w} = \frac{1}{m}F_z + QU - PV \] (A-3)

\[ \dot{p} = \frac{1}{I_{xx}}[L - QR(I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (\dot{R} + QP)I_{xz}] \] (A-4)

\[ \dot{r} = \frac{1}{I_{yy}}[N - PQ(I_{yy} - I_{xx}) - (QR - \dot{P})I_{xz}] \] (A-5)

VELOCITY IN BODY AXES

\[ u = \int \ddot{u} \, dt \] (A-6)

\[ v = \int \ddot{v} \, dt \] (A-7)

\[ w = \int \ddot{w} \, dt \] (A-8)

\[ P = \int \dot{p} \, dt \] (A-9)

\[ Q = \int \dot{q} \, dt \] (A-10)

\[ R = \int \dot{r} \, dt \] (A-11)

TRANSFORMATION OF VELOCITIES FROM BODY TO EARTH AXES

\[ U_E = U \cos \theta \cos \phi + V(\cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi) + W(\cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi) \] (A-12)

\[ V_E = U \cos \theta \sin \psi + V(\cos \psi \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi) + W(\sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi) \] (A-13)

\[ W_E = -U \sin \theta + V \cos \theta \sin \phi + W \cos \theta \cos \phi \] (A-14)
\[ \dot{\phi} = P + \psi \sin \theta \]  
\[ \dot{\theta} = Q \cos \phi - R \sin \phi \]  
\[ \dot{\psi} = (Q \sin \phi + R \cos \phi) \cos \theta + (\dot{\phi} - P) \sin \theta \]  

**POSITION IN EARTH AXES**

\[ X_E = \int U_E \, dt \]  
\[ Y_E = \int V_E \, dt \]  
\[ Z_E = \int W_E \, dt \]  
\[ \phi = \int \dot{\phi} \, dt \]  
\[ \theta = \int \dot{\theta} \, dt \]  
\[ \psi = \int \dot{\psi} \, dt \]  

**EXPANSION OF FORCE AND MOMENT EQUATIONS**

\[ F_X = \sum F_{XIF} + \sum F_{XIS} + mg_X T_X \]  
\[ F_Y = \sum F_{YIS} + mg_Y \]  
\[ F_Z = \sum F_{ZIF} + mg_Z \]  
\[ L = (F_Z L_Y)_{P_F} + (F_Z L_Y)_{S_F} - (F_Y L_Z)_{P_S} - (F_Y L_Z)_{S_S} - (F_Y L_Z)_{C_S} \]  
\[ M = \sum (F_Z L_X)_{I_F} + \sum (F_X L_Z)_{I_F} + \sum (F_X L_Z)_{I_S} + T_X T_Z \]  
\[ N = \sum (F_Y L_X)_{I_S} \]  

**EXPANSION OF GRAVITY TERMS**

\[ mg_X = -mg \sin \theta \]  
\[ mg_Y = mg \cos \theta \sin \phi \]  
\[ mg_Z = mg \cos \theta \sin \phi \]
FOIL VELOCITY COMPONENTS IN BODY AXES

Center Foil:

\[ U_C = U + L_{ZCF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-33)
\[ V_C = V - L_{ZCF} \cdot P + L_{XCF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-34)
\[ W_C = W - L_{XCP} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-35)

Port Foil:

\[ U_P = U + L_{ZPF} \cdot Q - L_{YPF} \cdot R \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-36)
\[ V_P = V - L_{ZPF} \cdot P + L_{XPF} \cdot R \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-37)
\[ W_P = W + L_{YPF} \cdot P - L_{XPF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-38)

Starboard Foil:

\[ U_S = U + L_{ZSF} \cdot Q - L_{YSF} \cdot R \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-39)
\[ V_S = V - L_{ZSF} \cdot P + L_{XSF} \cdot R \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-40)
\[ W_S = W + L_{YSF} \cdot P - L_{XSF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-41)

Mid Foil:

\[ U_M = U + L_{XMF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-42)
\[ V_M = V - L_{ZMF} \cdot P + L_{XMF} \cdot R \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-43)
\[ W_M = W - L_{XMF} \cdot Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-44)
TRANSFORMATION OF WATER PARTICLE ORBITAL VELOCITY FROM EARTH TO BODY AXES

\[
U_{WI} = U_{EWI} \cos \psi \cos \theta + V_{EWI} \sin \psi \cos \theta - W_{EWI} \sin \theta \quad (A-45)
\]

\[
V_{WI} = U_{EWI} (\cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi) + V_{WRI} (\sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \cos \psi \sin \phi)
\]
\[
+ W_{EWI} \cos \theta \sin \phi 
\quad (A-46)
\]

\[
W_{WI} = U_{EWI} (\cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi) + V_{WRI} (\sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi)
\]
\[
+ W_{EWI} \cos \theta \cos \phi 
\quad (A-47)
\]

Each of these equations must be repeated for each foil.

