

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
WHITE PLAINS DIVISION

ALAN KACHALSKY, et al.,	:	Case No. 10-CV-05413-CS
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	NOTICE OF NEWLY DECIDED AUTHORITY
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
SUSAN CACACE,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
-----	:	X

NOTICE OF NEWLY DECIDED AUTHORITY

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES, please take notice that on March 7, 2011, the Supreme Court decided *Skinner v. Switzer*, U.S. Supreme Ct. No. 09-9000, 2011 U.S. LEXIS 1905.

In *Skinner*, the petitioner filed a Section 1983 claim challenging the constitutionality of a state statute that barred him access to DNA testing of criminal trial evidence. The state respondent argued that Skinner’s claim was foreclosed by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine, apparently because Skinner had unsuccessfully invoked the challenged statute.

The Supreme Court rejected the defense:

Skinner does not challenge the adverse [Criminal Court of Appeals] decisions themselves; instead, he targets as unconstitutional the Texas statute they authoritatively construed. As the Court explained in *Feldman*, and reiterated in *Exxon*, a state-court decision is not reviewable by lower federal courts, but a statute or rule governing the decision may be challenged in a federal action. Skinner’s federal case falls within the latter category. There was, therefore, no lack of subject-matter jurisdiction over Skinner’s federal suit.

*Skinner*, 2011 U.S. LEXIS 1905 at \*21 (citations and footnotes omitted).

*Skinner* disposes of the *Rooker-Feldman* defense in this case. As the petitioner in *Skinner*, Plaintiff Kachalsky does not claim that the state courts have misapplied the challenged provision, but rather, Kachalsky challenges the constitutionality of the provision itself. As *Skinner* demonstrates, this is clearly not a case that falls within the parameters of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine.

Dated: March 21, 2011

Alan Gura\*  
Gura & Possessky, PLLC  
101 N. Columbus Street, Suite 405  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665  
Lead Counsel  
\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Respectfully submitted,

Vincent Gelardi  
Gelardi & Randazzo  
800 Westchester Avenue, Suite S-608  
Rye Brook, NY 10573  
914.251.0603/Fax 914.253.0909  
Local Counsel

By: /s/ Alan Gura  
Alan Gura

Attorneys for Plaintiffs