RELATIVE VELOCITY COMPONENTS

\[
U_{RI} = U_I - U_{WI} 
\quad (A-48)
\]

\[
V_{RI} = V_I - V_{WI} 
\quad (A-49)
\]

\[
W_{RI} = W_I - W_{WI} 
\quad (A-50)
\]

One set of equations for each foil.

ANGLES OF ATTACK AND SIDE SLIP

\[
\alpha_I = \arctan \frac{W_{RI}}{U_{RI}} + \alpha_I - \text{FIXED} 
\quad (A-51)^*
\]

\[
\beta_I = \arcsin \frac{V_{RI}}{\sqrt{U_{RI}^2 + V_{RI}^2 + W_{RI}^2}} 
\quad (A-52)^*
\]

* One set of equations for each foil. Angles of attack and side slip are calculated in radians.
TOTAL RELATIVE VELOCITY AT A PARTICULAR FOIL OR STRUT

\[ V = \sqrt{U_R^2 + V_R^2 + W_R^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-53)

HYDRODYNAMIC FORCES IN WATER AXES

(Each foil equation and strut equation must be repeated for foil and strut, respectively)

Foil Lift:

\[ F_L = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_F C_F \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-54)

Foil Drag:

\[ F_{DF} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_F C_F \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-55)

Strut Drag:

\[ F_{DS} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_S C_{DS} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-56)

Strut Side Force:

\[ F_S = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_S C_S \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-57)

TRANSFORMATION OF FOIL FORCES FROM WATER AXES TO BODY AXES

\[ F_{XIF} = -F_{IDF} \cos \alpha \cos \beta + F_{LIF} \sin \alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-58)

\[ F_{YIF} = -F_{DF} \sin \beta \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-59)

\[ F_{ZIF} = -F_{DF} \sin \alpha \cos \beta - F_{LIF} \cos \alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-60)
// EXEC DSL, PARM.C="NOSOURCE,NOMAP"
//DSL. INPUT DD *
DCC COMMON MATRIX(539)
CTRL FINTIM=30.0, DELT=0.025, DELS=0.1
INTEG RK57FX
INTEGER IFLAG, IFLAG1, NPLOT, NUM, NCRUR
CONST NDFLOT=1, NCUR=1, IFLAG=0
CONST WEIGHT=262527, IXX=601200, IYY=4286000,
IZZ=4174000, G=32.2
CONST ACF=3.27, APF=36.8, AMF=76.0,
PI=3.141593
CONST LXCF=41.59, LXXS=41.59, LXPS=-16.98,
LXYZ=-16.98, LYP=-5.0, LYCS=5.0,
LYP=5.42, LYSF=8.6, LYS=8.6, LZCF=15.5,
LZS=12.25, LZSF=17.14, LZS=14.0,
LZM=17.14, LZT=-16.9, LZT=17.7, LXX=68.0
CONST K1=5.0, K2=-96.0, K3=-82.0
CONST K4=1.5, K5=10.0, K6=3.5, K7=1.42, K8=1.5, K9=-2.0,
K10=0.44, K11=-13.0, K12=3.0, K13=3.0, K14=0.014
CONST RST=0.0
STORAGE IC1(3), NU1(3), DEN1(4), IC2(2), NU2(2), DEN2(3),
IC3(3), NU3(2), DEN3(4)
TABLE IC1(1-3)=3*0.0, NU1(1-3)=1.0, 3*0.03, 3*0.03,
DEN1(1-4)=3*0.0, NU1(1-3)=1.0, 3*0.01, 3*0.03,
IC2(1-2)=1.0, 0.5, 1.0, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 0.5, 0.3, 0.5,
IC3(1-3)=1.0,
PARAM UZERO=40.0
PARAM RUDR=0.0
PARAM HELM=2.79
PREPAR RTIME, PHI1, PSI1, XE, YE
GRAPH XE, YE
PRLCT
LABEL RESPONSES WITH HELM STEP COMMAND
PRINT RTIME, PHI, PHI1, PSI, XE, YE
PGIR, PGRZ, FCENT, FNET
* INITIAL *
CENSE=2.0
UNIT=UZERO*2000.0*3.0*1.0/3600.0
U=UNIT
TX=3960.0, 0
ALM=0.0, 074269
ALS=0.0, 074269
ALP=0.0, 074269
ALF=0.0, 074269
PREF=0.0
TREF=0.0
THETA2=0.0
PHI2=0.0
PSI2=0.0
MASS=WEIGHT/G
CONV=PI/180.0
HREF=11.5
HREF2=11.5
LENGTH=115.75
FLAG=0.0
IFLAG1=0
EPS=25.0
IF(IFLAG.EQ.0) CALL SETUP(FLAG)
IFLAG=1
IF(FLAG.EQ.1) CALL ENDRUN
* DERIVATIVE *
NOSORT *
* ANGLES OF ATTACK AND SIDE SLIP *
VRC=V-LZCF*P+LXCF*R
VRS=V-LZSF*P+LXSF*R
VRM=V-LZMF*P+LXMF*R
VRP = V - LZPF * P + LXPF * R
WRP = W + LVPF * P - LXPF * Q
WRM = W - LMXF * Q
ALFC = WRC / U + ALF
ALFAP = VRP / U + ALP
ALFAS = WRS / U + ALS
ALFAM = WRM / U + ALM
BETAC = VRC / U
BETAP = VRP / U
BETAS = WRS / U

**CALCULATION OF EULER ANGLES**

PHID1 = P
TFETD1 = Q
PSID1 = R

* SORT

THETA = INTGRL (THETA2, THETD1)
PHI = INTGRL (PHI2, PHID1)
PSI = INTGRL (PSI2, PSID1)
WE = - U * SIN (THETA) + V * COS (THETA) * SIN (PHI) + W * COS (THETA) * COS (PHI)
ZE = INTGRL (HREF2, WE)
ZE1 = ZE - HREF2

* NOSORT

**SUBMERGENCE TERMS**

SECF = ZE - LXCF * THETA + LZCF * COS (PHI)
SEPF = ZE - LXPF * THETA + LYPF * SIN (PHI) + LZPF * COS (PHI)
SESF = ZE - LXSF * THETA + LYSF * SIN (PHI) + LZSF * COS (PHI)
SEMF = ZE - LXF * THETA + LMF * COS (PHI)

**HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS**

HELMR1 = STEP (RST)
HELM = HELMR * HELMR1
RUD11 = K7 * PHI + K9 * R
RUD = K7 * PHI + K9 * R
ELVI = ELV * CONV
ELVD = LIMIT (-5.0, 5.0, ELVI)
FDEG = LIMIT (-5.0, 5.0, FLAP)
ARPD = ARP * CONV
ARPS = ARS * CONV
ARSD = ARS * CONV

CALL INTERP (ALFC, ELVD, COEFC, 2)
CALL INTERP (ALFAP, ARP1, COEFPX, 3)
CALL INTERP (ALFAS, ARS11, COEFSX, 3)
CALL INTERP (ALFAM, FLAP, COEFMX, 1)
CALL INTRP1 (BETAC, SECF, CFDS, 2, THETA, THETA1)
CALL INTRP1 (BETAP, SEPF, CPDS, 1, THETA, THETA1)
CALL INTRP1 (BETAS, SESF, CSDS, 1, THETA, THETA1)

**FORCES IN THE WATER AXES**

FGRAX = - MASS * G * SIN (THETA)
FGRAY = MASS * G * SIN (PHI)
FCGS = - 0.04375 * BETAC + 0.04375 * RUD
ACCS = CCS + 4.25 * SECF
FSC = 0.5 * DENSE * (U**2) * ACCS
FDSC = 0.5 * DENSE * (U**2) * CFDS
PCS = 2.15 * BETAP
APCS = PCS + 4.25 * SEPF
FPS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U**2) * APCS
FDPS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U**2) * CPDS
SCS = -2.15 * BETAS

ASCs = SCS * 4.25 * SE SF

FSS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ASCs

FCSS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ASCs * COEFS

CLCF = 5.15 * ALFAC + 0.036 * ELV + 0.13

FLC = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACF * CLCF

FCD = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACF * COEFC

CLPF = 4.10 * ALFAP + 0.018 * ACP

FLP = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACP * CLPF

FCP = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACP * COEFPX

CLSF = 5.15 * ALFAM + 0.036 * FLAP

FLS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ASF * CLSF

FDS = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ASF * COEFSX

CLMF = 5.15 * ALFAM + 0.036 * FLAP

FLM = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * AMF * CLMF

FDM = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * AMF * COEFMX

FRCZ = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACP * CLPF

FRCZ = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACP * COEFPX

FDCZ = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACF * CLCF

FDCZ = 0.5 * DENSE * (U * U) * ACF * COEFS

FXCF = -FDOAFC * FLC

FXPF = -FDP + ALFAP * FLP

FXSF = -FDS * ALFAS * FLS

FXM = -FDM + ALFAM * FLM

FXCS = FDC * BETAC * FSC

FXPS = FDP * BETAP * FPS

FXSS = FCSS * BETAS * FSS

FXCS = FDO * BETAC * FSC

FXPS = FDP * BETAP * FPS

FXSS = FCSS * BETAS * FSS

FXCF = -FDOAFC * FLC

FXPF = -FDP + ALFAP * FLP

FXSF = -FDS * ALFAS * FLS

FXM = -FDM + ALFAM * FLM

FXCS = FDC * BETAC * FSC

FXPS = FDP * BETAP * FPS

FXSS = FCSS * BETAS * FSS

FXCF = -FDOAFC * FLC

FXPF = -FDP + ALFAP * FLP

FXSF = -FDS * ALFAS * FLS

FXM = -FDM + ALFAM * FLM

FXCS = FDC * BETAC * FSC

FXPS = FDP * BETAP * FPS

FXSS = FCSS * BETAS * FSS

FXCNE = FXCF + FXPF + FXSF + FXMF + FXPS + FXSS

FX = FXCNE + FGRAX + TX

UDOT = (1. / MASS) * FX - Q * W

IF (IFLAG1_E .EQ. 0) UDOT = 0.0

FY = FYCS + FYPS + FYSS + FGRAY

FZ = FZCF + FZPF + FZF + FZMF + FGRAZ

N = FZPF * LYPF + LYSF - FYPS * LZPS + FYSS * LZSS - FYCS * LZCS

FU = INTGRI(UINIT, UDOT)
**Sensed Accelerations**

\[ W = \text{INTGRL}(0.0, W') \]
\[ P = \text{INTGRL}(0.0, P') \]
\[ Q = \text{INTGRL}(0.0, Q') \]
\[ R = \text{INTGRL}(0.0, R') \]

**Automatic Control Block**

\[ HACT = -ZE + LXH * \ThetaTA \]
\[ DELH = \text{REALPL}(0.0, 0.04, DELH) \]
\[ DETH = \text{TREF} - \ThetaTA \]
\[ FLAP = K2 * DETH \]
\[ B = AZC \times K14 \times (PHI1^2) \]
\[ AZCC = \text{TRNFR}(2, 3, IC3, NU3, DEN3, B) \]
\[ AZSC = \text{REALPL}(0.0, 0.033, AZS) \]
\[ AZPC = \text{REALPL}(0.0, 0.033, AZP) \]
\[ THETAC = \text{TRNFR}(2, 3, IC3, NU3, DEN3, B) \]
\[ PHIC = \text{TRNFR}(1, 2, IC1, NU1, DEN1, 1865.0, PHI) \]
\[ HELMC = \text{REALPL}(0.0, 1.0, HELM) \]
\[ ELV11 = K11 \times \text{THETAC} + K12 \times \ThetaTA + K13 \times \text{DELHC} + K4 \times AZCC \]
\[ ELV = \text{REALPL}(0.0, 0.159, ELV11) \]
\[ ARS2 = K4 \times AZC \times K5 \times \text{THETAC} + K6 \times \text{PHIC} - K10 \times HELMC \]
\[ ARP2 = K4 \times AZPC \times K5 \times \text{THETAC} + K6 \times \text{PHIC} + K10 \times HELMC \]
\[ THETA3 = (\text{THETA} - \text{THETA2}) \times 180.0 / PI \]
\[ PHI3 = (\text{PHI} - \text{PHI2}) \times 180.0 / PI \]
\[ PHI4 = PHI3 * 180.0 / PI \]

**Calculation of Correct Roll Angle**

\[ UE = U \times \text{COS}((\text{THETA}) \times \text{COS}((\text{PSI}) \times \text{SIN}((\text{THETA}) \times \text{V})) \]
\[ SIN((\text{PHI}) - \text{SIN}((\text{PSI}) \times \text{COS}((\text{PHI}) \times \text{SIN}((\text{THETA}) \times \text{V}})) \]
\[ W \times (\text{SIN}((\text{PSI}) \times \text{SIN}((\text{THETA}) \times \text{SIN}((\text{PHI}) \times \text{V})) \]
\[ YE = \text{INTGRL}(0.1, UE) \]
\[ XE = \text{INTGRL}(0.1, VE) \]
\[ RGIR = (((XE^2) + (YE^2)) / XE \]
\[ FCENT = MASS * (((UE^2) + (VE^2)) / RGIR) \]
\[ FNET = \text{SORT}((\text{FGRAZ}^2) + (\text{FCENT}^2)) \]
\[ PHI3 = \text{ATAN2}(\text{FCENT}, \text{FGRAZ}) \]
\[ PHI4 = PHI3 * 180.0 / PI \]

**Calculation of Real Time**

\[ RTIME = (\text{LENGTH}/UINIT) \times \text{TIME} \]

**Dynamic Sample**

\[ \text{CALL DRWG}(1, 1, XE, YE) \]
\[ \text{CALL ENDRN(NPLOT)} \]

**FORTRAN**

**Subroutine Setup**

This subroutine forms the data points comprising the curves of foil and strut drag coefficients and side slip coefficients into matrices.
SUBROUTINE SETUP(FLAG)
COMMON COEPMX, COEFCX, COEFOX, CFDS1, CADS1
DIMENSION COEFCX(3, 33), COEFOX(3, 33), COEPMX(3, 33),
1 CFDS1(11, 11), CADS1(11, 11), INFO(8)
REAL INFO
IC = 0
IFLAG = 0
IFLAG1 = 0
MATRIX = 1
J = 0
K = 1
ICOUNT = 0
2 READ(5, 100) INFO
100 FORMAT(7F10.8)
4 CONTINUE
DO 1 I = 1, 8
6 J = J + 1
IF(J.GT.3) K = K + 1
IF(J.GT.3) J = 1
COEFOX(J, K) = INFO(I)
ICOUNT = ICOUNT + 1
IC = IC + 1
IF(ICOUNT.EQ.99) GO TO 3
1 CONTINUE
GO TO 2
3 IL = 1
IF(IL.LE.8) IL = IL + 1
4 CONTINUE
GO TO 2
5 IF(J.GT.8) GO TO 2
DO 9 I = 11, 8
6 J = J + 1
IF(J.GT.3) K = K + 1
IF(J.GT.3) J = 1
COEFCX(J, K) = INFO(I)
ICOUNT = ICOUNT + 1
IC = IC + 1
IF(ICOUNT.EQ.99) GO TO 3
9 CONTINUE
GO TO 2
10 IF(J.GT.8) GO TO 2
DO 13 I = 11, 8
11 J = J + 1
IF(J.GT.3) K = K + 1
IF(J.GT.3) J = 1
COEPMX(J, K) = INFO(I)
ICOUNT = ICOUNT + 1
IC = IC + 1
IF(ICOUNT.EQ.99) GO TO 12
13 CONTINUE
GO TO 2
12 IF(J.GT.8) GO TO 2
14 CONTINUE
SUBROUTINE INTERP

COMMON COEFMX, COEFCX, COEFOX
DIMENSION COEFMX(3,33), COEFCX(3,33), COEFOX(3,33)
DATA CONDEG/57.295773/

ALFA = ALFAC * CONDEG

IF(ALFA.GT.10.0) WRITE(6,100) ALFAC, M
IF(ALFA.GT.10.0) ALFA = 10.0

FORMAT(5,12X,'ERROR. ALFA EXCEEDED LIMITS. ALFA=',E14.8,12X,M=11)

IF(ALFA.LT.-6.0) WRITE(6,100) ALFAC, M
IF(ALFA.LT.-6.0) ALFA = -6.0

WTA = 0.0

IF(ALPHA.LT.0.0) GO TO 1
IF(ALPHA.GT.0.0) GO TO 2
IA = 13
WTA = 0.0
GO TO 3

IA1 = -ALPHA
IA = 13 - IA1
ALPHA1 = -IA1
WTA = ALPHA - ALPHA1
GO TO 3

IA1 = ALPHA
IA = 13 + IA1
ALPHA1 = IA1
WTA = ALPHA - ALPHA1

IF(FLAP.LT.-5.0) WRITE(6,101) FLAP
IF(FLAP.LT.-5.0) FLAP = -5.0
IF(FLAP.GT.5.0) WRITE(6,101) FLAP
IF(FLAP.GT.5.0) FLAP = 5.0
FORMAT( 'ERROR, FLAP exceeded limits, FLAP=', 'E14.8')
51 IF(FLAP)4, 5, 6
4 IB=1
WTB=-((5.0+FLAP)/5.0)
GO TO 7
5 IB=2
WTB=0.0
GO TO 7
6 IB=3
WTB=((5.0-FLAP)/5.0)
7 IF(WTA)8, 9, 10
8 IA2=IA-1
GO TO 11
9 IA2=IA
GO TO 11
10 IA2=IA+1
IF(WTB)12, 13, 12
11 IB2=2
GO TO 14
12 IB2=IB
GO TO (15, 16, 17), M
13 COEF1=COEFMX(IB, IA)
COEF2=COEFMX(IB2, IA)
GO TO 18
14 CCEF1=CCEF CX(IB, IA)
CCEF2=CCEF CX(IB2, IA)
GO TO 18
15 COEF1=COEFOX(IB, IA)
COEF2=COEFOX(IB2, IA)
16 COEF3=(COEF2-COEF1)*ABS(WTB)+COEF1
GO TO (19, 20, 21), M
17 CCEF1P=COEF MX(IB, IA2)
COEF2P=COEF MX(IB2, IA2)
GO TO 22
18 CCEF1P=CCEF CX(IB, IA2)
CCEF2P=CCEF CX(IB2, IA2)
GO TO 22
19 CCEF1P=CCEF CX(IB, IA2)
CCEF2P=CCEF CX(IB2, IA2)
20 CCEF3P=(CCEF2P-CCEF1P)*ABS(WTB)+CCEF1P
CCEF=(CCEF3P-CCEF1P)*ABS(WTA)+CCEF3
RETURN
END
FORTRAN

SUBROUTINE INTRP1

SUBROUTINE INTRP1(BETA1,SOB,COEFS,M,THETA,THETA1)
COMMON JUNK,CFDS1,CADS1
DIMENSION JUNK(297),CFDS1(11,11),CADS1(11,11)
DATA CONDEG/57.293773/
SUB=SOB
BETA=BETA1*CONDEG
IF(BETA.GT.15.0) WRITE(6,100) BETA1, M
IF(BETA.GT.15.0) BETA=15.0
100 FORMAT( 'ERROR, BETA exceeds limits, BETA=', 'E14.8, 2X,
1'N=' 11)
IF(BETA.LT.-15.0) WRITE(6,100) BETA1, M
IF(BETA.LT.-15.0) BETA=-15.0
IF(BETA.GT.0.0) GO TO 1
IF(BETA.GT.0.0) GO TO 2
IA=6
WTA=0.0
GO TO 3
1 IA1=-BETA
IA=6-IA1
BETAG=IA1
WTA=BETA-BETAG
GO TO 3
2 IA1=BETA
IA=6+IA1
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BETAG=IA1
WTA=BETA-BETAG
3 IF(SUB.LT.0.0) SUB=0.0
   IF(SUB.GT.10.0) SUB=10.0
   IF(SUB.GT.0.0) GO TO 5
   IS=1
   WTS=0.0
   GO TO 7
5 IS1=SUB
   IS=IS1+1
   SUB1=IS1
   WTS=SUB-SUB1
7 IF(WTA)8,9,10
8 IA2=IA-1
   GC TO 11
9 IA2=IA
   GC TO 11
10 IF(WTS.GT.C.O) GO TO 12
   IS2=IS
   GC TO 15
12 IS2=IS+1
   IF(M.EQ.2) GO TO 16
   COEF1=CFDS1(IS,IA)
   CCEF2=CFDS1(IS2,IA)
   GO TO 18
16 CCEF1=CFDS1(IS,IA)
   COEF2=CFDS1(IS2,IA)
18 COEF3=(COEF2-COEF1)*WTS+COEF1
   IF(M.EQ.2) GO TO 20
19 CCEF1P=CFDS1(IS,IA2)
   CCEF2P=CFDS1(IS2,IA2)
   GO TO 22
20 CCEF1P=CFDS1(IS,IA2)
   CCEF2P=CFDS1(IS2,IA2)
22 CCEFS=(CCEF2P-COEF3)*WTS+COEF1P
   COEF3P=(COEF3P-COEF3)*ABS(WTA)+COEF3
   Theta1=Theta1*CONDEG
   RETURN
//L.*SYSUT1 DD SPACE=(3500,(100,10))
//L.*SYSLMOD DD SPACE=(3500,(100,10,1))
//G.*FT06F001 DD SPACE=(CYL,(3))
//G.*AUXDATA DD *
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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